In Reply Refer To:
4130 (NVE0100)

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
Eureka Trailing Permit Environmental Assessment
DOI-BLM-NV-E000-2020-0002-EA

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Elko District Office (EDO) received a sheep trailing application from Eureka Livestock LLC permittee requesting to trail across public lands within allotments or other areas for which the permittee does not hold a permit for. This trailing application is subject to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review and must be processed in compliance with the regulations, specifically 43 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) 4100. The EDO has completed the Eureka Trailing Permit Environmental Assessment (EA) (DOI-BLM-NV-E000-2020-0002-EA) to analyze the Proposed Action and alternatives, as well as to address the extraordinary circumstances precluding the use of a categorical exclusion (CX) for NEPA documentation.

Based on the analysis in the Eureka Trailing Permit EA (DOI-BLM-NV-E000-2020-0002-EA), I have determined that the Proposed Action, modified by the inclusion of the Cotant Seeding Alternative’s spring trailing route, will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Therefore, preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required prior to my issuance of the decision.

This finding is based on my consideration of the Council on Environmental Quality’s (CEQ) criteria for significance (40 CFR 1508.27) with regard to the context and the intensity of impacts as discussed in the EA and summarized below.

Context:
The modified Proposed Action occurs within Elko County, Nevada and include lands administered by the BLM in the Devils Gate, Cotant Seeding, Double Mountain, Deeth, Rattlesnake Canyon, Bruneau River, South Four Mile, North Four Mile and Beaver Creek allotments. These allotments are located in the northern middle of the Elko District, across both the Wells and Tuscarora Field Offices.

Intensity:
1) Impacts that may be both beneficial and adverse.
The analysis identifies both beneficial and adverse impacts to big horn sheep; Greater sage-grouse; wetlands and riparian habitat; Inland Columbia Basin Redband Trout habitat; and livestock grazing and rangeland health that may arise as a result of the modified Proposed
Action. Measures are incorporated to avoid or reduce adverse impacts from trailing, conserve
habitat for sensitive species and other wildlife, and identify and protect cultural resources, as
well as for the resources listed above.

2) *The degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety.*
The modified Proposed Action incorporates safety measures to ensure public health and safety.
Potential safety situations such as livestock on roadways and livestock guardian dogs were
identified, and terms and conditions were developed and analyzed to ensure no effects to public
health and safety.

3) *Unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural
resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical
areas.*
The modified Proposed Action incorporates procedures for the avoidance, protection and
management of historic and cultural resources. There are no Special Recreation Management
Areas, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Wilderness Study Areas within the analysis
areas of the EA. No park lands, prime or unique farmlands, or Wild and Scenic Rivers exist
within the EDO.

4) *The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be highly
controversial.*
The modified Proposed Action consists of activities that are common practices; the effects of
livestock trailing are well known, scientifically documented and are not highly controversial.
Comments received in scoping have been addressed in the EA.

5) *The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or
involve unique or unknown risks.*
Possible effects are neither highly uncertain nor do they include unique or unknown risks.
Livestock trailing and grazing have scientifically well-documented effects and are analyzed in
the EA.

6) *The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant
effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.*
The modified Proposed Action does not set a precedent for future actions and includes
stipulations to protect resources from potentially significant adverse effects. Future livestock
crossing applications would continue to be subject to consideration in accordance with BLM
grazing and NEPA regulations and policies. This action does not make any commitments for
BLM approval for any future actions beyond those outlined in the modified Proposed Action.

7) *Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively
significant impacts.*
All resources are evaluated for cumulative impacts as warranted in the EA, and no significant
impacts are identified. As a standard procedure, cumulative impacts would continue to be
subject to further review as new projects are proposed, and on a site-specific basis.
8) *The degree to which the action may adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historic resources.*

The modified Proposed Action includes stipulations to identify and protect significant cultural resources from adverse effects. Trailing route and bedding sites have been designed to facilitate the avoidance of cultural resources. Monitoring would occur based upon the need and frequency determined by the BLM, and in the event of impacts identified through trampling, erosion or other impact resulting in an adverse effect, the BLM will develop avoidance or mitigation measures in consultation with the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).

