


NEVADA HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLAN

2020-2028



NEVADA
**STATE HISTORIC
PRESERVATION OFFICE**



Nevada Department of
**CONSERVATION &
NATURAL RESOURCES**

NEVADA HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLAN

Nevada's Comprehensive Statewide
Historic Preservation Plan

2020-2028

Published by the



Nevada Department of
**CONSERVATION &
NATURAL RESOURCES**

State Historic Preservation Office
901 S. Stewart St. Suite 5004
Carson City, NV 89701

shpo.nv.gov

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This plan was made possible through the input of citizens, state and federal preservation partners, Certified Local Governments: Reno, Carson City, Las Vegas, and Storey County, Native American Tribes, and the State Historic Preservation Office Staff. Our preservation colleagues and members of the public who participated in the planning effort share credit for this plan. Their comments on the current state of historic preservation in Nevada, as well as the recommendations they provided for the future of preservation helped guide the development of our vision, goals, objectives, and strategies. These comments were vital in ensuring we develop a plan that addresses the preservation issues that are most important to citizens of the Silver State. We would like to extend our gratitude to those that participated by providing public comments.

We wish to extend a special thanks to the six cities, state agencies, local governments, and organizations and their staff that hosted statewide preservation planning meetings in 2018: the City of Ely and the East Ely Railroad Depot Museum, the City of Elko and the Great Basin College, the City of Reno and the McKinley Arts & Culture Center, the Town of Tonopah and the Tonopah Convention Center, Douglas County and the Douglas County Senior Center, and the City of Las Vegas and the state employees at the 4747 W. Vegas Drive state building.


The development and printing of this publication was funded in part with federal funds from the National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, and administered by the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office. However, the contents and opinions do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of the Interior or the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office, nor does the mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendations by the Department of the Interior.

This program receives federal financial assistance for identification and protection of historic properties. Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Department of the Interior prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin or handicap in its federally assisted programs. If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity or facility as described above, or if you desire further information, please write to:

Office of Equal Opportunity,
U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service,
1849 C Street, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20240.

Cover Photo: Morning in Virginia City, Liz Huntington

The photographer has generously donated the use of her photo for this report. We are grateful for her contribution



Gold Butte National Monument



Basin and Range National Monument

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	7
Executive Summary.....	7
Vision Statement.....	7
What is Historic Preservation?.....	8
Why Preserve?	8
<i>National Historic Preservation Act of 1966</i>	8
A Brief History of Historic Preservation.....	9
CULTURAL RESOURCES IN NEVADA	10
Nevada at a Glance	10-11
National Register and State Register in Nevada: A Snapshot.....	12
<i>National Register of Historic Places</i>	12
<i>Nevada State Register of Historic Places</i>	12
The Nordyke House – Reviving the Nevada State Register	12
Current Inventory.....	13
Preservation Planning.....	14
PLANNING PARTNERS AND PROGRAMS	14
Cave Rock	14
Incorporating Preservation into Nevada’s Planning Framework.....	15-16
Stewart Indian School.....	16
Preservation Stakeholders and Partners.....	17
Planning Process.....	18
Planning Cycle	18
HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLANNING	18
Planning Principles.....	18
Online Surveys.....	19
Executive Interviews.....	19
Public Meetings.....	20
CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES	21
Challenges and Opportunities.....	21-26
Lincoln County Archaeological Initiative	21
Main Street & Preservation Education.....	22
Great Basin National Park Erosion Study	23
Internships: Public Archaeology & Cultural Resource Management	24
Harrison’s Guest House: Recognizing African American Stories	26
GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND TASKS 2020-2028	27-32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A: 2012-2020 GOALS (UNDER CONSTRUCTION)	33-37
The Pink House	33
Black Canyon Archaeological District	34
Bahsahwahbee-Swamp Cedars	35
Heritage Tourism Revival in Nevada	36
APPENDIX B: PLANNING PROCESS	38
APPENDIX C: ONLINE SURVEY	39-55
APPENDIX D: PUBLIC MEETINGS	56-57
APPENDIX E: EXECUTIVE INTERVIEWS	58-61
APPENDIX F: PRESERVATION PARTNERS (UNDER CONSTRUCTION)	62-71
APPENDIX G: FEDERAL AND STATE PRESERVATION STATUTES	71-73
APPENDIX H: HISTORIC CONTEXTS FOR NEVADA	74-75
APPENDIX I: HISTORY OF ARCHAEOLOGY AND ETHNOLOGY IN NEVADA	76-77
APPENDIX J: NEVADA’S PRE-CONTACT PERIOD	78-81
APPENDIX K: NEVADA HISTORY	82-85
APPENDIX L: HISTORIC REFERENCES	86-93
APPENDIX M: ACRONYMS (UNDER CONSTRUCTION)	94-95
APPENDIX N: GLOSSARY	96-97
APPENDIX O: LIST OF IMAGES	98-99
ENDNOTES	100

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Nevada's history is unique. The physical places created over that history are among the state's greatest cultural and economic assets and are worth preserving. Referred to as "historic" or "cultural resources" they include buildings, structures, archaeological sites, or traditional cultural places. The Nevada State Historic Preservation Office (NSHPO) reached out to its preservation partners and the broader public to identify challenges and develop collaborative goals for preserving these resources while creating sustainable and vibrant communities. This document is the result of that process.

As required by the National Historic Preservation Act, state historic preservation offices (SHPO's) maintain a statewide plan and revise it every eight years. In the fall of 2017, the NSHPO efforts began to revise and update Nevada's statewide preservation plan for the period covering 2020 to 2028. The planning process revealed that Nevada's preservation community has been successful in recent years. There is growing acknowledgement that preservation supports economic development, creates community identity, and improves quality of life. At the same time, challenges remain. To address these challenges, and to reinforce the positive developments, the 2020-2028 plan focuses on six preservation goals:

GOAL 1: Identify and formally recognize significant cultural resources.

GOAL 2: Establish historic preservation as a cornerstone for sustainable and vibrant communities.

GOAL 3: Preserve and promote Nevada's significant cultural resources while strengthening the states infrastructure and economy.

GOAL 4: Provide Nevadans with access to information about cultural resources and how to care for them.


GOAL 5: Foster a diverse historic preservation community.

These goals were developed from feedback gained via online and in-person surveys, combined with previous plan goals and SHPO staff input; they are supported by a series of objectives and action items. These all add up to a significant effort and no one person, group, or agency can tackle them alone. We must each do our part to overcome challenges and preserve our cultural resources for future generations to appreciate.

VISION STATEMENT

We envision a Nevada in which an educated and caring citizenry respects traditional lifeways and works to protect the tangible elements of our cultural heritage. We see Nevada as a place that grows and prospers while preserving, interpreting, and rehabilitating cultural resources for their economic and intrinsic values. Preservation will be widely recognized as a major contributor to tourism, economic development, and quality of life. Government officials at all levels will consider preservation concerns as they make decisions about our future. Nevada's diverse constituencies will work together as partners in a statewide preservation effort.

WARD CHARCOAL KILNS Nevada State Parks



WHAT IS HISTORIC PRESERVATION?

At its core, historic preservation is about communities. These places connect residents and visitors to our past, creating a collective identity that reminds us of who we were and how far we've come. In turn, people come together to identify, protect, and enhance the historic places that matter to them.

The National Historic Preservation Act, recognizes this when it states (Section 1):

"The historic and cultural foundations of the Nation should be preserved as a living part of our community life and development in order to give a sense of orientation to the American people,";

And further that:

"... the preservation of this irreplaceable heritage is in

the public interest so that its vital legacy of cultural, educational, aesthetic, inspirational, economic, and energy benefits will be maintained and enriched for future generations."

In Nevada, these places might be rock art panels, or petroglyphs, left by the Great Basin's oldest inhabitants or sites important to the Numu (Northern Paiute), Nuwuvi (Southern Paiute), Newe (Western Shoshone), Washoe, and Goshute. Historic preservation can encompass monumental historic architecture like the Hoover Dam in Boulder City or places that remind us of traumatic chapters in our past like Stewart Indian School in Carson City. Frequently it means maintaining historic buildings, parks, and landscapes that make up Nevada's downtowns and neighborhoods. All of these places tell authentic human stories and play a distinct role in Nevada's education, economic development, and identity.

WHY PRESERVE?

Contrary to popular belief, historic preservation does not seek to "freeze" the past. Rather, it supports and encourages the sustainable and appropriate use of historic resources for current and future generations. From a practical perspective, historic preservation can add value to communities by creating economic opportunities. For example, the rehabilitation of an historic building in a significant commercial district can:

- Create more jobs for local construction workers (often more than a new construction project might);
- Revitalize a neighborhood and attract new businesses, tourists, and visitors;
- Reflect an image of high-quality goods and services, small-town intimacy, reliability, stability, and personal attention;
- Create a sense of place and community, an important ingredient for quality of life;
- Minimize environmental impact as rehabilitation requires far less energy than demolition and new construction.
- Reduces demolition and disposal costs and environmental impact.
- Improve the financial solvency of local governments by retaining higher-density traditional development patterns and raising sales tax revenues.¹

NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT OF 1966

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 was passed primarily to acknowledge the importance of protecting our nation's heritage from rampant federal development. It was the triumph of more than a century of struggle by a grassroots movement of committed preservationists.

- Sets the federal policy for preserving our nation's heritage
- Establishes a federal-state and federal-tribal partnership
- Establishes the National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Programs
- Mandates the selection of qualified State Historic Preservation Officers
- Establishes the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- Charges Federal Agencies with responsible stewardship
- Establishes the role of Certified Local Governments within the States

<http://ncshpo.org/resources/national-historic-preservation-act-of-1966/>

A BRIEF HISTORY OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The story of historic preservation in the United States has always been one of partnerships: between public and private concerns, between different levels of government, and among private citizens. As early as the 1810's in Philadelphia, public outcry led to the preservation of Independence Hall in recognition of its role in our nation's founding. The Mount Vernon Ladies Association was formed in the 1850s to acquire George Washington's estate and preserve it as a monument to his legacy. The example set by the Ladies Association inspired other organizations across the country to acquire historic buildings and preserve them for the benefit of the public. In some communities, city councils passed zoning laws to preserve community character: the city of Charleston, South Carolina established the first local historic district in 1931. These early actions formed the core of America's preservation ethic and its development as a grassroots phenomenon.²

In the western United States, the federal government, as the manager of vast amounts of public land, took a stronger role in preservation. Designations of national parks, beginning with Yellowstone in 1872, sought to protect monumental landscapes.³ By 1906, Congress passed the Antiquities Act with the intent to preserve sites of archaeological importance, such as Casa Grande and Mesa Verde. It also authorized the President to establish national monuments. The Antiquities Act also imposed legal penalties for those caught looting sites. Over time, Congress passed other laws expanding and formalizing preservation, including the National Historic Sites Act in 1935, which mandated the National Historic Landmarks program to document and celebrate sites important to national history. However, despite more formalized recognition on federal lands, preservation of the nations' historic sites remained fragmented and generally de-

pendent on local citizens and private philanthropy.

In response to large-scale federal highway and urban renewal projects occurring after World War II, Congress passed the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA, 1966). The NHPA was a watershed moment in American preservation history, establishing the constellation of programs that define preservation today. The Act requires all federal agencies to identify and account for the impacts (effects) of federally funded or permitted projects upon historic resources. It also established the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and the Secretary of Interior's qualifications and guidelines for best preservation practices. It gave a precise definition of the term "historic" and authorized the creation of State Historic Preservation Offices (SHPO's). Additionally, the Act designated the Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) as a block grant program to support state preservation activities.

Amendments to the Act (1980) created a certification program for local governments and earmarked a portion of each state's HPF grant to support it. That same year, the National Trust for Historic Preservation - the nation's leading non-profit organization promoting preservation of historic places - established the National Main Street Center as a means to advance revitalization efforts in historic downtowns. Since that time, historic preservation in the United States has developed into a vibrant network of national, state, and local programs aimed at preserving buildings, sites, archaeological resources, and other places of cultural value for future generations.

BERLIN-ONE OF THE FIRST Nevada State Parks

As a typical turn-of-the-century Nevada mining town, Berlin (built in 1902) was added to the National Register of Historic Places in 1971.



NEVADA AT A GLANCE



SUNSET WITH BLUE

by Earnest, K.

Nevada sits at the center of a unique geography, split between the Great Basin in the north and the Colorado River basin in the south. The Great Basin is a large ecological and topographic area spanning most of Utah, Nevada, and portions of Idaho, Oregon, and California. It is most commonly defined by the fact that its rivers do not outlet to the sea. Water primarily from mountain snowmelt, has been one of the critical factors in defining human settlement in all periods of the states' prehistory and history. The northern two-thirds of Nevada are defined by steep mountains intermixed with sloping valleys and (usually) dry lakebeds. The southern third of the state takes on the geography of the Mojave Desert. The biotic environment of the state is equally varied, ranging from alpine forests along the Sierra Nevada near Reno, to rock scree and tundra in the Snake Mountains and Great Basin National Park, to the Joshua trees and creosote bushes of the Mojave Desert outside Las Vegas. Nevada is also the country's third most seismically-active state behind California and Alaska. Seismic activity and aridity are common to all areas of the state, with drought and

fire increasing as climate change worsens.

As of 2017, Nevada boasted nearly three million people, over two million of whom were concentrated in Clark County. Three-quarters of the state's other 800,000 residents are clustered in the Reno-Tahoe area and benefits from the water supply and outdoor recreation opportunities afforded by the Sierra Nevada Mountains. With few exceptions (e.g. Elko) the remaining 200,000 Nevadans are spread across numerous rural communities of 10,000 people or less.⁴ The concentration of state residents in two urban centers has created an administrative and political divide between Las Vegas, Reno-Tahoe, and the rest of Nevada. This is further reinforced by the fact that over eighty-percent of the state's landmass is owned by the federal government, most of which is overseen by the Bureau of Land Management. Some counties have over ninety-percent of their land mass in federal ownership, making relationships between local governments and federal administrators critical to success.

Nevada's economic base relies significantly on mining and tour-

ism, although agriculture remains a critical sector in many communities. Since the Second World War, a growing array of defense bases and industries, energy development, and light manufacturing have spurred growth in new areas and redevelopment in old areas. This has led to some economic diversification, but has also brought land disputes as competing interests (mining, ranching, tourism, and ecology) place pressure on federal land managers to balance needs. Tourism saw its first serious development in 1931, with the legalization of gambling. Today, tourism accounts for approximately \$63.7 billion (2017) and employs about 460,000.⁵ Due to its reliance on the somewhat volatile industries of tourism and mining, Nevada often suffers from longer and deeper economic swings than states with more diversified economies. For example, after the financial crisis of 2008, the nation as a whole saw unemployment peak at 10% in late 2009; and dropping to 3.7% in by late 2018. In contrast, Nevada's unemployment rate peaked at 13.7% and not until late 2010. When unemployment rates recovered nationally, Nevada lagged until mid-2017, and was still higher than the national rate in late 2018 at

NEVADA AT A GLANCE-CONT.

4.5%. An equally important dynamic is Nevada's low tax environment, which is generally good for business but challenging for local governments seeking to provide public services. Compounding these dynamics is the predominance of untaxable federal land in all jurisdictions.⁶

Due in part to this economic volatility, Nevada's population has shifted significantly. Its native people have been represented by the Numu (Northern Paiute), Nuwuvi (Southern Paiute), Newe (Western Shoshone), Washoe, and Goshute. The non-native population remained extremely small into the late-1850s, although there were large numbers of overland travelers journeying through to Oregon and California. After the first waves of Euro-American settlement in the 1860s, the

state retained a high percentage of foreign born residents compared to the nation, with just under half of Nevada's new residents being foreign-born during the 1860s and 1870s.