9) *The degree to which the action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the ESA of 1973.*

The Elko District’s Special Status Species were reviewed, including any endangered and threatened species; species identified for potentially adverse effects were brought forward for analysis in the EA. The modified Proposed Action includes measures to prevent adverse impacts to these species and to conserve their habitats and is not expected to result in the listing of any species of concern.

10) *Whether the action threatens a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment.*

The modified Proposed Action has been developed and reviewed in coordination with applicable agencies to ensure its consistency with plans and requirements of other Federal, State and local agencies.

________________________________ ______________________
Gerald Dixon Date
Elko District Manager
NOTICE OF PROPOSED DECISION
EUREKA TRAILING PERMIT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Dear Permittees and Interested Publics,

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Elko District Office (EDO) has completed the Eureka Trailing Permit EA, DOI-BLM-NV-E000-2020-0002-EA. The EA can be accessed online at https://go.usa.gov/xpPJt. Comments on the draft EA were received from the Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) and resulted in minor edits and addition of a monitoring stipulation in the Proposed Action and Alternatives 2 and 5, but no change to the analysis. NDOW’s comments also resulted in the inclusion of Alternative 5- Cotant Seeding spring trailing route as an option for trailing sheep in the Proposed Decision.

PROPOSED DECISION

It is my Proposed Decision to implement the Proposed Action as described in the Eureka Trailing Permit EA, DOI-BLM-NV-E000-2020-0002-EA, modified by the inclusion of the Cotant Seeding Alternative’s spring trailing route. The permittee will have the option to utilize either the Proposed Action spring trailing routes or the Cotant Seeding Alternative spring trailing route, both as described below. The option selected will be identified annually as part of the annual application.

A crossing permit shall be issued for a period of ten years, for a maximum of 613 animal unit months (AUMs) and utilize trailing routes analyzed in the EA (see enclosed Maps). The season of use for the crossing permit will be from 4/1 to 9/31, with specific periods permitted for trailing and limits on number of days. Trailing of up to 3,600 head of sheep in multiple bands will be authorized; a ‘trail’ is the movement of all bands, so all sheep must be moved through an area within the specified number of days.

Proposed Action Spring Trailing Option

Spring trailing (4/1-5/31) will consist of moving sheep from North Fork Group Allotment (have permitted use), through the Devils Gate Allotment with no more than seven days authorized per trailing route (no permitted use), to Stag Mountain Allotment (have permitted use).

Trail Route A with the river crossing will only be used in years with low water levels that allow for sheep crossing; this trailing route is allocated 6 days. Trail Route B over the bridge will be used in years when
the water in the river is too high for sheep to cross; this trailing route is allocated 7 days. Trail Route C is used every year to move animals from the private land on Pole Creek Allotment to the permitted grazing on Stag Mountain Allotment and is allocated 4 days. Trail Route D will be used every year as well to get sheep from private land on Pole Creek Allotment to the permitted grazing on Stag Mountain Allotment and is allocated 3 days. Trail Routes C and D are different to limit the number of sheep passing through the landscape in the same area to limit resource damage.

Cotant Seeding Spring Trailing Option
Spring trailing (4/1-5/31) will consist of moving sheep from North Fork Group Allotment (have permitted use), through the Cotant Seeding Allotment (no permitted use) in two days, to Stag Mountain Allotment (have permitted use).

Summer Trailing
Summer trailing (6/1-6/30) will consist of moving sheep from the Stag Mountain Allotment, across the North section of the Deeth Allotment with no more than two days authorized per trailing route (no permitted use) to private land (must obtain permission from land owner) or continuing across BLM then to U.S. Forest Service (USFS) administered land (USFS permitted use).

Fall Trailing
Fall trailing (9/1-9/31) will consist of moving sheep from USFS through the Deeth, Rattlesnake Canyon, Bruneau River, South Four Mile Beaver Creek and North Four Mile Allotments (no permitted use) to private land, with no more than 10 days authorized in total and no more than two days spent in each allotment.

Annual applications outlining which routes are to be utilized in the given year will be submitted at least 30 days prior to turnout. BLM staff will review the submitted application and if necessary, make resource-based modifications and/or reductions in coordination with the applicant to minimize resource conflicts.

Trail routes to be used under this Proposed Decision are identified in the enclosed maps and have been screened and modified, reduced, or re-routed for the protection of resources. The trailing routes have been planned to avoid roads with high speed traffic, existing concentrations of livestock, routes that are tortuous or longer than necessary, and difficult or impassable geography, and selected to avoid major resource concerns. They take advantage of fenced fields (including private grounds) with available watering sites that they either own or have permission to use for overnight stops, as well as terrain conducive to orderly and efficient movement of livestock when trailing domestic sheep.

Per 43 CFR 4130.6, crossing permits have no priority for renewal and cannot be transferred or assigned.

Proposed Crossing Permit Mandatory Terms and Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allotment Number</th>
<th>Allotment Name</th>
<th>Livestock Number</th>
<th>Livestock Kind</th>
<th>Begin</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>% Public Land</th>
<th>Type Use</th>
<th>AUMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NV03217</td>
<td>Devils Gate</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*NV02107</td>
<td>Cotant Seeding/ Double Mountain</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV03216</td>
<td>Deeth</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allotment Number</td>
<td>Allotment Name</td>
<td>Livestock Number</td>
<td>Livestock Kind</td>
<td>Begin</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>% Public Land</td>
<td>Type Use</td>
<td>AUMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV02119</td>
<td>Rattlesnake Canyon</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV02105</td>
<td>Bruneau River</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV02135</td>
<td>South Four Mile</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV02103</td>
<td>Beaver Creek</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV02136</td>
<td>North Four Mile</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>9/31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If this option selected the total number of AUMs authorized for the annual application is 329 AUMs.

**Proposed Crossing Permit Other Terms and Conditions**

The Other Terms and Conditions were developed to provide for orderly use of public lands and protection of special status species and their habitat, wildlife, cultural sites eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, and the *Standards and Guidelines for Nevada’s Northeastern Great Basin Area.*

**General:**

- Spring trailing (4/1-5/31) shall be completed within seven days per trailing route in the Devils Gate Allotment; Summer trailing (6/1-6/30) shall be completed within two days per trailing route in the Deeth Allotment; Fall trailing (9/1-9/31) shall be completed within 10 days, with no more than two days spent in any allotment crossed; OR Spring trailing (4/1-5/31) will consist of moving sheep from North Fork Group Allotment (have permitted use), through the Cotant Seeding Allotment (no permitted use) in two days, to Stag Mountain Allotment (have permitted use).
- The permittee shall submit an application to the BLM a minimum of 30 days prior to trailing, allowing BLM to make adjustments, if needed, due to unforeseen or new resource concerns.
- It is the responsibility of the permittee to gain approval from the landowner or land manager prior to trailing or bedding livestock anywhere that is not BLM-administered land.
- It is the responsibility of the permittee to ensure compliance with State of Nevada water laws.
- Permittee will notify grazing permittees a minimum of 48 hours prior to trailing through the grazing permittee’s assigned grazing allotment(s).
- Trailing livestock are authorized within 0.125 miles on either side of designated trailing routes making a 0.25-mile-wide trail route.
- 15 days following the conclusion of trailing activities, the permittee shall submit Form 4130-5 Actual Grazing Use Report to the authorizing office.
- Trailing will be active, with sheep continually moving toward their final destination except during rest periods and at night. This movement may be facilitated by non-motorized (e.g. horses, dogs) or motorized (e.g. all-terrain vehicles, motorcycles collectively referred to as off-highway vehicles or OHV) methods. Sheep may use up to two rest periods during the day consisting of one hour each. Any livestock watering from natural sources must be moved off of the area immediately after drinking.
- Permittee will notify BLM authorized officer within 24 hours of any unforeseen circumstances which delay trailing operations.
- Supplemental feeding of forage to livestock during trailing activities, including feeding of horses used for the purpose of herding, will use certified noxious-weed-free forage to prevent the introduction and spread of noxious weeds on BLM-administered public lands.
- The permittee will report any release of toxic substances (leaks, spills, etc.) to the BLM authorized officer within 24 hours of occurrence.
- The permittee shall maintain the crossing and congregation areas in a safe, usable condition and provide for the safety of the public entering the area; this may include signage or flaggers as necessary.
- Where recreationists are likely to be present, permittee shall flag or post temporary route signage indicating the possible presence of Livestock Guardian Dogs (LGDs) and prevent possible conflict between the dogs and members of the public. Permittee may need to temporarily control/restrain LGDs until members of the public have left the area.
- Load and unload all equipment on existing roads and/or previously disturbed sites.
- Areas used for staging vehicles, horse trailers, fence panels, etc. will avoid sagebrush habitats; if this is not possible, previously disturbed sites will be used, such as areas around stock ponds or troughs, past seedings, or other grassland sites.
- Permittee shall remove all debris, solid waste, putrescible wastes and other potential anthropogenic subsides associated with sheep herder camps, overnight locations and other associated activities.
- Distance of travel per day with lambs is defined as at least 2 miles per day.
- Distance of travel per day with dry sheep is defined as at least 3 miles per day.
- Vehicles, including OHVs, shall be cleaned of mud, debris, and plant parts prior to on-site arrival. Cleaning efforts will concentrate on tracks, feet, or tires and the undercarriage with special emphasis on axles, frames, cross members, motor mounts, the underside of running boards and front bumper/brush guard assemblies. A high-pressure wash is the preferred method for cleaning.
- Any horses used in trailing shall comply with 4130.7 CFR (b) and adhere to Nevada State health requirements per NRS 571.210 and identification/brand requirements per NRS 564 and NRS 565.
- For any identified Native American human remains, funerary items, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony, the permittee shall stop activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery and notify the BLM authorized officer immediately.
- BLM will conduct monitoring on trails and at bedding sites using BLM approved monitoring methods.