Immigration in the twentieth century brought new residents from Italy and Eastern Europe. In 1940, Nevada was a relatively small, rural, post-mining state with 110,247 residents; but with wartime investment and postwar development, that increased to 160,083 in 1950. This post-war era also saw increasing numbers of African Americans moving to the southern part of the state for employment in the defense-related industries. Since the 1950s, immigration from other states and other nations resulted in Nevada standing as the fastest growing state in the union, with the

population moving from 285,278 people in 1960 to 488,738 in 1970. This growth has continued into the present, with the state's population jumping from 1,201,833 in 1990 to its current population of nearly three million as of 2017.

Even in 2018, the Census Bureau announced that Nevada remained one of the fastest growing states in the union.⁷ This has created significant development pressures in the two urban centers, Reno and Las Vegas, with the later now the 30th most populous metropolitan area in the country.⁸ With this growth comes increasing diversity. As of 2017, the state's demographic makeup included a population that was 49.1% white, 28.8% Latino, 9.8% African American, 9.6% Asian or Pacific Islander, and 1.7% Native American.⁹

ANNUAL LOVELOCK CAVE DAYS

Bureau of Land Management

In May, fourth grade students from six different Humboldt and Pershing county schools are treated to the Marzen House Museum and hiking at the Love Lock Cave Site.



NATIONAL REGISTER AND STATE REGISTER IN NEVADA: A SNAPSHOT

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

Created in 1966 as a result of the National Historic Preservation Act, the National Register of Historic Places (or NRHP) is the nation's official list of properties worthy of preservation. It is kept by the National Park Service (NPS), with significant support from state historic preservation offices, local governments, non-profit organizations, and everyday citizens.

NEVADA STATE REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

Created in 1979 by the Nevada Legislature, the Nevada State Register (or NVSRHP) is an official list kept by the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office of places and resources worthy of preservation (NRS 383.085). These resources reflect history, architecture, archaeology, and culture that are important to Nevadans. The Nevada State Register recognizes those places in the state that have significance to the past in a local, state, or national context, and possess good physical integrity to the period during which they were important.

THE NORDYKE HOUSE – REVIVING THE NEVADA STATE REGISTER

After the economic recession in 2008, funding for state historic preservation programs diminished, including support for the Nevada State Register of Historic Places. In 2009, the SHPO mothballed the program. In 2013, the program was revived, but its first new nominations were duplications from National Register nominations. The 2014 nomination of the Nordyke House, the former ranch house of the Nordyke ranch and community in Mason Valley, brought the State Register back to life as an independent sister program to national historic designations. Since that time, nine properties have been recognized, from the La Concha Motel Lobby in Las Vegas to the Fallon Theater in Churchill County.

As of mid-2019, Nevada had 384 properties on the National Register of Historic Places, and over 5,200 resources that contribute to the significance of those properties. With the addition of the McKeen Motorcar in 2012, there are now eight (8) National Historic Landmarks in Nevada. In addition, Nevada's State Register of Historic Places (established by the state legislature in 1979) now includes 160 listings with over 2,270 contributing resources, many of which are also listed in the National Register. The State Register program was temporarily suspended following the 2008 financial crisis. It was revived in 2013 with the listing of both the Welcome to Fabulous Las Vegas Sign and Lorenzi Park, both in the Las Vegas.

The listings in both Registers range from larger urban historic districts such as Virginia City, the Newlands district in Reno, Austin, and Goldfield, to individual buildings such as the U.S. Post Office and Courthouse in Las Vegas and the Riverside Hotel in Reno. They also include large archaeological districts like the Sheep Mountain Range and Panaca Summit, and places of traditional cultural importance to Nevada's native people, like Cave Rock (de 'ek wadapush). They cover a

wide range of historical themes: from the region's earliest human inhabitants, to late-nineteenth century mining and ranching, to mid-century Modern architectural landmarks. Listed resources also include landmarks associated with Nevada's various ethnic groups, such as Harrison's Guest House (a segregation-era African American boarding house in Las Vegas), and the Martin Hotel (a Basque boarding house in Winnemucca).

As both of these programs continue, several opportunities exist to improve both the quality and quantity of listings. Current and planned projects will explore the places associated with groups typically underrepresented in Nevada's historic registers: including women, African Americans, Basques, Asian Americans, and gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgendered Nevadans. The NSHPO also hopes to improve the inventories of historic districts that were listed early in the National Register program, such as Austin, Berlin, Eureka, and Genoa. As outreach efforts have improved and non-profit partners gained resources, the number of nominations produced by entities other than SHPO staff has increased noticeably, a positive sign of health and vitality in the preservation community.

CURRENT INVENTORY

Nevada is comprised of over 70.7 million acres but only 9% of the state (6.6 million acres) has been surveyed for cultural resources. Of that surveyed portion, about 2.7 million acres have been added to the Nevada Cultural Resource Information System (NVCRIS) database since the last preservation plan (2012). The majority of the state's recorded cultural resources have been identified through surveys conducted in compliance with Section 106 of NHPA.

NHPA and state statutes¹⁰ require that SHPO maintain inventories of historic properties to facilitate planning. Thus, the NSHPO maintains NVCRIS, an online geographic information system (GIS) that provides a spatial index of recorded historic properties, NHPA activities, and grant funded projects. The system is supported through federal partnerships and fees. Paper records are held by two archives in the state: Nevada State Museum for northern county information, and Desert Research Institute in Las Vegas for southern counties.

Access to this information reduces redundancies, assists in analyzing risk, and facilitates coordination: crucial components for planning

undertakings. In compliance with the Archaeological Resources Protection Act, locations of archaeological sites are restricted from public access. Accordingly, NVCRIS has two online platforms: a restricted site for qualified individuals and an unrestricted site which provides research level data on the National Register, buildings, and surveys.

Nearly 116,000 cultural resources are represented in NVCRIS, 90% of which are archaeological. Between 2011 and 2018, over 49,800 resources both archaeological (38,687) and architectural (11,182) were entered into NVCRIS. Of those, 12% were determined eligible for the National Register, 44% were not eligible for listing, and 22% remained unevaluated.

Fifty-five percent (55%) of archaeological sites entered into NVCRIS during the last plan period were of prehistoric age. Most of these resources are lithic scatters, camps, food processing sites, quarries, hunting blinds, petroglyphs, and pictographs. Almost 35% of the archaeological resources are of historic age, with mining activities constituting the majority of sites: mining camps, trash scatters, prospect pits, adits, shafts, and charcoal production sites.

Other historic-era resources are related to ranching and agriculture, as well as water and electrical conveyance systems. Of the 11,000 architectural resources (e.g. buildings and structures) over 61% are located in urban environments. This architectural data is available in the unrestricted version of NVCRIS, to facilitate community planning.

Nevada faces several challenges in terms of gaps, biases, and other shortcomings in the existing inventory of cultural resources. For example, recordation of sites in urban areas has generally been piecemeal: either limited to federal project areas or efforts by Certified Local Governments (CLG) to survey selected areas of their jurisdictions. CLG surveys have generally been biased toward residential survey in historic neighborhoods and have privileged pre-1940 neighborhoods over post World War II resources. The evaluation of commercial districts has been sporadic despite their being a prime source of commercial investment incentives. It will be critical for federal, state, and local entities to prioritize survey tasks such that the most significant, valued, and threatened resources are evaluated.

SHOOTING GALLERY

Bureau of Land Management

An archaeological district within Basin and Range National Monument.



PRESERVATION PLANNING

According to the National Park Service, planning is the rational, systematic process by which a community or group of people develops a vision, goals, and priorities across a broad array of interests.¹¹ Preserving a diverse array of cultural resources has long-term benefits for Nevada’s communities and the state’s economy. Doing so is a shared responsibility across government, the non-profit and private sectors, and the public. While everyone who cares about cultural resources can be considered a stakeholder, partners are those entities who actively participate in historic preservation and hold legal or otherwise significant responsibility for the planning and maintenance of cultural resources.

Historic preservation in Nevada depends heavily on the federal agencies who either own land or permit and fund activities. Second only to Alaska in federal land ownership, approximately 61 million acres (85%) of the state is managed by the federal government¹²: an area equivalent to the land mass of Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Maryland combined. Because of this, the majority of historic preservation planning occurs via federal law, specifically Section 106 of the NHPA.¹³ This law outlines a process by which federal agencies must consider the effects of their projects on historic properties, whether the project occurs on federal land or is funded or permitted by a fed-

eral agency. While Section 106 does not require preservation of a site, it does ensure that preservation is factored into federal planning decisions and provides avenues for public comment. Much of how Section 106 works today stems from the realization that industry, states, and cities cannot be expected to plan for historic preservation unless the federal government does the same.¹⁴

Another key partner to the success of Nevada’s historic preservation are tribal communities. There are 28 federally recognized tribes and communities in the state and one federally unrecognized, Pahrump Paiute. Amendments to NHPA in 1992 created Tribal Historic Preservation Offices (THPO) who perform the same functions as SHPO’s on tribal lands. As with much of preservation, the National Park Service approves and certifies THPO programs and provides financial assistance. However, the amount of financial assistance has not kept pace with the number of THPO programs throughout the country.¹⁵ Nevada has four THPOs: the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, and the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California. In July of 2019, a fifth THPO was approved: Duck Water Shoshone Tribe of the Duckwater Reservation. The tribes have been instrumental in updating burial policies and have successfully listed several places of religious and cultural significance on the National Register.



CAVE ROCK

The Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California working with the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office, Nevada State Parks, Nevada Department of Transportation and the US Forest Service all agreed that a Historic Marker commemorating Cave Rock as a special place for the Washoe Tribe was a worthy project.

What started out as a mitigation measure due to NDOT work at Cave Rock became a symbol that not only is important to the tribe but demonstrates working together has a positive outcome of lasting partnerships that realized the true value of respecting a Native Peoples venerated and sacred places and honoring it in perpetuity.

The historic marker is the final part of a long history surrounding Cave Rock that spanned decades of controversy and contention. In the end the historic marker is culmination of the tribes’ efforts to protect our sacred places.

INCORPORATING PRESERVATION INTO NEVADA'S PLANNING FRAMEWORK

Considering the varied benefits of retaining cultural resources, historic preservation can and should be a critical component to planning at all levels of government. Furthermore, Nevada Revised Statute 278.160 requires that all master plans (for cities, counties and regions) have a historic preservation element.¹⁶ The following provides a short summary of historic preservation planning at various levels.

LOCAL PRESERVATION

City and county governments in Nevada have undertaken historic preservation activities since the 1950s, but long-term planning activities have developed more recently. Counties were authorized to create local historic districts in 1979, while municipalities were authorized to do the same in 1989. While statute requires historic preservation elements in master plans, not all communities have plans in place. Some that do are Gerlach¹⁷, Mesquite¹⁸, and Douglas County.¹⁹ Overall, local engagement of preservation planning remains limited even though resources are available and growing. For example, the Nevada chapter of the American Association of Planners maintains a guide which discusses how historic preservation activities can be incorporated into local planning.²⁰

As of 2019, at least nine local governments in Nevada have some version of an historic preservation plan, although the degree of long-term planning and adoption of best practices varies significantly. Cities such as Reno and Las Vegas contain growing constituencies that value sustainable community development practices, including more dense land use patterns and adaptive reuse of existing buildings. This broader

appreciation of preservation as a form of sustainability represents a holistic community development practice. Reno's Reimagine Reno master plan, published in 2018, acknowledged the role of historic buildings in reducing energy and resource use and for preserving dense urban development patterns. Clark County has developed an office for sustainability, and the cities of Las Vegas, Henderson, and Reno have developed sustainability initiatives in their operations.

Five local governments in Nevada have secured the National Park Service's Certified Local Government (CLG) designation: Storey County, Carson City, Reno, Las Vegas, and in August 2019, Boulder City was added to the list. The cities of Winnemucca and Henderson have also explored the benefits of becoming a CLG. Communities in the CLG program are eligible to receive federal historic preservation grants along with technical assistance via trainings, workshops and conferences hosted by a national network of preservation commissions through the National Alliance of Preservation Commissions (NAPC).

REGIONAL PLANNING

Regional Planning Authorities address planning issues spanning multiple jurisdictions. For example, the Truckee Meadows Planning Authority coordinates across Washoe County and the cities of Reno and Sparks. There is presently only one regional planning entity in Nevada that formally incorporates cultural resource management into its purview: the Tahoe Regional Planning Authority (TRPA). TRPA is a unique institution that involves two states, four counties, and three incorporated cities for planning efforts within the Lake Tahoe Basin. TRPA regulates both archaeological and designated architectural resources as outlined in Chapter 67 of its Code of Ordinances. Under that code, both the California and Nevada SHPO's play a consultative role in TRPA's management of cultural resources.

STATE AGENCY PLANNING

The State of Nevada has stewarded many important historic resources since the early twentieth century. However, comprehensive planning efforts on the part of state agencies have generally been limited, instead focusing on preservation of specific sites such as state parks, the State Capitol complex, or the Stewart Indian School campus. Several state agencies other than the SHPO have sought to incorporate cultural resource

LAS VEGAS VALLEY

Includes: North Las Vegas, Summerlin, and Henderson



INCORPORATING PRESERVATION INTO NEVADA'S PLANNING FRAMEWORK

management into their overall planning documents or operational philosophy. Most of these acknowledge the role their operations play in preserving cultural resources, or the need to protect cultural resources during their agency activities. Some examples:

- The interdepartmental Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan incorporates cultural resource preservation into emergency response on multiple fronts;
- The Nevada Department of Transportation's One Nevada Transportation Plan acknowledges both that new road projects may affect cultural resources, and that their roads facilitate outdoor recreation that may support heritage tourism across the state;
- The Nevada Division of Environmental Protection discusses the role that pollution, including diminished air quality, can play in damaging cultural

resources;

- The Nevada Division of State Parks' Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan acknowledges the importance of cultural resources to Nevada's outdoor recreation business, although it contains no goals specific to preserving its cultural resource inventory.

While important, these statements do not provide detailed actions that must be taken. At this time, only the NSHPO provides a comprehensive preservation plan for the state, with goals, objectives, and tasks for public and private partners across multiple geographies. However, as noted elsewhere in this plan, integration of preservation practice into planning across multiple entities is a high priority for Nevada residents. The more that preservation can be planned for, the more effectively it occurs.

STEWART INDIAN SCHOOL



The Stewart Indian School was established through the Nevada State Legislature in 1887 and served as Nevada's only off-reservation boarding school facility for the education of Native Americans between 1890–1980. The campus was listed in the National Register of Historic Places as a historic district in 1985 for its significance in the areas of architecture, education, and Native American culture.

Due to transfer out of the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs' (BIA) ownership, the SHPO holds preservation covenants on the property in perpetuity with the BIA and the State of Nevada, the current owner. The Nevada Indian Commission oversees stewardship, management, and planning for the complex. The terms of the covenants provide the SHPO with the opportunity to review proposed construction projects pursuant to The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

An important recent project on the campus includes the full rehabilitation of Buildings 1 (former Administration Building) & 2 (Post Office) for the new Stewart Indian School Cultural Center & Museum and Welcome Center. Through preservation planning and partnership, the State of Nevada and the Nevada Indian Commission are hopeful that all buildings on this unique campus will be adaptively reused for new uses, rehabilitated, and fully occupied for future generations to enjoy and experience.