**Bedding Sites:**
- All bedding sites must be within the approved corridors on the trail routes. Bedding sites are authorized within 0.125 miles on either side of designated trailing routes; bedding sites must be in approved bedding zones shown on Maps (1,3, and 4); a GPS point will be taken at each bedding site and be reported in the Actual Use turned in no later than 15 days after livestock removal.
- A GPS point will be taken at every bedding site and given to the BLM office within 15 days of trailing completion.
- Avoid staging, overnighting, travel through and activities in weed infestations.
- No overnighting or resting is allowed within 0.25 miles of any streams, springs, seeps or aspen stands.

**Riparian:**
- Trailing will not occur within a 300-foot buffer along all riparian areas, with the exception of livestock coming in for a drink and leaving immediately afterwards or as needed to cross streams at authorized crossing areas.
- Any supplemental water used will be placed a minimum of a quarter of a mile away from any stream, springs, seeps, or aspen stands.
Wildlife:
- From March 1 to May 15, livestock trailing would be routed at least 1 mile from occupied sage-grouse leks where possible. If this is not feasible, trailing events would be timed to occur between 9AM and 6PM so as to limit disturbance to lekking Greater sage-grouse (GRSG).
- Instruct all employees to avoid harassment and disturbance of wildlife, especially during GRSG breeding season.
- Outfit all troughs or similar features with appropriate type and number of wildlife escape ramps.
- The permittee will prevent the turnout of sheep with observed or known respiratory infection or disease (e.g., Mycoplasma or Pasteurella-type pneumonia bacteria) on trailing routes.
- The permittee will retrieve and remove sick or physically infirmed domestic sheep from the herd as soon as possible.
- The permittee will use the appropriate combination of close herding, multiple herders, and well-trained herd dogs to keep the sheep bunched and to minimize the risk of strays. Any strays will be gathered and moved back with the herd as soon as possible or removed from BLM lands as the trailing occurs.
- Permittee will report their authorized domestic sheep routing and distribution within an allotment, trailing between allotments, strays and recovery efforts within 15 days of trailing completion.
- Grazing and/or trailing domestic ewes while in estrus heightens the possibility of contact between wild sheep and domestic sheep. Decrease inter-species attraction by only turning out ewes that are known to be pregnant or with lamb(s) during the grazing period and/or trailing in areas of potential for contact with wild sheep.
- The permittee will immediately notify the local BLM authorized officer, or other primary point of contact designated by the authorized officer, and Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW), of any observed or reported contact, or close proximity, between wild sheep and the permittee’s domestic sheep.
- Immediately report (as soon as feasible) to the authorized officer and NDOW any wild sheep sightings in proximity to authorized domestic sheep allotments or trailing routes.

**LAND USE PLAN CONFORMANCE AND COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS**

The Proposed Decision is in conformance with the following BLM land use planning documents:

**1987 Elko Resource Management Plan (Elko RMP)**

**Livestock Grazing**

Objective (p.20): Maintain or improve the condition of the public rangelands to enhance productivity for all rangeland values.