If you are interested in learning more about the many different types of stone used to build the Stewart campus, check out this 2018 publication authored by the Nevada Bureau of Mines: <http://pubs.nbmj.unr.edu/Scavenger-hunt-for-rocks-p/e062.htm>

PRESERVATION STAKEHOLDERS AND PARTNERS

Preserving cultural resources requires partnerships. No single agency, organization, or individual has the legal authority, expertise, and resources to preserve Nevada’s cultural resources alone – it is a community effort requiring cooperation and collaboration. Federal agencies, responsible for managing over four-fifths of the state, regularly partner with private economic interests, environmental & cultural resource consultants, private economic interests, tribes, recreationists, non-profit organizations, and state and local agencies to preserve cultural resources on their land. Local governments frequently partner with non-profit organizations and private citizens to preserve historic buildings and neighborhoods in their jurisdictions. The SHPO, not being a landowner or a permitting agency, depends on a broad array of partners to preserve re-

sources, and provides support, expertise, and access to funding to encourage best practices. At the core of these partnerships are interested citizens – people in Nevada’s community’s that care enough about preserving the state’s cultural resources to take action and provide the fuel for these partnerships. That action may include owning and maintaining an historic home, becoming a Nevada Site Steward, leveraging Historic Tax Credits to open a business in an historic building, joining a non-profit group as a volunteer, commenting on federal undertakings in the state that may affect historic resources, or educating elected officials about the possibilities of historic preservation. As has been the case since historic preservation began in the United States, it is individual citizens who ultimately determine what pieces of Nevada’s history remain for future generations.

FEDERAL AGENCIES

- Land Owners - BLM, USFS, NPS, BOR, BIA, etc
- Funding & Permitting - HUD, USDA Rural Development, Army Corps of Engineers

TRIBES

- Tribal Historic Preservation Officers
- Federally recognized tribes & bands

SHPO

- Nevada State Historic Preservation Office

NON-PROFITS

- National - National Trust, NAPC, DoCoMoMo, AIA, APA, ACRA, etc
- Local - Preserve Nevada, NPF, NVFCP, HRPS, NAA, DRI, etc

THE PUBLIC

CONSULTANTS & TRADES

- Design & Construction Trades
- Cultural Resource Firms
- Environmental Firms

STATE AGENCIES

- NDOT, GoED, SPWD, DCNR, Nevada State Parks
- Museums

NSHE

- 4 year - UNLV, UNR
- 2 year - TMCC, Great Basin, College of Southern Nevada, etc

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- Certified Local Governments
- Main Street Communities
- Cities
- Counties

PLANNING PROCESS

The development of this Plan, and specifically the goals and objectives, was a statewide effort involving a variety of stakeholders. Over a 13-month period beginning in November of 2017, SHPO staff gathered data, sought public input, and analyzed feedback. Analysis included background research, identification of initial goals, compilation of stakeholder lists, executive interviews, detailing of success stories, online surveys, and public meetings. Two online-surveys were conducted: the first asking general questions about the state’s preservation environment and the second asking respondents to prioritize goals and objectives. A specialist from the office conducted 26 in-person interviews with a selection of stakeholders from across the state. A full list of interviewed stakeholders can be found in the appendix.


Staff also facilitated six public meetings across the state in late 2018: in Reno, Elko, Tonopah, Gardnerville, Ely, and Las Vegas. Staff completed the bulk of writing and processing for the plan in early 2019, sending the final through the Board of Museums of History (NSHPO’s state review board), prior to final transmission to the National Park Service.

PLANNING PRINCIPLES

- 1 The State Historic Preservation Plan (Plan) should have a statewide perspective that includes an overview of the challenges to the preservation of cultural resources in Nevada and includes all of the partners and stakeholders that may play a part in the plan’s implementation.
- 2 The Plan should reference, and be referenced in, existing state policies and programs. It should relate to statewide policy, incorporate the programs of other agencies, improve the potential for widespread implementation, and demonstrate how historic preservation is intrinsically relevant to the varied interests and activities of Nevada’s communities.
- 3 The Plan should include and support the strategic priorities found in the Governor’s Planning Framework (2016–2020): Vibrant and Sustainable Economy, Educated and Healthy Citizenry, Safe and Livable Communities, and Efficient and Responsive State Government.
- 4 The Plan should recognize and make use of all tools possible, not just those traditional to the historic preservation community. It should emphasize that many state, local, and private programs - including those undertaken by the SHPO - impact landscape, community character, and quality of life.

SHPO WEBSITE

shpo.nv.gov



Start Your Search

Preserving Nevada’s Heritage

The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) encourages the preservation, documentation, and use of cultural resources. SHPO educates the public about the importance of our cultural heritage so that Nevada’s historic and archaeological properties are preserved, interpreted, and reused for their economic, educational, and intrinsic values and for future generations to appreciate.

Get Involved

Places from our past have a lot to offer us. Preserving these important places takes the hard work of people like you.

Historic Places

Explore Nevada’s historic places and why they matter.

Review & Compliance

A partner in assisting federal, state and local governments to meet their historic preservation obligations.

PLANNING CYCLE

This plan has an eight-year life span. Given that somewhat long timeframe, this document should be consulted on an annual basis to determine if tasks have been achieved and if the established priorities have changed.

To ensure transparency and public accountability for progress, the SHPO will host a webpage devoted to tracking the goals herein. All preservation partners, members of the public, and agencies are encouraged to submit accomplishments for inclusion on the website.

At or around the fourth year of the plan (2024), the SHPO will conduct a series of stakeholder meetings both to assess changes in conditions and to highlight accomplishments.

ONLINE SURVEYS

Questions for the first survey were developed from topics identified during early SHPO planning meetings. Questions for the second survey were developed from responses to the first survey. Both surveys provided ample opportunity for respondents to record their views in narrative form. The surveys were sent to preservation partners and stakeholders via press releases, social media, specialized business and rack cards, the SHPO website, and personal networking.

SURVEY #1

This survey was available from February 5 to May 31, 2018. Responses, 783 total, represented residents from all 17 counties.²¹ Stakeholders were asked to describe themselves and were allowed more than one identity choice. Because the survey allowed for several identities, the following percentages will exceed 100. The largest stakeholder response (40%) came from representatives of city, county, and federal governments. Cultural resource professionals, including professional historians and archaeologists, represented 28% of responses. The next largest response came from members of the public (30%), amateur historians (20%) and retirees (19%). Educators and students represented 17% and site stewards represented 11%. Less than 5% of respondents identified themselves as trade/industry professionals, property owners, elected officials, and tribal members.

SURVEY #2

This survey was available from September 27 to November 30, 2018. The total number of responses was 197. Most respondents lived in Clark (35%) and Washoe (29%) counties. Carson City, Douglas County, and Elko County represented a combined 18% of responses. The remainder of the counties had responses totaling 3% or less.

Between the two surveys, most respondents believed that historic preservation should play an important role in creating opportunities for educational, economic, and community development in the next decade. When asked what types of cultural resources were important to preserve, over 70% of respondents identified cemeteries, ghost towns, rock art sites, Native American sacred sites, parks, historic trails, and paleontological resources. In considering threats to these important resources, respondents considered the most significant to be a lack of funding (at all levels) and a lack of awareness of the value and fragility of cultural resources. Important but somewhat less urgent were the lack of interest by governmental agencies and a lack of preservation education in schools and universities. Development pressures, teardowns, and urban sprawl were also identified as threats to cultural resources. Please see the Appendix for more details.

EXECUTIVE INTERVIEWS

In person and phone interviews were held with 26 individuals ranging from U.S. Congress Representatives and Tribal Chairperson to Executive Directors of preservation Non-profits and contract Archaeologists. Each was asked the same questions related to current and future preservation issues, what is important to preserve and why, what actions we need to take as a community to protect these places, and how to best communicate with the general public.

Interview results showed concern for existing laws and process for preservation, development pressures, and the gap in research and survey information. Commonly mentioned actions to improve preservation incorporated collaboration. For example, working together to better utilize funding resources. For a summary of results see Appendix E.

It's the make of our story. Everything that's out there everything that's happened over these several years is going to tell that story to the next generations so to preserve it to make sure it's told in the correct way is of the utmost importance. -Amber Torres, Tribal Chairman, Walker River Paiute Tribe

Quote Pending Approval for inclusion in the final plan.

PUBLIC MEETINGS

In addition to the online surveys, public input was sought via a series of public meetings held between September and November of 2018. Six meetings were held across the state: in Las Vegas, Reno, Gardnerville, Tonopah, Elko, and Ely. Each of the meetings was hosted by a moderator and attended by at least one SHPO staff member. Many attendees were professionals with a demonstrated interest in preservation: including representatives from local governments, tribes, consultants, and cultural resource staff. Some members of the public also attended; including site stewards, business representatives, and concerned local residents.

During the meetings, attendees were asked to rank the importance of eight preservation plan objectives and to brainstorm possible tasks associated with meeting each objective. In the cities where the plan objectives were ranked, the majority of attendees selected "high priority" for most of the objectives. This implied that for the public, many preservation issues have equal importance. This aligned with the feedback received during the online surveys. In other cities, the public chose not to rank the importance of the objectives but ranked proposed tasks instead.

Numerous tasks and solutions were offered during all of the public meetings. A common theme emerged regarding the lack of available information about historic preservation and the desire for guidance: educational materials, lists of qualified consultants/contractors, and technical assistance, for example. This was especially true in the rural areas where preservation expertise is not readily available.

ELKO PUBLIC MEETING

The wall is lined with goals and suggested objectives with potential tasks.



RENO PUBLIC MEETING

The wall is lined with goals and suggested objectives with potential tasks.



EXAMPLE OBJECTIVE

The blue dots represent the priority of the objective. The writing are changes to or additions to the listed tasks.

Objective # 2 Promote, provide, and develop historic preservation tools for professionals, government officials, and the general public	
Objective Priority Ranking	Example Tasks
High 	<p>Support and encourage historic preservation in higher education programs and provide internship opportunities for future <i>historic preservation professionals*</i>.</p> <p>*Promote ongoing development and training opportunities for historic preservation, <i>non-preservation professionals*</i>, <i>non-traditional partners*</i>, and the public. <i>- Partner of fed. program</i></p> <p>*Support the development of a <i>historic preservation trades*/construction training program</i> at Nevada schools and colleges that addresses the critically low levels of historic preservation trades specialists and construction industry laborers in Nevada. <i>public workshops too.</i></p> <p>Host webinars, training videos, program information, and other useful tools for partners and public.</p> <p><i>- Next request: why important? financial incentives</i></p> <p><i>Identify what existing and make available</i></p>
Medium	
Low	

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES



LINCOLN COUNTY ARCHAEOLOGICAL INITIATIVE

In 2006, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Ely District in cooperation with other federal, state and local partners established the Lincoln County Archaeological Initiative to allocate funding from the sale of BLM land in Lincoln County for approved projects. Since then, over 60 projects totaling over 9 million dollars have been funded. The diversity of projects include site inventories, evaluations, ethnographies, educational outreach, public interpretive resources, material preservation, archaeological research technique testing, public site use plans, and environmental assessments.

LCAI funded projects have contributed significantly to our knowledge of regional historic and prehistoric cultural resources. As funding continues new research questions are answered, technologies are developed and tested, sites and resources preserved, and public education developed.

Moving forward, funding constraints, development pressures, and lack of awareness will present challenges to preserving cultural resources in the Silver State. These and other challenges, summarized below, form the foundation of the goals, objectives, and tasks that are central to this plan.

ECONOMIC ISSUES

While Nevada's economy appears to have recovered from the 2008 financial crisis, challenges remain. Over 70% of respondents to the first online survey ranked the lack of funding as a "Serious Threat" to Nevada's cultural resources. At the federal level, grants, funding agreements, and program support were each affected by the 2008 crash and have not fully recovered. For instance, the national budget for BLM's Cultural Resource Management Program is still below pre-recession funding levels.²¹ "Fire borrowing" at the Forest Service has forced the agency to use preservation funds to fight fires.²² While firefighting and other actions are essential, the fact remains that budget shortfalls are felt acutely in the preservation community. For example, there are not currently enough law enforcement officers to effectively patrol and respond to site disturbances on public lands.

At the state level, available funding is limited to either the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation (CCCHP) grant program (which

specifically supports cultural centers in historic buildings), or the federal rehabilitation tax credit. The CCCHP program has lost three-quarters of its funding since 1993 and currently has no dedicated operational budget. Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) sub-grants are available for Certified Local Governments (CLGs), but as of 2019 Nevada has only five CLGs, limiting the impact of those funds.

Many rural communities still depend heavily on mining, ranching, and tourism for their base: all of which are subject to market volatility. Numerous factors, including lack of state and local tax revenue, hinder the possibility of incentivizing historic building rehabilitations through tax credits, abatements, or revolving loan programs. The limited availability of construction workers with historic preservation experience often hinders rehabilitation, slows construction projects, and increases costs: all of which limits the effectiveness of public grant and loan programs for historic properties. Some local governments provide tax or in-kind incentives for preservation, and have access to public and non-profit funding for history or preservation efforts, but these are often limited in scope or influence. The federal rehabilitation tax credit is available for the private sector, but there are very few commercial-zoned properties in the National Register that would facilitate the use of the credit.

Despite the economic and financial challenges facing preserva-

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

tion in Nevada, recent efforts have increased available funding, chiefly by harnessing historic resources for economic development. For instance, the 2017 legislature approved the creation of a Main Street program within the Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED). Main Street America was originally established by the National Trust for Historic Preservation in 1980 as a way to revitalize historic downtowns.

During the 2019 legislative session, the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation (CCCHP) saw a significant increase in funding. The CCCHP program has recently supported critical economic incentives, including the Fallon Theater, El Rancho Hotel in Wells, and the Nevada Northern Railway in Ely. The State Legislature continues its attempts to develop state tax incentives for private developers to rehabilitate historic buildings.

Local governments have increased their operational commitments to historic preservation, with some undertaking historic resource surveys. Las Vegas and Boulder City have committed or are exploring funding for full-time historic preservation specialists to increase their ongoing operational capacity. Furthermore, in 2005 Las Vegas created a Centennial Grant to fund preservation activities. Proceeds from the sale of commemorative license plates funds small grants for buildings listed in the local register and for neon sign restoration, oral histories, documentaries, and educational events.

Private developers have increased their commitment to preservation by moving forward with sensitive rehabilitations of historic properties from Reno and Las Vegas to Tonopah and Genoa. These projects have moved forward in marketing authentic heritage assets to a tour-

ism audience, and have increasingly used preservation incentives to leverage financing.

DEVELOPMENT PRESSURE

As stated previously, Nevada is one of the nation's fastest growing states.²³ As a result, several communities are experiencing a housing crisis. The drive for housing creates pressure to expand city borders and redevelop urban centers. Expanding borders into open areas increases the risk to significant archaeological resources. The redevelopment of urban centers has led to demolitions of important (and often neglected) historic buildings, in favor of modern multistory buildings. This redevelopment can also lead to gentrification, which may displace minority communities and thus local understanding of the value of certain sites. New residents are often disconnected from local history and are less inclined to spend

MAIN STREET & PRESERVATION EDUCATION



Hotel Nevada, Ely

In 2017, the Nevada State Legislature created the Nevada Main Street program, overseen by the Nevada Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED). That program's creation has precipitated a marked increase in inter-agency coordination between GOED, Travel Nevada, and the SHPO on how to support economic development in rural areas. Heritage tourism assets and downtown historic areas are pillars of that growth, and the three agencies have begun coordinating on training and public education opportunities since 2018. As GOED and Travel Nevada develop and refine their own programs, the SHPO has conducted reconnaissance-level surveys of most of Nevada's rural downtowns, including many that are applying for participation in the Nevada Main Street program. The Office has also revised its Certified Local Government program to allow for easier participation by rural governments, with significant interest across the state. Coordination between the state's rural development agencies has been critical to this success.

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

tax dollars on preserving old buildings when it is presumed to be cheaper and easier to “start new”.

In contrast to urban areas, rural communities struggle to maintain their population and wealth. Towns like Austin, Tonopah, and Pioche struggle to amass the funds needed to repair and rehabilitate their historic buildings. As populations in many of Nevada’s rural counties decline, it becomes difficult to maintain historic businesses and homes.²⁴ In other rural areas where the economy is active but volatile, housing options are limited - as is access to capital that might be directed at rehabilitating historic resources to create more housing.

In the midst of this uneven growth, opportunities exist to leverage historic preservation for sustainable development and land use patterning. In fact, there is a growing desire for the adoption of sustainable environmental practices on multiple fronts, including the stewardship and conservation of public lands. Reno’s Reimagine Reno master plan (2018) is one example of how a community can recognize the role of historic buildings in reducing energy and resource use, as well as the maintenance of dense urban development patterns.²⁵

In the hopes of encouraging broader participation by local governments, especially in rural areas, NSHPO began the process of revising its CLG pro-

gram so more communities can participate. Local governments who create citizen commissions and are approved by the National Park Service gain exclusive access to funding and training to encourage the identification and adaptive reuse of historic properties.

Another form of development pressure comes from Nevada’s booming tourist industry, which brings over 50 million people to the Silver State annually.²⁶ Besides the gaming tables, many of these tourists participate in outdoor recreation, supporting 87,000 jobs while spending almost \$12 billion a year.²⁷ An increase in heritage tourism and outdoor recreation has drawn the public to already-overused attractions and to traditionally less-visited areas, such as ghost towns. Damage to sensitive historic and archaeological sites may occur due to lack of planning, management, and support infrastructure (restrooms, designated boundaries, trails, and lodging). In light of these and other issues related to tourism, the 2019 Legislature created a new division in the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources called the Division of Outdoor Recreation to balance access and amenities with natural and cultural resource conservation and preservation.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

The challenges of population growth and economic development are aggravated by environmental changes. The long-term



GREAT BASIN NATIONAL PARK EROSION STUDY

Wildfires are a fact of life in Nevada with increasing frequency, size, and cost to Nevadans and our cultural resources. Yet the impacts do not stop once the flames have abated. The inevitable spring melt and monsoonal rain events that follow, threatening life and property are equally devastating to many cultural resources within Nevada. The Strawberry Fire of 2016 within the Strawberry Creek watershed of Great Basin National Park (GBNP) was one such event. In the face of this devastation, the GBNP archaeologists have stepped in to study how various methods of erosion treatment and prevention effect, and could prevent, further damage to a wide variety of significant archaeological sites, rock art panels, and historic engineering feats that were threatened. This study is on the cutting edge of developing treatments to protect Nevada’s cultural heritage in the face of environmental change.

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES



INTERNSHIPS: PUBLIC ARCHAEOLOGY & CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Since 2013, the SHPO Southern Nevada Office, which runs the Nevada Site Stewardship Program (NSSP), has offered both undergraduate and graduate level internships on Public Archaeology and Cultural Resource Management. Interns learn how to present complicated archaeological research to the public through interacting with the volunteer site stewards and assisting with presentations for public outreach. Interns also learn how the cultural resource management process works by spending time with federal archaeologists, SHPO employees, and CRM contractors. During their internships they learn the basics of archaeological survey, how Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act works, the laws protecting archaeological sites from damage, and how to conduct site condition assessments. NSSP has had over 30 students from five different universities complete this internship and many of them are now working either in historic preservation or public engagement jobs.

effects of climate change are well-documented by researchers.²⁸ The warming climate is aggravating drought cycles throughout the Great Basin, with average snow pack declining precipitously.²⁹ Warmer temperatures shift precipitation from snow – which acts as a reservoir – to rain (even in the winter) and regular “snow droughts” are predicted for much of the West.³⁰ This shift increases the size and severity of seasonal flooding, as well as instances of acute flash flooding. Water use conflicts between urban and rural interests continue, complicating community development and the agriculture industry. Furthermore, as the two urban centers of Reno and Las Vegas continue to grow reduced green space can result in greater erosion and localized flooding. Higher temperatures and increasing urbanization have also led to increased wildfire risk: the 2017 fire season included several of the largest fires in the nation for that season. These threats endanger Nevada’s cultural resources in many ways.³¹

In an effort to reduce climate change impacts, a 2018 ballot initiative requires the state to move to 50% renewable energy by 2030. Development of renewable energy projects also affect cultural resources, but these initiatives are needed to address climate change.

Seismic activity also remains a key issue. A significant percentage of historic resources are constructed of unreinforced masonry, greatly increasing the risk of earthquake damage. A 2008 earthquake in

northeast Nevada caused severe damage to the City of Wells’ downtown area. Combined with a lack of available funding and political energy, the quake led to the eventual demolition of nearly all of the city’s downtown. Exacerbating this issue is a lack of awareness of the potential for retrofits to mitigate the inherent weakness in masonry buildings. This lack of education for structural engineers leads to either over-engineered solutions (which inflate costs) or to outright demolition (instead of rehabilitation).

AWARENESS

After funding, over 60% of survey respondents stated that a lack of awareness (of the value and fragility of cultural resources) makes preservation difficult. Lack of education about planning and treatment frequently leads to the neglect of cultural resources, which in turn, threatens community character. Without plans for the adaptive reuse of important cultural resources, facilities are neglected, abandoned, and demolished; which leads to higher expenses than rehabilitation would. Some local governments provide incentives through conservation easements or tax deferments, yet the public is often unaware that these programs exist. Surveys further revealed that while local or state laws may require consideration of cultural resources as part of public or private projects, awareness of these laws – and lack of penalties for non-compliance – reduce their effectiveness. Forty-five percent (45%) of survey respondents

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

indicated that insufficient state legislation (to protect historic and archaeological sites) is a serious issue. Not coincidentally, legislation passed in 2019 increased fines and penalties for the destruction of cultural resources and the trafficking of cultural property.

In addition to these concerns, the inclusion of historic preservation in primary and secondary education is variable. While 4th grade students study state history, preservation themes are not consistent there or anywhere within the K-12 curricula. In higher education, both major universities offer archaeology programs but formal training in cultural resource laws, process, and methodologies is limited, leaving many graduates under-prepared for entry level jobs in cultural resource management.

Seventy percent (70%) of survey respondents noted that identification and documentation of cultural resources is a high priority. Key to these identification efforts are the existence of historic contexts which

provide the basis for determining if a resource is eligible for listing in the National Register. Initial efforts were made in the 1980's and 1990's to publish short briefs on various topics, however, the detailed research necessary to evaluate properties and property types for the National Register is generally isolated. Regional synthesis in pre-contact history, while robust in academic environs, has generally not been processed into National Register-applicable planning documents outside of specific project areas. Very little synthesis of architectural history at the state and local level has occurred in either the planning or academic sectors. Key omissions within historical contexts include agricultural history and the history of traditionally marginalized or underrepresented communities.

This said, several public agencies and non-profit groups have completed or initiated long-term research projects to better document our state's past. The **NSHPO** has completed several historic contexts and Multiple Property Doc-

umentation Forms to better frame significant historic contexts: from regional agriculture to schools and fire stations. It has also initiated projects to document the state's history of African-Americans and women. Future statewide projects planned by the **NSHPO** (in part based on community feedback) include contexts for other ethnic groups often underrepresented in preservation research. Over the last two decades, research entities in the Nevada System of Higher Education have increased efforts to highlight state and local history, including regional histories by the University of Nevada Press and UNLV's Documenting the African American Experience.³² Over the last two decades, the University of Nevada, Reno's Basque Studies Center has published numerous scholarly works on Basque communities. In addition, Basque heritage events and businesses are scattered across northern Nevada; from Reno to Winnemucca to Elko. The BLM has published its own contexts (often leveraging Lincoln County Archaeological Initiative

VANDALISM OVER PETROGLYPHS

This type of damage reflects the need for more education and outreach.



CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

grants) including contexts on ranching and pre-contact archaeological sites in Lincoln County. Local governments like the cities of Reno and Las Vegas have completed contexts using HPF sub-grants on various community development topics. And the Nevada Division of State Parks has recently completed a context for Walker River State Recreation Area and for Ice Age Fossils State Park adjacent to the newly created Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument.

Although lack of awareness presents significant challenges, headway has been made among the state's preservation network. Nevada has sent an increasing number of residents to national and regional preservation conferences for preservation, including the National Alliance for Preservation Commissions Forum. The Nevada SHPO's Site Stewardship program (NSSP) has grown to over 400 active volunteers. Citizens help monitor important cultural resources on public land and promote respect and care by monitoring for vandalism, looting, and other threats. For several years, NSSP has been hosting an internship program for undergraduates and graduate in archaeology, over 30 students have gone through the program. Nevadans for Cultural Preservation (NVFCP) works closely with NSSP and providing regular workshops and tours. They've also developed volunteer programs to assist federal agencies with site recording and assessment. The Nevada Archaeological Association

(NAA) hosts an annual meeting and awards ceremony, which is open to the public, along with regular newsletters. Workshops and public events hosted by the Governor's Office of Economic Development, the Nevada Preservation Foundation, and the Historic Reno Preservation Society, are also reaching key stakeholders across the state. Preserve Nevada has reactivated its endangered places list to call attention to at risk cultural resources. Non-profits like the Nevada Preservation Foundation have led popular community programs such as Uncommon Vegas: a photo exhibit and coffee table book celebrating Las Vegas's lesser known historic buildings.

While the challenges may seem considerable, the preservation community in Nevada is growing in its recognition that the protection and preservation of our shared heritage is not only good for community identity, but can also be a catalyst for economic development, urban revitalization, heritage tourism, and sustainability. The following goals, objectives, and tasks were created as a guide for citizens and communities. They should be considered when developing grants, state and national register nominations, historic contexts, reviews, mitigations, and general preservation projects. It is hoped that these goals will reveal tangible ways by which we can make the most of Nevada's history.



HARRISON'S GUEST HOUSE: RECOGNIZING AFRICAN AMERICAN STORIES

Like in many states, there are very few resources that recognize the important role of African Americans in Nevada's history. Black men and women ran shops in Virginia City, operated ranches in Carson Valley, and provided a significant percentage of the labor for Nevada's burgeoning gaming and defense industries in the twentieth century. However, recognizing those contributions in the National Register can be difficult. African American communities were subject to discrimination, disinvestment, and large-scale demolition. Today, many historic African American places are in economically depressed areas at risk of large-scale demolition. Genevieve Harrison operated the Guest House as accommodations for Black travelers and entertainers who were barred from staying in casinos and hotels downtown. Harrison's guests included Nat King Cole, Sammy Davis, Jr., and Pearl Bailey. In 2016, with careful coordination with the National Park Service, Harrison's Guest House was listed in the National Register as one of few remaining historic resources in Las Vegas' Westside neighborhood.

GOAL **1** IDENTIFY AND FORMALLY RECOGNIZE SIGNIFICANT CULTURAL RESOURCES

OBJECTIVE

1 Expand the Team – Educate and encourage Nevadans to participate in the identification of important resources.

TASKS

Encourage federal, state, and local agencies to mitigate the adverse effects of their projects through historic architectural and archaeological surveys, historic structure reports, National Register of Historic Places nominations, and oral histories.

Partner with Main Street communities to identify and interpret commercial historic districts.

Encourage citizen participation in survey and inventory projects in their neighborhood

PARTNERS



OBJECTIVE

2 Build on the Foundation – Expand and improve documentation in key areas of Nevada’s past.

TASKS

Expand and increase the identification and recordation of rock art resources (ex: petroglyphs, pictographs, and geoglyphs).

Identify, mark, and interpret pre-contact and historic transportation corridors to highlight the role of transportation in Nevada.

Identify and interpret rural and agricultural architecture.

Develop training modules for the identification, recordation and evaluation of vernacular architecture

Develop programs to document and preserve Nevada’s cemeteries

Create a framework for Nevada’s mid-century Modern resources, including post-war housing and commercial developments

Identify and interpret the influence of the military on the culture of the State, and the federal role in Nevada’s development.

PARTNERS

OBJECTIVE

3 Tell a Full Story – Identify places associated with the history of traditionally marginalized and/or underrepresented groups.

TASKS

Prioritize thematic cultural resource surveys to locate and identify resources associated with underrepresented groups (women, African Americans, Asians, Latinos, Basques, lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans, etc.) where current documentation is limited.

Collaborate with local tribes to identify cultural resources associated with recent tribal history and, when appropriate, identify and evaluate traditional cultural resources, sacred sites, and other areas of religious and cultural significance.

PARTNERS

GOAL 2 ESTABLISH HISTORIC PRESERVATION AS A CORNERSTONE FOR SUSTAINABLE AND VIBRANT COMMUNITIES

OBJECTIVE

1 Show Preservation Pays – Document and publicize the economic benefits of incorporating historic preservation into sustainable community development.

TASKS

Share existing studies showing the positive economic impact of historic rehabilitation and preservation with a discussion of the negative impacts of disinvestment, neglect and demolition.

Collaborate with the Governor’s Office of Economic Development (GOED) to commission studies that quantify the economic impact of the CCCHP program, as well as historic preservation generally.

PARTNERS

OBJECTIVE

2 Leverage Tools – Encourage the expansion of economic incentives for historic preservation.

TASKS

Encourage targeted incentives to enhance preservation on private land (e.g., state tax credit, micro-grants or revolving loans to private, commercial and residential owners, etc.).

Increase housing volume by leveraging federal rehabilitation tax incentives with other tax credits (and federal programs) to rehabilitate historic buildings.

PARTNERS

TASKS

PARTNERS

Seek broader funding and grant support to save historic buildings and encourage state officials to provide additional funding for the CCCHP program.

OBJECTIVE

3 Expand the Team – Integrate historic preservation into decision-making and planning at the state-wide, regional, and local levels.

TASKS

PARTNERS

Promote and expand participation in Nevada’s Certified Local Government Program and support the incorporation of historic resources into local planning as per NRS 287.160.

Encourage participation in GOED’s Main Street program and work with Main Street communities to publicize successful projects.

Foster the adoption of pro-preservation strategies in comprehensive land use plans.

GOAL 3 PRESERVE AND PROMOTE NEVADA’S SIGNIFICANT CULTURAL RESOURCES WHILE STRENGTHENING THE STATE’S ECONOMY AND INFRASTRUCTURE

OBJECTIVE

1 Acknowledge and address threats – either man-made or natural – to cultural resources in Nevada.

TASKS

PARTNERS

Build partnership to monitor and address the impact of outdoor recreation on cultural resources.

Promote participation in the Nevada Site Stewardship Program.

Provide regular training for professionals, government officials, and the public on Secretary’s Standards to foster best practices in preservation.

Strengthen and publicize statutes that protect cultural resources from vandalism and harm.

OBJECTIVE

2 Seek efficiencies in federal and state development review processes.

TASKS

PARTNERS

Conduct outreach to address common misperceptions about the Section 106 process of National Historic Preservation Act along with state statutes.

Promote the use of Programmatic Agreements, either project based or program based, to streamline complex Section 106 projects.

Encourage the creation and use of thematic studies, formalized historic contexts, Multiple Property Documentation Forms, and proactive survey and inventory to improve the pace and quality of federal undertakings.

OBJECTIVE

3 Promote authentic heritage tourism as an integral part of Nevada’s economy.

TASKS

PARTNERS

Employ collaborative marketing strategies to expand access to information about Nevada’s authentic heritage assets and experiences.

Research, publish, and promote the role of heritage-based tourism in enhancing economic development.

GOAL 4 **PROVIDE NEVADANS WITH ACCESS TO INFORMATION ABOUT CULTURAL RESOURCES AND HOW TO CARE FOR THEM.**

OBJECTIVE

1 Develop and distribute K-12 educational information about Nevada’s cultural resources and historic preservation.

TASKS

PARTNERS

Invigorate and encourage the use of national K-12 education programs, such as Teaching with Historic Places and Project Archaeology.