**Wildlife**

Objective (p.29): Conserve and enhance terrestrial, riparian and aquatic wildlife habitat.

**1985 Wells Resource Management Plan (Wells RMP)**

**Livestock Grazing**

Program Objective (p. 17): Public rangelands are managed to: enhance the productivity of the rangelands by preventing overgrazing and soil deterioration; stabilize the livestock industry dependent on public range; provide for inventory and categorization based on conditions and trends; and provide for orderly use, improvement and development.
Terrestrial Wildlife
Habitat Objective (General) (p. 19): To conserve and/or enhance wildlife habitat to the maximum extent possible while eliminating...all of the high and medium priority terrestrial riparian habitat conflicts in coordination with other resources.

Short- and Long-Term Management Actions (p 20): 2. Continue to monitor the interaction between wildlife habitat condition and other resource uses and consider adjustments in livestock seasons of use to improve or maintain essential and crucial wildlife habitats.

Riparian/Stream Habitat:
Program Objective (p. 22.): The Bureau seeks to improve stream habitat for fish, resulting in benefits not only to the fisheries, but to other resources such as watershed, wildlife, livestock, erosion, flood control, water quality and recreation.

Threatened or Endangered Species
Program Objective (p. 23): The Bureau will manage habitat so as to protect animal and plant species which are of particular concern to both the Federal and State governments.

2015 Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment (ARMPA)
Objective SSS 4 (p. 2-6): In PHMAs and GHMAs, apply the concept of “avoid, minimize, and compensatory mitigation” for all human disturbance in areas not already excluded or closed, so as to avoid adverse effects to GRSG and its habitat. The first priority will be to avoid new disturbance; where this is not feasible, the second priority will be to minimize and mitigate any new disturbance (Appendices F and I).

Management Decisions:
MD SSS 2 (p. 2-6 to 2-9): In PHMAs, the following conditions will be met in order to avoid, minimize, and mitigate any effects on GRSG and its habitat from the project/activity: subparts C, D, E and F.

MD SSS3: In GHMAs, the following conditions will be met in order to avoid, minimize, and mitigate any effects on GRSG or its habitat from the project/activity: subparts B, C, D and E.

The Proposed Decision is in conformance with similar Objectives and Management Decisions from the 2019 Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment.

Compliance with Statutes, Regulations and Other Plans
The Proposed Decision is in compliance with the following statutes, regulations and other plans to the greatest extent possible:
• 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 4100- Grazing Administration; 43 CFR 4130.6-3 Crossing Permits and 43 CFR 4160 Administrative Remedies.
• Federal Lands Policy and Management Act (FLPMA); Sec. 102(a)(7) management on the basis of multiple use and sustained yield; Sec. 103(c) and (h).
• Taylor Grazing Act; to provide for orderly use of public lands.
• Endangered Species Act (ESA); to ensure Federal action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species.
• 2010 Elko County Public Land Use & Natural Resource Management Plan; Directives 7-1 and 7-3.
RATIONALE

I have decided to implement the Proposed Decision, as described above, because:
1. Terms and conditions are included to address concerns for wildlife, specifically GRSG and big horn sheep.
2. Trailing routes and bedding areas were designed to avoid GRSG leks and potential and known cultural resources.
3. The action provides for orderly and consistent management of sheep trailing and the applicant has shown need to cross BLM administered public land.
4. The action conforms to the Elko and Wells RMPs as amended, is consistent with current BLM and Departmental policies and procedures and is in compliance with applicable laws.
5. The modification of the Proposed Action to include the Cotant Seeding spring trailing route provides the permitee with flexibility with no increase in AUMs and utilizes a route which EA analysis shows has the least potential for impact to resources.

AUTHORITY

Authority for the actions contained in this Proposed Decision is found in 43 CFR § 4100.0-8, 4120.2, 4130.6-3, 4130.8-1(e), 4160.1, 4160.2, 4160.3, 4160.4.