Support the development of tools for K-12 educators such as traveling exhibits, portable/downloadable activities and lesson plans.

TASKS**PARTNERS**

Expand extra-curricular activities such as virtual exhibits, comics, board games, and other family activities.

OBJECTIVE

2 Promote the development of post-secondary training programs in historic preservation-related fields.

TASKS**PARTNERS**

Incorporate historic preservation content into current and new trades/construction programs to address the state's critically low level of informed construction industry professionals.

Create a path to professionalism for future and cultural resources managers, either through internships, certificate programs, or expanded curricula.

OBJECTIVE

3 Develop new historic preservation tools for professionals, government officials, and the interested public.

TASKS**PARTNERS**

Compile resources, develop best-practices guides, and present preservation content online and in-person.

Develop a regular state-wide historic preservation conference as a forum to address community preservation issues

GOAL 5 FOSTER A DIVERSE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMUNITY.

OBJECTIVE

1 Develop and enhance relationships among existing and potential historic preservation partners.

TASKS**PARTNERS**

Formalize preservation connections with national organizations (American Institute of Architects, American Planning Association, etc.); regional organizations (economic development authorities, Nevada League of Cities, Nevada Association of Counties, etc.); and local non-profits (historical societies, friends groups, etc.)

Recognize achievements of preservation partners through formal awards programs at the local, regional, and state level.

OBJECTIVE

2 Enhance involvement of traditionally underrepresented groups.

TASKS

PARTNERS

Invite members of traditionally underrepresented groups to formally participate in preservation activities including membership on boards and commissions.

Encourage the involvement of Tribes and underrepresented communities in projects that represent their respective cultures, and encourage grassroots development of projects within those communities.

2012-2020 GOALS

The information below is a preliminary draft and is currently under construction. If you have a success story that supports any of these goals, we'd love to hear about it. Please include examples in your comments.

The goals and objectives for the 2012 preservation plan were developed as the state was recovering from the severe economic blow of the 2008 recession. Many historic preservation programs in the state experienced a loss of funding and staff. During this time, some NSHPO programs were suspended and the agency was moved to the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. The Department of Cultural Affairs, NSHPO's previous home, ceased to exist by September 30, 2011. In the last 8 years, most of NSHPO's programs have been restored and progress on these goals continues. Listed below are some successes and accomplishments.

GOAL A - PROTECT HISTORIC PROPERTIES

1. Work with local governments and property owners to prevent demolition through neglect of historic properties.
 2. Assist federal agencies in assessing effects
 3. Ensure that local governments are aware of alternative building codes for historic buildings
 4. Serve on local planning commissions
 5. Maintain NVCRIS
 6. Find programmatic solutions to energy efficiency projects for historic buildings
 7. Seek common solutions to cumulative effects
- In an effort to prevent or at a minimum reduce further damage from wildfires to a wide variety of significant sites, the National Park Service conducted a Great Basin Erosion Study (page 23) which tested various methods of erosion treatment and prevention measures.
 - Along with the regular maintenance of the Nevada Cultural Resources Information System (NVCRIS), an unrestricted service was created to provide information to preservation partners.
 - Hoover Dam Spillway House LEED Project...
 - DOE PA for weatherization...
 - BLM Landscape Analysis for California Emigrant Trail...

Under Construction
Please list any
Success Stories from 2012
to 2019 that go with
each of these
goals.



THE PINK HOUSE

The Reese-Johnson-Virgin House, or "Pink House" as it is more commonly known, was listed in the National Register on July 21, 2004, and has been a contributing building in the Genoa Historic District. Lois Wray acquired the Pink House in 2007 and in 2015, began a rehabilitation process to turn the once-prominent residence into a café, deli, and charcuterie. The project was the first in Nevada since 2012 to successfully secure federal Historic Tax Credits.

2012-2020 GOALS

GOAL B - INCREASE FUNDING AND SUPPORT FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION

1. Restore funding for Commission for Cultural Affairs grants
 2. Support capital improvements to historic buildings
 3. Fund certified local government programs
 4. Support return of funding to historic facilities at state and local level
 5. Support use of federal and state tax incentives for economic revitalization
 6. Re-establish State Register and State Marker programs
 7. Restructure fee schedule for NVCRIS
- Goldfield High School...
 - A sub grant from the Historic Preservation Fund partially funded seismic retrofitting and created faculty space for the historic Lincoln Hall built in 1896 at the University of Nevada, Reno.
 - The Stewart Indian School (page 16) underwent a full rehabilitation of two buildings that became the new Stewart Indian School Cultural Center & Museum and Welcome Center.
 - The Pink House (Appendix A) rehabilitation project was the first in Nevada since 2012 to successfully secure federal Historic Tax Credits. The project focused on retaining and repairing the home's historic materials, while adaptively reusing it as a commercial anchor in Genoa.
 - The 2014 State Register nomination of the Nordyke House (page 12), brought the program back to life as an independent sister program to national historic designations. Since that time, nine properties have been recognized, from the La Concha Motel Lobby in Las Vegas to the Fallon Theater in Churchill County.
 - Mob Museum...

BLACK CANYON ARCHAEOLOGICAL DISTRICT

The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) along with members of the Southern Paiute and local stakeholders formed a collaborative plan focused on developing district facilities, recreation opportunities, as well as natural and cultural resource protection for the Black Canyon Archaeological District on the Pahrangat National Wildlife Refuge.

Beginning in 2012, a site inventory and analysis was performed to understand the complexities and condition of the archaeological district, recreational usage trends, and identify potential future development opportunities. This information was presented to Southern Paiute tribal members (Nuwuvi Working Group) and local stakeholders as part of a design meeting to collect input. Three design alternatives were created based on community comments, which were presented to the same group in June 2014 where additional input was gathered. Feedback from this meeting was used to guide the master planning effort, which was subsequently sent out for another comment period from November to December 2014. The result of this collaborative effort will be a topnotch recreation area highlighting the tremendous archaeological, ecological, and geological nature of Black Canyon.



2012-2020 GOALS

GOAL C - INFORM AND EDUCATE THE PUBLIC

1. Educate regarding economic benefits of preservation
 2. Provide information to realtors and engineers on rehabilitation in historic neighborhoods
 3. Place National Register and architectural databases on line
 4. Work with partners to incorporate historic preservation into K-12 curriculum
 5. Ensure that there is a public benefit to any mitigation
 6. Provide the public with more information about and interpretation of sites so public feels an “ownership”
 7. Provide historic preservation information electronically and in print.
 8. Post historic preservation events all year on SHPO web calendar
 9. Conduct workshops on National Register and Tax Act for public
 10. Support interns in historic preservation
- NVCRIS’s unrestricted access provides an interactive online resource for ...
 - The BLM’s Lincoln County Archaeological Initiative (page 21) has funded a variety of education focused projects including community outreach efforts, a curriculum program for the area schools, and a video exploring predicative modeling of archaic sites along Pleistocene lakeshores.
 - A historic marker was erected to commemorate Cave Rock (page 14) as a special place for the Washoe Tribe.
 - Red House...
 - The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service collaboratively planned publicly interpreted access trails into Black Canyon archaeological district (Appendix A).
 - The SHPO Southern Nevada Office, which runs the Nevada Site Stewardship Program (NSSP), developed and has continued to offer both undergraduate and graduate level internships on Public Archaeology and Cultural Resource Management (Page 24).

BAHSAHWAHBEE-SWAMP CEDARS

Bahsahwahbee, or Swamp Cedars in Spring Valley, is a traditional cultural place for Goshute and Western Shoshone (Newe). It is exceptionally significant for its unique cultural practices, religious ceremonies, and numerous massacres committed against the Newe by Euro-Americans in the mid and late 1800s. At Swamp Cedars, the Newe honor their ancestors whose spiritual embodiments are the swamp cedar trees and are connected to the water. Beginning in 2012, the Confederated Goshutes, Duckwater, Ely Shoshone Tribes initiated the process of nominating Bahsahwahbee to the National Register of Historic Places to ensure recognition by the federal government and in hopes that the site would remain protected for traditional use for future generations. The Tribes and their consultant led the effort, while the Nevada SHPO and Bureau of Land Management provided technical guidance and support. The National Park Service listed Bahsahwahbee in the National Register on May 1, 2017.


Beginning in 2012, a site inventory and analysis was performed to understand the complexities and condition of the archaeological district, recreational usage trends, and identify potential future development opportunities. This information was presented to Southern Paiute tribal members (Nuwuvi Working Group) and local stakeholders as part of a design meeting to collect input. Three design alternatives were created based on community comments, which were presented to the same group in June 2014 where additional input was gathered. Feedback from this meeting was used to guide the master planning effort, which was subsequently sent out for another comment period from November to December 2014. The result of this collaborative effort will be a topnotch recreation area highlighting the tremendous archaeological, ecological, and geological nature of Black Canyon.

2012-2020 GOALS

GOAL D - IDENTIFY AND DESIGNATE PROPERTIES TO THE NATIONAL REGISTER

1. Identify and evaluate historic landscapes
2. Identify and interpret historic transportation corridors
3. Record and recognize rural architecture
4. Survey for mid-twentieth century architecture
5. Record and evaluate threatened historic and prehistoric sites
6. Evaluate and designate traditional cultural properties

- Jacobs Berry Farm...
- Downtown commercial surveys...
- NPF surveys of LV...
- Bahsahwahbee (Swamp Cedars) (Appendix A) a significant site for the Goshute and Western Shoshone (Newe) was listed on the National Register in 2017.
- Cave Rock..
- Dance Hill Area



HERITAGE TOURISM REVIVAL IN NEVADA

As Nevada recovers from the 2008 recession, tourism is a growing economic sectors, specifically in outdoor recreation and heritage tourism. More visitors to Nevada are demanding authentic experiences, often in historic downtowns areas, buildings, and landscapes. Since 1993, the SHPO's primary means of supporting Nevada's heritage tourism industry has been the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation (formerly the Commission for Cultural Affairs). Between 1993 and 2017, the CCCHP has awarded nearly \$42 million to history museums, community centers, and other public facilities in historic buildings. Projects have been large, like the Mob Museum in Las Vegas, and small, like the Jarbridge Community Center in Elko County. While the program struggles from diminished funding, it remains an important tool for developing Nevada's heritage tourism assets, from the large institutions like the Nevada Northern Railway in Ely, and the Western Folklife Center in Elko, to rural destinations like the Cookhouse Museum in Battle Mountain.

2012-2020 GOALS

GOAL E - IDENTIFY NEW PRESERVATION PARTNERS

1. Continue implementing site stewardship program
 2. Create new functions for volunteers
 3. Identify and involve underserved populations
 4. Look to Nevada Commission on Tourism to publicize heritage tourism
 5. Partner with local communities to mark and interpret historic neighborhoods
 6. Partner with Preserve Nevada or other statewide organization to hold historic preservation conference
 7. Partner with preservation groups to create speaker's bureau
 8. Partner with local governments to rethink urban development
 9. Seek to partner with interested tribes
 10. Work with Nevada Association of Counties (NACO) to develop heritage tourism
 11. Strengthen preservation advocacy organizations
- The Nevada Site Stewardship Program has continued to thrive, maintaining an average of 350 active volunteers and has expanded into more public outreach through working on Lincoln County Archaeological Initiative Grants.
 - The Harrison House National Register Nomination (page 26) in 2016 recognized the important role of African Americans in Nevada's history.
 - Western Folklife
 - Rural Roundup
 - The Main Street Program (page 22), established in 2017, supports economic development in rural areas through heritage tourism assets and is founded on the belief that downtown historic areas are pillars for economic growth.

PLANNING PROCESS

The development of the Nevada State Historic Preservation Plan was a statewide effort involving a variety of stakeholders. Over a 13-month period beginning November of 2017, SHPO staff gathered data, sought public input, and developed the plan based on the feedback received.

BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND IDENTIFYING INITIAL GOALS

To begin the planning process, SHPO staff reviewed past preservation plans, focusing on the most recent the *2012 Nevada Comprehensive Preservation Plan*. The goals and objectives of that plan were reviewed, and their ongoing relevance was discussed. Some of the goals from 2012 were recognized as having continued importance. In addition, the staff identified potential new objectives, challenges, and opportunities.

COMPILING STAKEHOLDER LISTS

SHPO staff drew from their extensive contact lists and familiarity with the preservation community to identify hundreds of potential stakeholders, including people in state agencies, federal agencies, preservation

non-profits, city and county governments, schools, and universities. The stakeholders were later invited to help identify goals and objectives for the 2020 preservation plan via online surveys.

IDENTIFYING EXECUTIVE INTERVIEW SUBJECTS

The SHPO sought in-depth feedback from several notable members of the Nevada preservation community. The SHPO staff identified the interview subjects via a series of staff brainstorming meetings. The subjects were chosen based on their unique perspectives on the state's preservation issues.

IDENTIFYING SUCCESS STORIES

Staff brainstorming meetings were also used to compile preservation success stories that occurred since the implementation of the 2012 preservation plan. The success stories were selected to highlight the plan's goals and objectives. The stories can be found throughout this document.

SURVEY QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

Two online surveys were sent via email to stakeholders. In addition, news about the surveys was spread to the general public via business cards, press releases, social media, and the SHPO website. SHPO staff worked together to draft the survey questions, using the topics identified during early planning meetings. Questions for the second survey were developed to explore issues raised by responses to the first survey. The focus of the combined surveys was taking the general preservation goals and turning them into objectives, then identifying tasks that could help achieve each objective.

Survey #1

The 18 questions in the first survey were designed to solicit broad feedback, including current issues, opportunities, roles of various agencies and groups, and views on preservation in general. Responses were used to help finalize the preservation goals. This survey was available from February 5, 2018 to May 31, 2018. The total number of responses was 783, 70% of which were between 24-64 years of age. Responses were obtained from citizens in all 17 counties.

Stakeholders were asked to describe themselves and were allowed more than one identity choice. Because the survey allowed for several identities, the following percentages will exceed 100. The largest stakeholder response (40%) came from representatives of city, county, and federal governments. Cultural resource professionals, including professional historians and archaeologists, represented 28% of responses. The next largest response came from members of the public (30%), amateur historians (20%), and retirees (19%). Educators and students represented 17%. Site stewards represented 11%. Less than 5% of respondents identified themselves as trade/industry professionals, property owners, elected officials, and tribal members.

Most respondents believed that historic preservation should play an important role in creating opportunities for educational, economic, and community development in the next decade. When asked what types of cultural resources were important to preserve, over 70% of respondents identified cemeteries, ghost towns, rock art sites, sacred sites, parks, historic trails, and paleontological resources. In considering threats to these resources, respondents identified a lack of funding at all levels (71%)

and lack of awareness of the value and fragility of cultural resources (64%) as the two most significant. However, development pressures, teardowns, and urban sprawl were also identified.

Survey #2

The second survey contained 11 questions geared more towards the clarification of state's preservation goals. The questions encouraged public prioritization of goals, objectives, and tasks. The survey was available from September 27, 2018 to November 30, 2018. The total number of responses was 197.

Most of the people who responded to the second survey lived in Clark (35%) and Washoe (29%) counties (the location of the state's two largest population centers: Las Vegas and Reno). Carson City, Douglas County, and Elko County represented a combined 18% of responses. The remainder of the counties had responses totaling 3% or fewer. Over three-quarters of the respondents learned about the survey via email.


Overall, the responses were highly varied. Few objectives or tasks emerged as noticeably more important than others. For example, 82% of people identified education as a "high priority" objective, but most other objectives were also identified as "high priority," including integrating preservation into local and regional planning (73%), identification, and documentation of cultural resources (70%), and focusing on sustainability related to preservation (70%). Similarly, a list of 35 potential tasks (suggested by the public during the first survey) resulted in almost all tasks being selected as important. No task received fewer than 37% of responses or greater than 67% of responses.

As with the first survey, the majority of respondents identified lack of awareness as a critical issue. This lack of awareness was attributed to low funding (78%), insufficient local protection (62%), lack of interest by governmental officials and agencies (59%), and lack of preservation education in schools and universities (58% and 55% respectively). Resource protection was also identified as urgent. This was attributed to a lack of awareness of preservation's economic benefits (73%), insufficient local protections (68%), development pressure (59%), and lack of available economic incentives (55%).

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1


1. In What Nevada county do you spend most of your time? Please select one county.

783 Answered 0 Skipped



2. What is your age group?

783 Answered 0 Skipped



PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

3. Please describe yourself. Please choose all that apply.

774 Answered 9 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Member of the Public Interested in Historic Preservation	29.84%	231
Tribal Member	0.78%	6
Educator (at any level)	12.14%	94
Student (at any level)	4.91%	38
Government Employee (Local, State, or Federal)	39.79%	308
Elected Official (Local, State, or Federal)	1.68%	13
Retired Person	19.64%	152
Cultural Resource Consultant	9.56%	74
Nevada Site Steward	11.63%	90
Professional Archaeologist	15.25%	118
Amateur Archaeologist	8.27%	64
Trade Professional (Construction Worker, Mason, Carpenter, etc.)	3.10%	24
Owner of a Historic Property	4.52%	35
Realtor or Property Developer	1.16%	9
Certified Local Government (CLG) Member	1.81%	14
Industry Representative	2.07%	16
Other. Please Describe	11.89%	92

4. In your opinion, why is it important to preserve Nevada's historic/archaeological resources. Please select all that apply

702 Answered 81 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Improves quality of life	52.42%	368
Brings tourism dollars to communities	50.14%	352
Creates opportunities for economic development	33.48%	235
Leaves a legacy for future generations to learn from and enjoy	88.18%	619
Creates educational opportunities about history and culture	83.90%	589
Retains community character and sense of place	75.21%	528
Demonstrates respect for our ancestors and culture	75.93%	533
Reduces sprawl and saves open spaces and farmland	37.46%	263
Other -Provide another reason to preserve Nevada's historic/archaeological resources.	8.40%	59

5. From a statewide perspective, what aspects of Nevada's history do you think are underrepresented in the media, schools, museums, public events, and exhibits? Please check all that apply.

659 Answered 124 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Nevada Territory (1861-1864)	38.85%	256
19th Century Mining (1850-1900)	23.82%	157

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

Answer Choices Continued	Percent	Number
20th Century Mining (1901-1978)	22.31%	147
Early to Mid 20th Century Development	23.52%	155
The New Deal (1933-1942)	24.89%	164
Military Defense in the 20th Century	21.55%	142
History of Ethnic and/or Cultural Communities (for example: Native American, Asian American, African American...)	57.66%	380
Women	39.30%	259
Transportation	16.54%	109
Recreation	22.15%	146
Gaming	5.61%	37
Agriculture and Ranching	31.56%	208
Other. Please elaborate on what other aspects of history are underrepresented.	150.2%	99

6. What types of historic/archaeological sites or buildings do you believe are important to preserve? Please check all that apply.

708 Answered 75 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Downtown Commercial Buildings	47.74%	388
Cemeteries	77.82%	551
Rock Art Sites	75.85%	537
Native American Sacred Sites	76.98%	545
University or College Buildings	31.50%	223
Agricultural Buildings (such as Farms, Ranches, and Barns)	54.24%	384
Engineering Structures (such as Bridges and Tunnels)	45.76%	324
Public Buildings (such as Courthouses, City Halls, and Schools)	55.93%	396
Religious Buildings	35.59%	252
Parks with Historic/archaeological Sites or Buildings	70.90%	502
Private Residences	37.57%	266
Mid-Century Modern Buildings	30.65%	217
Trails (such as the California Emigrant Trail, the Old Spanish Trail, or the Salt Song Trail)	72.88%	516
No historic/archaeological sites or buildings are worthy of preservation	2.26%	16
Historic Archaeological sites (such as Mining Camps and Mining Sites)	68.08%	482
Ghost Towns	78.25%	554
Precontact (Prehistoric) Native American sites (such as Campsites, Village Sites Resource Gathering Areas)	66.10%	468
Paleontological Remains and/or Sites (Fossils)	70.06%	496
Historic/archaeological buildings or sites associated with specific Ethnic and/or Cultural Communities (for example: Native Americans, Asian Americans, African Americans...)	67.94%	481
Historic Native American sites (such as Game traps and Hunting areas)	60.59%	429
Other sites or buildings (or you may specifically identify the historic and/or modern community from the box above):	11.02%	78

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

7. Are there specific historic/archaeological sites and buildings that you would like to add to our list? Please answer either yes or no and then click on the “Next” button below.

708 Answered 75 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Yes	15.25%	108
No	84.75%	600

8. Please tell us about the specific historic/archaeological site or building that you would like to add to our list.

103 Answered 680 Skipped

9. If we have further questions concerning this important historic/archaeological site or building, may we contact you?

103 Answered 680 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Yes	31.07%	32
No	68.93%	71

10. Please provide your contact information.

69 Answered 714 Skipped

11. When thinking about the historic/archaeological site or building you felt were important to preserve in Question 6 please identify the threats to the sites or buildings. You may choose as many as you feel apply.

651 Answered 132 Skipped

Threat	Serious		Possible		Neutral or No		No opinion	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Lack of understanding about the fragility of these sites or buildings	52.17%	336	41.93%	270	3.11%	20	2.80%	18
Lack of awareness about the value of these sites or buildings	64.27%	412	31.67%	203	2.65%	17	1.40%	9
Negative perceptions of preservations (e.g., private property concerns)	42.57%	272	42.25%	270	10.64%	68	4.54%	29
Owner neglect and disinvestment	40.86%	257	43.56%	274	10.02%	63	5.56%	35
Insensitive modifications to historic buildings or areas of concern	35.40%	223	48.41%	305	10.32%	65	5.87%	37
Lack of disaster preparedness for historic/archaeological sites or buildings (flood, earthquake, storms, etc.)	29.27%	185	46.68%	295	16.77%	106	7.28%	46
Lack of funding for managing the historic/archaeological sites and buildings, both public and private	71.45%	458	22.15%	142	3.12%	20	3.28%	21

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

Threat Continued	Serious		Possible		Neutral or No		No opinion	
	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count
Lack of state legislation to protect historic/archaeological sites or buildings	45.71%	293	35.73%	229	11.54%	74	7.02%	45
Development pressures, tear-downs, urban sprawl, or infrastructure improvements (power lines, cell towers, etc.)	60.13%	383	29.67%	189	6.91%	44	3.30%	21
Damage from recreation/visitation, such as ATVs, mountain biking, or camping	50.24%	320	36.26%	231	9.42%	60	4.08%	26
Looting (theft)	58.71%	374	32.18%	205	5.81%	37	3.30%	21
Vandalism (spray paint, bullet holes, breakage, etc.)	65.62%	418	27.16%	173	4.08%	26	3.14%	20
What other threats face those resources, please describe:	74 people responded to this question.							

12. Non-profit groups and private associations typically educate the public about historic preservation issues, advocate for preservation laws, programs, or solutions, and sometimes offer preservation services. What do you think are the most effective and realistic tools for Nevada's preservation non-profits and association to use to reduce the threats you identified?

625 Answered 158 Skipped

Tool	Very Effective		Less Effective		Not Effective		No Opinion	
	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count
Provide public outreach and education (such as websites or tours of historic neighborhoods)	81.91%	507	14.22%	88	1.45%	9	2.42%	15
Sponsor preservation workshops and conferences	54.43%	332	35.74%	21	4.10%	25	5.74%	35
Conduct surveys to identify important historic/archaeological sites and buildings	52.19%	322	35.01%	216	6.48%	40	6.32%	39
Advocate for funding for state grants for historic building rehabilitation, such as Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation or the Restore Nevada's Treasures revolving fund	78.43%	480	15.36%	94	1.80%	11	4.41%	27
Support and advocate for heritage tourism programs	60.33%	368	30.49%	186	3.44%	21	5.74%	35
Support volunteer programs that monitor historic/archaeological sites and buildings on public land	76.55%	470	18.24%	112	1.95%	12	3.26%	20
Organize volunteers to reduce impacts to historic/archaeological sites or buildings (such as removing brush and cleaning up trash)	71.17%	437	22.96%	141	1.79%	11	4.07%	25
Advocate for local historic preservation ordinances and enforcement	65.70%	406	23.95%	148	5.02%	31	5.34%	33
Hold and enforce preservation easements or covenants that require preserving historic/archaeological sites or buildings on specific private property (such as a historic ranch)	62.38%	383	24.27%	149	6.03%	37	7.33%	45

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

Tool	Very Effective	Less Effective	Not Effective	No Opinion
Other. If possible, please elaborate on what other tools are effective and realistic for preservation non-profits and associations to use to address the threats you identified.	58 people responded to this question			

13. Local governments generally implement land use laws giving them the most legal power to preserve important historic resources, especially on private property. What tools do you think are most effective and realistic for your local government to use to reduce the threats you identified?

594 Answered 189 Skipped

Tool	Very Effective		Less Effective		Not Effective		No Opinion	
Public outreach and education about preservation programs and activities	75.34%	443	19.73%	116	2.55%	15	2.38%	14
Sponsor preservation workshops and conferences	54.56%	317	34.60%	201	4.99%	29	5.85%	34
Conduct surveys to identify historic/archaeological sites or buildings important to the community	54.97%	321	31.68%	185	7.88%	46	5.48%	32
Develop heritage tourism programs	61.41%	358	28.82%	168	4.29%	25	5.49%	32
Monitor historic/archaeological sites or buildings on public land	69.30%	404	21.27%	124	5.15%	30	4.29%	25
Develop local historic preservation ordinances and enforcement to protect historic/archaeological sites and buildings	73.33%	429	18.12%	106	4.96%	29	3.59%	21
Hold and enforce preservation easements or covenants that require preserving historic/archaeological sites or buildings on specific private property (such as a historic ranch)	66.89%	392	19.97%	117	5.9%	31	7.85%	46
Develop grant programs to support the rehabilitation of historic buildings	78.49%	456	16.01%	93	2.07%	12	3.44%	20
Create and support local historic preservation commissions to oversee local preservation programs and ordinances	69.01%	403	21.40%	125	4.28%	25	5.31%	31
Provide tax incentives for owners of historic properties	73.48%	424	17.85%	103	3.47%	20	5.20%	30
Other. If possible, please elaborate on what other tools are effective and realistic for your local government to use to address the threats you identified.	36 people responded to this question							

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

14. The Nevada state government implements state, and sometimes federal laws, regarding historic preservation and provides most of the support for federal preservation programs on non-federal land within the state's borders. What tools do you think are most effective and realistic for the Nevada state government to use to reduce the threats you identified?

570 Answered 213 Skipped

Tool	Very Effective		Less Effective		Not Effective		No Opinion	
Public outreach and education about preservation best practices and state preservation programs	77.58%	436	17.97%	101	1.78%	10	2.67%	15
Sponsor preservation workshops and conferences	58.57%	328	31.61%	177	5.18%	29	4.64%	26
Surveys of communities to identify important historic/archaeological sites and buildings	60.68%	341	30.78%	173	4.45%	25	4.09%	23
Support heritage tourism programs	70.68%	393	21.40%	119	3.78%	21	4.14%	23
Support for monitoring important historic/archaeological sites on public land through the Nevada Site Stewardship Program	79.32%	445	15.69%	88	1.78%	10	3.21%	18
Support state historic preservation laws and enforcement to preserve historic/archaeological sites and buildings	80.96%	455	13.70%	77	2.67%	15	2.67%	15
Hold and enforce preservation easements or covenants that require preserving historic/archaeological sites and buildings on specific private property (such as a historic ranch)	68.93%	386	20.00%	112	5.18%	29	5.89%	33
Fund state grants for building rehabilitation such as the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation	84.11%	471	11.43%	64	1.96%	11	2.50%	14
Train government decision-makers and advocacy groups	75.58%	427	17.88%	101	2.83%	16	3.72%	21
Provide tax incentives for owners of historic properties	75.94%	426	15.86%	89	3.03%	17	5.17%	29
Other. If possible, please elaborate on what other tools are effective and realistic for state government to use to address the threats you identified.	27 people responded to this question							

15. The federal government establishes national preservation policy, including laws and standards, that guide national programs and that obligate federal agencies to try to preserve historic/archaeological resources during the projects that they support. What tools do you think are most effective and realistic for the federal government to use to reduce the threats you identified?

560 Answered 223 Skipped

Tool	Very Effective		Less Effective		Not Effective		No Opinion	
Public outreach and education about preservation best practices	68.23%	378	22.92%	127	5.60%	31	3.25%	18

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

Tool Continued	Very Effective		Less Effective		Not Effective		No Opinion	
Sponsor preservation workshops and conferences	53.64%	295	33.45%	184	8.00%	44	4.91%	27
Develop heritage tourism programs	58.93%	320	28.55%	155	7.18%	39	5.34%	29
Monitor important historic/archaeological sites and buildings on federal land	74.77%	415	16.40%	91	5.23%	29	3.60%	20
Implement federal laws to protect historic/archaeological sites and buildings that are important to history or prehistory	78.12%	432	13.56%	75	5.24%	29	3.07%	17
Award federal grants for preservation activities	87.45%	481	8.55%	47	1.64%	9	2.36%	13
Train government decision-makers	73.29%	406	20.58%	114	3.07%	17	3.07%	17
Provide tax incentives for owners of historic/archaeological sites and buildings	75.55%	414	15.33%	84	3.65%	20	5.47%	30
Other. If possible, please elaborate on what other tools are effective and realistic for the federal government to use to address the threats you identified.	34 people responded to this question							

16. Please rank the following goals for the statewide preservation community in the next eight years in order of their importance to you. You can either drag and drop the goals into the correct ranking or you can provide a number for each goal.

539 Answered 244 Skipped

	All Nevadans desire sustainable communities and know that historic preservation is an essential component to making communities sustainable		All Nevadans understand the value of historic preservation in reversing the decline of main streets and downtown commercial centers		Significant historic/archaeological sites and buildings in Nevada are identified and listed in official historic registers at the federal, state, and/or local level		All Nevadans have access to information about historic/archaeological places and how to care for them		Heritage tourism is an integral part of Nevada's economy		Nevada's historic preservation community is culturally and ethnically diverse		Nevada's infrastructure is strengthened and improved while preserving significant historic/archaeological sites and buildings	
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%	
1	19.88	101	12.43	64	23.83	122	7.93	41	10.44	54	7.46	39	18.83	100
2	16.73	85	17.28	89	16.41	84	14.51	75	9.86	51	11.09	58	13.94	74
3	13.78	70	16.31	84	18.75	96	15.47	80	14.51	75	8.60	45	12.99	69
4	13.58	69	15.73	81	14.06	72	19.92	103	15.28	79	11.09	58	10.92	58
5	14.76	75	14.95	77	11.72	60	15.67	81	18.76	97	14.15	74	9.98	53
6	11.61	59	13.59	70	8.79	45	16.25	84	16.63	86	21.03	110	11.49	61
7	9.65	49	9.71	50	6.45	33	10.25	53	14.51	75	26.58	139	21.85	116

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

17. Are there additional goals that you feel need to be added to the statewide preservation community's list of priorities? Please answer either yes or no and then click on the "Next" button below.