PROVISIONS FOR PROTEST/APPEAL AND PETITION FOR STAY

Protest
In accordance with 43 CFR §4160.2, any applicant, permitee, lessee or other interested public may protest the proposed decision under §4160.1 of this title, in person or in writing to the Bureau of Land Management, Gerald Dixon, District Manager, Elko District Office (authorized officer), 3900 E. Idaho Street, Elko, Nevada, 89801 within 15 days after receipt of this decision. The protest, if filed, must clearly and concisely state the reason(s) as to why the proposed decision is in error.

In accordance with 43 CFR §4160.3 (b), should a timely protest be filed with the authorized officer, the authorized officer, at the conclusion to his/her review of the protest shall serve his/her final decision on the protestant and the interested public.

In accordance with 43 CFR §4160.3 (a), at the conclusion of the 15-day protest period and in the absence of a protest, the proposed decision will become the final decision of the authorized officer without further notice.

In accordance with 43 CFR §4160.3 (c) & (f), a period of 30 days following receipt of the Final Decision or 30 days after the date the Proposed Decision becomes final is provided for filing an appeal and petition for stay of the decision pending final determination on appeal.

Appeal and Petition for Stay
In accordance with 43 CFR §4160.4, any person whose interest is adversely affected by a final decision of the authorized officer may appeal the decision for the purpose of a hearing before an administrative law judge and may also petition for a stay of the decision pending final determination on appeal. The appeal and petition for stay must be filed within 30 days following receipt of the final decision or 30 days after the date the proposed decision becomes final.

Appeals and petitions for a stay of the decision shall be filed at the office of the Authorized Officer, see Protest above. Additionally the person appealing must serve a copy of their appeal and petition for stay on any person named in the decision including the name to which the decision is addressed,
those listed at the end of this decision, and the Office of the Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Region, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753, Sacramento, CA 95825-1890 within 15 days of filing the appeal and petition for stay. Appellant needs to be able to document service to any other person named in the decision and the Solicitor.

In accordance with 43 CFR §4.470, the appeal shall state the reasons, clearly and concisely, why the appellant thinks the final decision of the authorized officer is in error.

A petition for stay, if filed, must show sufficient justification based on the following standards (43 CFR §4.471(c)):

1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
2. The likelihood of the appellant’s success on the merits;
3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and,
4. Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

The appellant requesting a stay bears the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

Any person named in the decision from which an appeal is taken (other than the appellant) who wishes to file a response to the petition for a stay may file with the Hearings Division a motion to intervene in the appeal, together with the response, within 10 days after receiving the petition. Within 15 days after filing the motion to intervene and response, the person must serve copies on the appellant, the Office of the Solicitor and any other person named in the decision (43 CFR §4.472(b)).
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Gerald Dixon
District Manager
Elko District Office

Enclosures:
Eureka Livestock LLC Spring Trailing Map
Eureka Livestock LLC Cotant Seeding Trail Map
Eureka Livestock LLC Summer Trailing Map
Eureka Livestock LLC Fall Trailing Map

CC:
Andrew Boyd
2411 Rodeo Court
Elko, NV 89801
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5263
Return Receipt Requested

American Ag Credit
Raymond Connelly
P.O. Box 2088
Elko, NV 89803
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5409
Return Receipt Requested

American Farm Mortgage
8901 Greenway Commons Place, Suite 200
Louisville, KY 40220
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5416
Return Receipt Requested

Bilbao Ranch LLC
901 W. 520 N.
Shoshone, ID 83352-5163
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5270
Return Receipt Requested
Bowers Family Revocable Trust  
HC 60 Box 590  
Ruby Valley, NV 89833  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5423  
Return Receipt Requested

Bruneau River Cattle Co., LLC/Eastgate Ranch LLC  
P.O. Box 920  
Lake Oswego, OR 97034  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5287  
Return Receipt Requested

Congressman Mark Amodei  
Attn: Martin Paris, Rural Representative  
905 Railroad St., Suite 104D  
Elko, NV 89801

Cross Ranch Grazing LLC  
P.O. Box 104  
Deeth, NV 89823  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5294  
Return Receipt Requested

Double Mountain LLC  
HC 31 Box 90  
Elko, NV 89801  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5300  
Return Receipt Requested

Egbert Livestock LLC  
HC 60 Box 135  
Wells, NV 89835  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5317  
Return Receipt Requested

El Tejon Sheep Co.  
P.O. Box 80715  
Bakersfield, CA 93380  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5324  
Return Receipt Requested

Elko County Board of Commissioners  
540 Court St., Suite 101  
Elko, NV 89801  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5430  
Return Receipt Requested

Ellison Ranching Co.  
P.O. Box 2150  
Elko, NV 89803  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5331  
Return Receipt Requested

Eureka County Department of Natural Resources  
P.O. Box 682  
Eureka, NV 89316  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5010  
Return Receipt Requested

Glaser Land & Livestock Co.  
325 Halleck  
Elko, NV 89801  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5355  
Return Receipt Requested

Karen Klitz  
P.O. Box 951  
Jackpot, NV 89825  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5027  
Return Receipt Requested

Kathy Gregg  
6205 Indian Springs Rd.  
Loomis, CA 95650-9469  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5034  
Return Receipt Requested

KG Mining, Inc.  
Attn: Dave Donnelly, CPL  
5075 S. Syracuse St., Suite 800  
Denver, CO 80237  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5041  
Return Receipt Requested

Mori Ranches LLC  
HC 32 Box 290  
Tuscarora, NV 89834  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5058  
Return Receipt Requested

Natural Resources Management Advisory Commission  
540 Court St., Suite 104  
Elko, NV 89801  
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5065  
Return Receipt Requested
Nevada Cattlemen's Association
P.O. Box 310
Elko, NV 89803
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5072
Return Receipt Requested

Nevada Department of Agriculture
Rangeland Health Program Manager
4780 E. Idaho St.
Elko, NV 89801
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5089
Return Receipt Requested

Nevada Department of Wildlife
Attn: Caleb McDoo
60 Youth Center Rd.
Elko, NV 89801
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5096
Return Receipt Requested

Nevada State Clearing House
Department of Administration
901 S. Stewart St., Suite 5003
Carson City, NV 89701
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5102
Return Receipt Requested

Rafter Diamond Land Co., LLC
P.O. Box 104
Deeth, NV 89823
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5119
Return Receipt Requested

Rafter Diamond Ranch
Julian C. Smith Jr.
502 North Division St.
Carson City, NV 89703
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5126
Return Receipt Requested

Resource Concepts, Inc.
Attn: John L. McLain
340 N. Minnesota St.
Carson City, NV 89703
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5133
Return Receipt Requested

Rich Grant & Sons Ranching Co. LLC
910 W. 24th St.
Ogden, UT 84401
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5362
Return Receipt Requested

Roy & Connie Elsner
P.O. Box 8004
Spring Creek, NV 89815
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5379
Return Receipt Requested

Stan Prunty
P.O. Box 2614
Englelon, TX 77516
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2040
Return Receipt Requested

Steve Foree
312 Blakeland Dr.
Spring Creek, NV 89815
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2057
Return Receipt Requested

Sustainable Grazing Coalition
Attn: Richard A. Orr
P.O. Box 145
Caliente, NV 89008
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2064
Return Receipt Requested

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
1340 Financial Blvd., Suite 234
Reno, NV 89502
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2071
Return Receipt Requested

USFS Mountain City Ranger District
Attn: District Ranger
660 So. 12th St. Suite 108
Elko, NV 89801
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2088
Return Receipt Requested

VNM Livestock LLC
HC 36 Box 550
Lamoille, NV 89828
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5386
Return Receipt Requested
Wahoo Ranch LLC
802 Elburz #11
Elko, NV 89801
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9703 5393
Return Receipt Requested

Western Ag Credit
P.O. Box 95850
South Jordan, UT 84095
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2095
Return Receipt Requested

Western Watersheds Project
C/O Paul Ruprecht
P.O. Box 12356
Reno, NV 89510
Certified Mail No. 7019 2280 0000 9680 2101
Return Receipt Requested

Wild Horse Education
Laura Leigh
216 Lemmon Dr. #316
Reno, NV 89506
Certified Mail No. 7019 1120 0000 6795 9539
Return Receipt Requested

Wildlands Defense
Katie Fite
P.O. Box 125
Boise, ID 83701
Certified Mail No. 7019 1120 0000 6795 9546
Return Receipt Requested

Y2 Consultants
Attn: Brenda Youkin
P.O. Box 2674
Jackson, WY 83001
Certified Mail No. 7019 1120 0000 6795 9553
Return Receipt Requested