558 Answered 225 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Yes	12.72%	71
No	87.28%	487

18. What additional goals should be added to the priority list?

69 Answered 714 Skipped

19. What do you believe are good methods for the Nevada State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to communicate a preservation message to you and your community?

535 Answered 248 Skipped

	Good method to communicate message to the widest audience		Message might reach some people, but would miss others		Message will miss many people, this is a poor method to communicate	
"Historic Preservation and Archaeological Awareness Month" activities	40.68%	214	52.09%	274	7.22%	38
SHPO web site	31.18%	164	51.52%	271	17.30%	91
Fact sheets and brochures	27.57%	145	60.84%	320	11.60%	61
Hands-on training workshops and demonstrations	40.95%	215	53.71%	282	5.33%	28
Historic preservation curriculum for K-12 students	79.40%	420	18.90%	100	1.70%	9
Preservation conferences	28.65%	151	56.36%	297	14.99%	79
Downloadable videos	35.18%	184	55.07%	288	9.75%	51
Signage and markers at historic sites	74.24%	392	24.24%	128	1.52%	8
Video news releases	45.42%	238	49.05%	257	5.53%	29
Radio advertisements	36.29%	192	44.99%	238	18.71%	99
Newspaper articles	39.73%	209	50.00%	263	10.27%	54
Local television segments	60.04%	317	36.55%	193	3.41%	18
Podcasts	28.87%	151	54.68%	286	16.44%	86
Are there other methods you would suggest for communicating the preservation message?	84 people responded to this question					

PRESERVATION SURVEY 1

20. Are you aware that the Nevada SHPO currently offers programs that do the following:


532 Answered 251 Skipped

SHPO Program	Yes		No		Not Sure	
	%		%		%	
Assists property owners or community members with listing resources in the National Register of Historic Places and the Nevada State Register?	60.30	319	32.14	170	7.56	40
Assists owners of income-producing historic buildings with applications for federal rehabilitation tax credits?	36.42	193	53.40	283	10.19	54
Assists communities to conduct architectural or archaeological surveys to identify and document resources?	51.13	271	41.32	219	7.55	40
Maintains the Nevada Site Stewardship Program (NSSP) to assist in the preservation of historic/archaeological resources on state and federal lands?	70.83	374	24.05	127	5.11	27
Reviews federal projects for their effects to Nevada's important historic/archaeological resources and can assist the public in participating in this federal review process?	65.65	346	27.51	145	6.83	36
Maintains the roadside Nevada Historical Marker program?	70.70	374	2.82	126	5.48	29
Assists local governments to develop historic preservation programs (such as local preservation ordinances and historic resource commissions) through the National Park Service's Certified Local Government program?	57.97	302	35.70	186	6.33	33
Provides grants to Certified Local Governments?	49.90	261	40.15	210	9.94	52
Manages the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation grant program that provides state grant funding to local governments and non-profit organizations in Nevada for the rehabilitation of cultural resources to be used as cultural centers?	54.61	290	36.72	195	8.66	46
Provides educational and training opportunities when requested by local governments and private organizations?	51.33	271	39.39	208	9.28	49
Assists private property owners to protect Indian burial sites	35.35	187	53.12	281	11.53	61

PRESERVATION SURVEY 2


1. Please indicate what Nevada county do you spend most of your time. This will help us to understand the needs and priorities of different parts of the state.

197 Answered 0 Skipped



2. How did you learn about this survey


197 Answered 0 Skipped



PRESERVATION SURVEY 2

3. In your opinion, is historic preservation in Nevada better off or worse than it was 8 years ago?

197 Answered 0 Skipped



4. The 2012-2020 Nevada Preservation Plan contained the following vision statement: "We envision a Nevada in which an educated and caring citizenry respects traditional lifeways and works to protect Nevada's archaeological heritage. We see Nevada as a place where historic properties are preserved, interpreted and reused for their economic and intrinsic values and for future generations to appreciate." On a scale of 1 star to 5 stars (with 5 stars = 100%) how close do you think Nevada is today to realizing this vision?

191 Answered 6 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
1	5.76%	11
2	23.56%	45
3	50.26%	96
4	15.71%	30
5	4.71%	9
Weighted Average	2.9	

5. What Objectives should be a PRIORITY for the state's historic preservation community over the next 8 years? These objectives were compiled from the results of Survey #1. (Please rank whether they are high, medium, or low priority to you)

161 Answered 36 Skipped

Objective	High Priority		Medium Priority		Low Priority	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Support identification, documentation, and evaluation of Nevada's cultural resources	70.44%	112	25.16%	40	4.40%	7

PRESERVATION SURVEY 2

Objectives Continued	High Priority		Medium Priority		Low Priority	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Promote, provide, and develop historic preservation tools for professionals, government officials, and the general public	57.50%	92	36.88%	59	5.63%	9
Enhance relationships among established historic preservation partners and develop working relationships with the new partners and the public	62.89%	100	33.96%	54	3.14%	5
Document and publicize the economic benefits of historic preservation and encourage economic incentives for historic preservation	60.25%	97	32.92%	53	6.83%	11
Integrate historic preservation into local and regional planning and decision-making	73.29%	118	23.60%	38	3.11%	5
Educate the public about Nevada's varied history, its relevance, and ways to help preserve it	81.99%	132	16.15%	26	1.86%	3
Demonstrate the link between historic preservation and sustainable growth, environmentally sound policies, and economic development	70.44%	112	23.27%	37	6.29%	10
Acknowledge and address threats - either man-made or natural- to cultural resources in Nevada	66.67%	106	25.79%	41	7.55%	12
Other (please specify):	26 people responded to this question.					

6. Please identify which Tasks should be undertaken to fulfill the Objectives in the previous question. These tasks were suggested by the public in Survey #1. (Please select all that you agree should be undertaken and feel free to suggest additional tasks)

159 Answered 38 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Develop a documentation and treatment program for historic cemeteries in Nevada	49.69%	79
Initiate thematic cultural resource surveys to locate and identify sites associated with specific ethnic and/or cultural communities for which current documentation is limited	48.43%	77
Encourage federal, state, and local agencies to mitigate the adverse effects of their projects through historic architectural and archaeological surveys, historic structure reports, and National Register of Historic Places nominations	57.23%	91
Hold workshops or roundtables devoted to landscape issues and invite land-managing agencies and organizations to participate	43.40%	69
Support and encourage historic preservation in higher education programs and provide internship opportunities for future historic preservation professionals	62.26%	99
Promote ongoing development and training opportunities for future historic preservation professionals	50.94%	81
Support the development of a historic preservation trades training program at schools and colleges that addresses the critically low levels of historic preservation trades specialists and construction industry laborers in Nevada	55.97%	89
Identify and host webinars, training videos, program information, and other useful tools for partners and the public	48.43%	77
Work with historic preservation partners to develop a Nevada Citizen's Guide to Historic Preservation	49.06%	78
Develop streamlined and locally-appropriate processes for balancing historic preservation and economic development	59.12%	94
Explore a statewide historic preservation awards program, and encourage the development of a state, regional, or county historic preservation award program where they do not exist	46.54%	74
Commission a study to quantify and track the economic impact of the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation, as well as general historic preservation in Nevada	42.14%	67

PRESERVATION SURVEY 2

Answer Choices Continued	Percent	Number
Improve communities' ability to leverage multiple resources (such as grants, loans, tax incentives, etc.) for funding historic preservation	63.52%	101
Promote the Teaching with Historic Places program to local schools and make curriculum development experts aware of the program as a resource	59.75%	95
Consult with professional educational organizations to inquire how the preservation community can assist in teaching our state's history in the classroom	53.46%	85
Support and expand Nevada's Historic Preservation and Archaeological Awareness Month	49.69%	79
Develop and enact a Nevada State Rehabilitation Tax Incentive program to work in conjunction with the Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives program	57.23%	91
Encourage state officials to provide additional funding for the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation program for rehabilitation	52.20%	83
Provide regular trainings for professionals, government officials, and the public on the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, seismic retrofit, solar technology, as well as how historic preservation fits with the IEBC (International Existing Building Code)	55.35%	88
Collaborate with partners to incentivize the rehabilitation of downtown historic buildings and community resources in rural and urban communities	63.52%	101
Develop co-sponsored media regarding primary heritage tourism assets or experiences, the role of heritage-based tourism in enhancing local quality of life along with regional economic development	52.83%	84
Hold statewide or regional workshops, participate with partner organizations' workshops, and continue current participation with partner events	45.91%	73
Draw upon data from existing studies showing the economic impact of historic rehabilitation and historic preservation (e.g. Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives Program)	50.94%	81
Seek broader funding and grant support to save historic buildings in Nevada	61.64%	98
The State will explain, encourage participation in, and make accessible information and education about the Certified Local Government (CLG) program	37.11%	59
Encourage an increase in Certified Local Government (CLG) engagement with local and state officials and legislative representatives	42.77%	68
Encourage innovative Certified Local Government (CLG) grant projects, like window repair workshops, and provide regular reports on grant activities on website	42.77%	68
Encourage Certified Local Governments (CLGs) to link National Register of Historic Places and historic resources survey information in formats useful for local planning	45.91%	73
Establish a historic preservation speaker's bureau to educate the public about the benefits of historic preservation	49.06%	78
All Nevadans know which Native American tribes live in their region, and are familiar with pre-contact, historic, and modern information about these members of the community	53.46%	85
Develop social media workshops to amplify social media message about preservation	43.40%	69
Increase the number of Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives applications in Nevada	63.52%	101
Encourage the State of Nevada and local governments to provide targeted incentives to address proven barriers to historic preservation on private land in the state (i.e., micro-grants or revolving loans to private commercial or residential owners, etc.)	54.72%	87
Continue promoting the Nevada State Site Stewardship program as an avenue for public participation in the protection of cultural resources in the state	67.30%	107
Actively engage the Nevada Department of Emergency Management, and attend meetings to assist Nevada's first responders with cultural resource information and encourage historic preservation strategies in hazard mitigation planning	36.48%	58
Other (please specify)	26 people responded	

PRESERVATION SURVEY 2

7. What do you believe are the most critical issues or challenges affecting AWARENESS of the importance of cultural resources in your community?(Please select all that apply)

154 Answered 43 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Lack of preservation education in K-12 schools	58.44%	90
Lack of preservation education in higher education programs	55.19%	85
Need for strategies to attract new people to volunteer	37.01%	57
Lack of educational materials to demonstrate community and economic benefits	46.10%	71
Inadequate funding for historic preservation and cultural resource protection activities	77.92%	120
Teaching about the past and historic places is not a priority in schools today	49.35%	76
Lack of partnerships between organizations	44.81%	69
Insufficient local protection for cultural resources	62.34%	96
Lack of interest by government officials and agencies	59.09%	91
Other (please specify)	19 people responded	

8. What do you believe are the most critical issues or challenges affecting PROTECTION of cultural resources in your community? (please select all that apply)

152 Answered 45 Skipped

Answer Choices	Percent	Number
Incompatible building codes	23.68%	36
Insufficient communication between agencies and citizens	50.00%	76
Lack of awareness of community and economic benefits of historic preservation	72.37%	110
Lack of economic incentives	54.61%	83
Insufficient research to demonstrate importance of historic resources	51.32%	78
Insufficient local protection for cultural resources	67.11%	102
Pressure to accommodate new development and infrastructure	57.89%	88
Impact of new energy-efficiency improvements (e.g. window replacements) and energy-generating facilities (e.g. solar panels) on cultural resources	26.61%	45
Other (please specify)	13 people responded	

9. If you had \$100,000 or more to invest in improving historic preservation each year, how might you spend it?

126 Answered 71 Skipped

10. Who is not involved in historic preservation that should be?

105 Answered 92 Skipped

11. Are there any other issues or comments that should be considered as the Nevada SHPO continues in the preparation of the 2020-2028 Preservation Plan?

51 Answered 146 Skipped

PRESERVATION SURVEY 2

12. If you would like notification when the draft 2020-2028 Historic Preservation Plan is ready for public review, please provide your preferred email address: (this information will only be used to email you a notification)

79 Answered 118 Skipped

13. If you would like a hard copy of the 2020-2028 Historic Preservation Plan when it is finalized and approved, please provide your preferred mailing address:(this information will only be used to mail you a final copy of the plan. The plan will also be available on our website here)

46 Answered 151 Skipped

PUBLIC MEETINGS

In addition to the online surveys, public input was sought via a series of public meetings held between September 24, 2018 and November 13, 2018. Six meetings were held across the state, in Las Vegas, Reno, Gardnerville, Tonopah, Elko, and Ely. The meeting locations were chosen to allow for the greatest number of people to attend. Each of the meetings was run by a moderator and attended by at least one SHPO staff member. Many of the meeting attendees were professionals with a demonstrated interest in preservation, including representatives from local governments, consultants, and cultural resource staff from federal agencies. Some members of the public also attended, including Nevada Site Stewards, people with business interests in Nevada, and concerned local residents.

During these meetings, attendees were asked to rank the importance of eight preservation objectives and to brainstorm possible tasks associated with each. In the cities where the plan objectives were ranked, the majority of attendees selected “high priority” for most of the objectives - a result similar to the feedback received during online surveys. In other cities, the public chose not to rank the importance of the objectives but ranked proposed tasks instead.

During all of the public meetings, the public suggested numerous potential tasks and solutions. A common theme that emerged during the meetings was the lack of available information about historic preservation and the desire for guidance. There is a need for educational materials, lists of qualified consultants and contractors, and technical assistance. This was especially true in rural areas where preservation expertise is not readily available. Some of the suggestions are as follows:

Objective #1—*Support identification, documentation, and evaluation of Nevada’s cultural resources:*

Ideas for this objective included improved communication and funding, additional federal agency surveys, identifying public priorities, surveying underrepresented resources, surveying cemeteries, creating partnerships, involving decision-makers in preservation planning, streamlining survey requirements, and providing technical assistance and toolkits for rural communities and cemetery preservation groups.

Objective #2—*Develop and promote historic preservation tools for professionals, government officials, and the general public.*

The creation of public toolkits and other guidance materials were common suggestions in this category as well. In addition, the public identified ideas such as webinars, internships, youth vocational programs, hands-on workshops, newsletters, education for the construction industry, creation of a historic preservation conference, and the use of drones for identification.

Objective #3—*Enhance relationships among established historic preservation partners and develop working relationship with new partners and the public.*

Tasks suggested for this objective included activities and events such as scavenger hunts and geocaching, pairing state and local tax credits, a preservation award program, promoting heritage tourism, utilizing social media, and providing incentive for contractors to work in rural areas. Possible partnerships proposed were tribes, banks, realtors, private investors, local museums, and Chambers of Commerce.

Objective #4—*Document and publicize the economic benefits of historic preservation and encourage economic incentives for historic preservation:*

The public submitted ideas such as creating case studies and market analyses, marketing tax credits to out of state developers, finding sponsors, educating officials, creating guidance materials for local communities, additional funding from the state, creating small loans

RENO PUBLIC MEETING

The wall is lined with goals and suggested objectives with potential tasks.



PUBLIC MEETINGS

and grants, and developing a state tax credit for historic preservation. Partnerships were also suggested with the Governor’s Office of Economic Development, the Main Street program, and Nevada Tourism and Cultural Affairs.

Objective #5—Integrate historic preservation into local and regional planning and decision-making:

Meeting attendees identified a number of possible tasks for this objective, such as better outreach, better PR for the SHPO, publicizing the CLG program more, city-wide surveys, promotion and encouragement of local preservation, an additional staff member for the SHPO, more grants and resources, local government buy-in, and social media workshops.

Objective #6—Educate the public about Nevada’s var-

ied historic, its relevance, and way to help preserve it: Ideas for educating the public included a social media network, more frequent mailings, classroom visits, curriculum development, park interpreters, events such as hikes and contests, a preservation expo, traveling exhibits, a “passport” program, tours, volunteer recruitment, creating partnerships with tribes and federal agencies, and creating a network of corporations and private funding sources.

Objective #7—Demonstrate the link between historic preservation and sustainable growth, environmentally sound policies, and economic development:

Tasks suggested for this objective included educating developers and commercial property owners, sending information to owners of historic homes, creation of a state tax incentive, waiving certain building code or zoning requirements, circulating information about tax credits to CPAs, sharing success stories, providing assistance to small businesses, expanding local protections, and creating a set of FAQs for wide distribution.

Objective #8—Acknowledge and address threats – either man-made or natural – to cultural resources in Nevada:

Meeting attendees identified several threats to cultural resources, including fire, vandalism, target shooting, earthquakes, dumping, climate change, indifferent landlords, indifferent or uneducated officials, and insensitive development. Suggested tasks included more education and publicization, more SHPO staff, additional support for the Nevada Site Stewardship Program, and encourage appropriate avenues for the public to report incidents to resources.

EXAMPLE OBJECTIVE

The blue dots represent the priority of the tasks within the objective. The writing are changes to or additions to the listed tasks.

Objective # 1
Support identification, documentation, and evaluation of Nevada’s cultural resources + preserve them

Ranking	Example Tasks
High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Develop a documentation and preservation program for historic cemeteries in Nevada. b. Initiate thematic cultural resource surveys to locate and identify sites associated with underrepresented groups where current documentation is limited. <i>Women, Basque, Chinese, Native Americans, African Amer.</i>
Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. Hold workshops or roundtables devoted to landscape issues and invite land managing agencies and organizations to participate. <i>BLM</i> d. Encourage federal, state, and local agencies to mitigate the adverse effects of their projects through historic architectural and archaeological surveys, historic structure reports, and National Register of Historic Places nominations. <i>Interagency</i>
Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>document/maintain</i> <i>should be a standard for mitigation expectations</i> <i>inspire community participation</i> <i>Create legislation/outreach</i> <i>creative mitigation</i>

Vandalism, target shooting, earthquakes, dumping, climate change, indifferent landlords, indifferent or uneducated officials, and insensitive development

EXECUTIVE INTERVIEW

Executive Interviews were scheduled from October 2018-April 2019. Out of the 68 people SHPO attempted to contact (42 non-Tribal and 26 Tribal), a total of 26 interviews were scheduled.

All interviewees were asked the five questions below. Their answers were summarized and organized into related themes. The numbers in the bracket below indicate the number of times that theme was discussed for that question. The thematic answers were further summarized and provided below.

Question 1: Why is the preservation of Nevada's heritage important to you?

We need to preserve our historic places for people to learn about where we have come from and to understand where we are going. These places have stories to tell and help connect people to our past.... They provide a sense of identity for local people. For example, many are ancestral cultural sites that are still utilized by the Tribes today...there are historic sites like ranches that have been in families for multiple generations. Nevada has the highest density of cultural resources in the country. Many of these important places are unique and fairly unknown. These resources strengthen our economy through authentic heritage tourism. These places continue to reinforce people's love for western culture... preserving them is key to community resilience and long-term sustainability.

Question 2: What do you consider to be the most important preservation issues facing Nevada now and possibly in the next eight years?

Laws and Processes (14)

Collaboration and consultation improvements are needed within the 106 process. There may be overregulation of the 106 process which is leading to wasted time and efforts. NEPA has undergone revisions and it is time 106 is reevaluated. Programmatic agreements are needed especially to address many of the resources that have just or that will be reaching the 50 year mark. The changes that occurred over the last 50 years may not be dramatic enough to warrant such 106 measures. Projects that are necessary, like fuel reduction, are becoming cost prohibitive in newly historic areas. We

need to refocus and prioritize how we approach archaeology now. Everything cannot be saved and not every site is sensitive. We should reduce collection practices and try to preserve more items in place. The items that have been collected should have purpose and/or be repatriated to the Tribes. Consultation should be proactive, consistent, and involve all proponents, agencies, and Tribes. Tribal values, beliefs, and traditions need to be recognized and integrated into policies and actions. SB244 [is a recent bill that attempts to address Tribal concerns] is not enough. Tribes are working on additional bills to improve the issues they see in current resource management systems. Local commissions also have difficulty with the current system. For example they currently have 10 days to learn about a resource before making a decision. The consensus was that sharing information early should be a priority to ensure all parties have adequate time and information for decision making.

Funding (10)

There is not enough funding to stabilize, restore, preserve, or protect our resources.

Education/Outreach (10)

The general public is not knowledgeable about the importance of sites. There is no training for elected officials and municipal/state leadership, curriculum in schools or a formal, comprehensive, master's degree offered in historic preservation at Nevada Universities. The public is not aware of our resources, preservation efforts, or the benefits of preservation.

Collaboration (8)

The preservation community needs to combine efforts and collaborate in a proactive way. Suggestions for how this can be done include improving the 106 consultation process with all parties, holding a statewide preservation conference, partnered problem solving, and lobbying for political support.

Development (6)

Rapid growth, over tourism, and mining expansion are all threats to preservation. With this growth there have been issues with sacrificing cultural sites. There is a balance between freedom and protection and we need to move in that direction.

Inventory (4)

EXECUTIVE INTERVIEWS

The preservation community needs more survey, inventory, and research. If we don't know what we have, then we cannot make the best preservation decisions.

Other

(2) There is a perceived attitude that Nevadans are more likely to bulldoze resources than preserve them.

(2) We need to think larger and include view shed and the natural environment when we think of preservation.

(1) There is only one effective statewide non-profit preservation organization.

Question 3: What is a historic resource in your area that you think is important to preserve and why?

The consensus was to preserve places and things that are unique and cannot be recreated. Native American sites are important not only to the tribes but others as well. These sites like Alta Toquima Village, Cave Rock, and unnamed subsistence sites are important to preserve because of their connection to today's Tribal people's beliefs, customs, and traditions, as well as the site's ability to provide a look into past lifeways, and be a catalyst for non-Tribal people to connect to the past.

Post contact Tribal sites known as ethno-historic sites were also mentioned as important because little has been done to record this part of history. Stewart Indian School is a priority for preservation that is related to this time period. The off-reservation Indian boarding school was in operation from 1890 through 1980.

Early mining remains, town sites, ranches, and resources like Basque arborglyphs are important to preserve as they help tell the history of the beginning of the state. These resources like the others help developed a sense of place, community, and era. Specific examples include the Victory Hotel in Ely, Aurora ghost town, and the old sandstone Armory on Stewart St. in Carson City. Railroad museums including those in Ely, Boulder City, and Carson City were also a high priority for the above reasons.

More modern sites are also important to preserve. Some general examples include Guard Stations in our National Forest, Mid-Century architecture, MCM

motor courts, and Industrial buildings. Some specific examples include the Huntridge Theater and Reid Whipple buildings in Las Vegas, the fine arts center, Plaza hotel, and Conner's Court in Ely, and the Historic west side blue line trail that showcases historic buildings in Carson City. Buildings connected to minority populations like Bonanza Village which is significant to the black community in Las Vegas are especially important to preserve because this preservation is underrepresented in Nevada.

Question 4: What preservation activities should Nevada's Preservation Community give priority to during the next eight years to protect historic and archaeological resources?

Education (22)

We need to put more of our efforts into education. Our audience includes tourists, the general public, K-12, graduate students and preservation partners. We should combine efforts with public partners to provide this general education. Ideas on how to provide education include museums displaying mitigated and interpreted 106 results, engaging in public relations campaigns for education and to recruit volunteers, utilizing social media, providing education videos on a website, using technology to assist with preservation outreach like virtual reality, providing curriculum for schools, formalizing some sites for education purposes, installing signage and information plaques on important sites and or buildings. More training from SHPO would be appreciated by both tribes and preservation partners.

Proactive Collaboration (17)

Proactive collaboration and relationship building was seen as key to improving preservation. Network building within the preservation community is anticipated to have many benefits from financial resource pooling to strengthened education and outreach. Projects involving partners can be improved when stakeholders are easily identified and communication delivered effectively. An area seen as needing improvement is Tribal relationships with preservation partners. Education and outreach is often developed without input from local Tribes. Consultation under the 106 process requires notification by mail that may or may not be received. It is preferred that partners, proponents and state and federal entities work on reaching out to Tribes so their voice can be heard. Use of video confer-

EXECUTIVE INTERVIEWS

encing, holding in person meetings, submitting press releases to the First Nations Focus Newspaper can go a long way to help.

Inventory (11)

We all need to work together to further our knowledge so we can better prioritize preservation efforts. More inventories, surveys, and contextual research needs to be done so preservation partners will be better able to determine the eligibility of resources, list important sites on both state and national registers, and improve preservation overall. Survey and research should be informed by consultation and areas of significance determined through working with all stakeholders.

Other

(4) There is a need for more preservation laws and law enforcement, including urban site steward program for designated resources.

(2) Tourism, including walking tours, and celebrations should be used to support preservation.

(1) *Provide tax incentives for landowners who avoid impacting sites on their land.*

(1) *Repurpose historic structures to keep them from deteriorating.*

Question 5: What do you consider to be the most effective methods that Nevada's Preservation Community can use for providing historic preservation information to the public?

Online resources (14)

Efforts should be made to share information online. *Two-thirds of people get news from social media. People are on their phones more than they are watching TV and when they look for information they often look online first.* Preservation partners should work together to make educational materials including contextual resources, relevant publications, videos, and lists of preservation professionals easy to access online.

Collaborative Marketing (14)

We need to make a collaborative marketing effort to reach out to the community and highlight some of the positives that come from preservation. A public relations mechanism should be used when a new building or site makes it on the register. Holding ceremonies, providing plaques, using marketing to garner support for current and future preservation will help the public learn about our history and the importance of preservation. For rural communities and Tribes it would be good to actually go into those communities and hold meetings, attend council meetings, provide educational lectures and workshops, and encourage volunteerism.

Experiences (8)

People often learn by seeing or doing. First hand experiences like walking tours and fieldtrips leave a lasting impression. Making educational resources easy to access through trail markers and information kiosks can go a long way. We can educate through cultural tourism for locals and visitors alike.

Special Events (5)

Booths at special events can help reach a larger audience with minimal effort.

Education in Schools (5)

Better school programs, such as Project Archaeology, should be offered to spread the preservation message while teaching kids about Nevada's heritage.

Print (4)

Print publications can also be a useful tool. Creating relationships with local newspapers, Nevada's magazines, and the Tribal newspaper paper can help reach audiences that are not online.

Museum (3)

Museums can share research, especially mitigation projects from 106 undertakings, to ensure that information makes it out to the public.

EXECUTIVE INTERVIEW LIST

Name	Title
Mark Amodei	US Congressman, Nevada
Mark Bassett	President, Nevada Northern Railway Museum
Catherine Cortez Masto	US Senator, Nevada
Bradly Crowell	Director, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
Darrel Cruz	Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California
Susan Danielewicz	City Planner, Boulder City
Bill Dunkelberger	Forest Supervisor, Humboldt Toiyabe National Forest
Michon Eben	Cultural Resources Manager, Tribal Historic Preservation Office, Reno-Sparks Indian Colony
Mark Giambastiani	President, Nevada Archaeological Association and Owner of G2 Archaeology
Bryan Hockett	State Archaeologist, Bureau of Land Management
Joe Holley	Chairperson, Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone and Battle Mountain Band
Courtney Mooney	Archaeologist, North Wind Resource Consulting and Former Las Vegas Historic Preservation Officer
Scott Nebesky	Director, Plannign Department, Reno-Sparks Indian Colony
Cherie Nevin	Community Relations Coordinator, Storey County
Bob Ostrovsky	Chariman, Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation
Brooklyn Oswald	Associate Planner, City of Reno
David Peterson	Executive Director, Carson City Culture & Tourism Authority
Julia Ratti	State Senator
Sherry Rupert	Executive Director, Nevada Indian Commission
Bettina Scherer	Program Manager, Conservation Districts
Gerri Schroder	Councilwoman, Henderson, NV Ward I
Heidi Swank	Assembly Woman, District 16 and Executive Director, Nevada Preservation Foundation
Sara Thorne	Senior Permitting Manager, Coeur Mining, Inc
Amber Torres	Chairperson, Walker River Paiute Tribe
Claytee White	Inaugural Director of the UNLV Oral History Research Center and Commissioner for the Las Vegas Historic Preservation Commission
Pat Whitten	Manager, Storey County

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (CLG)

Currently there are four municipalities in Nevada designated as CLGs. Certification happens jointly through steps by local, State, and Federal Governments. CLGs must establish qualified historic preservation commissions, enforce local legislation for the designation and protection of historic properties, maintain survey and inventory of local historic properties, facilitate public participation including participation in the National Register process, and following additional requirements outlined in the State's CLG Procedures. These communities are eligible for federal funding and technical assistance through the NVSHPO.

BOULDER CITY

Historic Preservation Committee

Public meetings are held the 4th Wednesday of every month.

CONTACT: <https://www.bcnv.org/178/Historic-Preservation-Committee>

<http://www.bcnv.org/173/Historic-Preservation>

CARSON CITY

Historic Resources Commission

Public meetings are held the second Thursday of every other month.

CONTACT: <https://carson.org/government/departments-a-f/community-development/planning-division/current-planning-zoning/historic-resources-commission>

CITY OF LAS VEGAS

Historic Preservation Commission

Public meetings are held the fourth Wednesday of the month.

CONTACT: <https://www.lasvegasnevada.gov/Business/Planning-Zoning/Historic-Preservation>

CITY OF RENO

Historical Resources Commission (HRC)

The HRC holds public meetings the second Thursday of every other month.

CONTACT: <https://www.reno.gov/government/departments/community-development-department/housing-neighborhood-development/historic-preservation>

STOREY COUNTY

Comstock Historic District Commission (CHDC)

Public meetings are held the second Monday of each month. Additional information about the CHDC can be found under State Government Agencies and DCNR below.

CONTACT: <http://shpo.nv.gov/chdc>

CITY OF WINNEMUCCA

Historic Preservation Commission

Public meetings schedule to be determined.

CONTACT: <https://www.winnemuccacity.org>

EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

COLLEGE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

CONTACT: <https://www.csn.edu/programs/anthropology>

DESERT RESEARCH INSTITUTE

DRI serves as the non-profit environmental research arm of the Nevada System of Higher Education. DRI is a recognized world leader in investigating the effects of natural and human-induced environmental change and advancing technologies aimed at assessing a changing planet.

CONTACT: <https://www.dri.edu/about>

GREAT BASIN COLLEGE

CONTACT: <https://www.gbcnv.edu/programs/show.cgi?-BA-SS>

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA RENO

Offers undergraduate and graduate degree programs in anthropology, archaeology, cultural anthropology, linguistic anthropology, physical anthropology, and an undergraduate minor in historic preservation.

CONTACT: <https://www.unr.edu/anthropology>

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA LAS VEGAS

Offers undergraduate and graduate degree programs in anthropology and architecture (professional degree available for the latter).

CONTACT: <https://www.unlv.edu/anthro>

TRUCKEE MEADOWS COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Offers associate degree programs in anthropology and architecture that are designed as university-transferable degrees.

CONTACT: <https://www.tmcc.edu/anthropology>

<https://www.tmcc.edu/architecture>

Under Construction
Please add any additions to our list of Preservation Partners

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Several federal agencies offer financial assistance and/or technical support to communities and individuals for various activities that may involve historic properties.

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION (ACHP)

The ACHP is an independent federal agency that promotes the preservation, enhancement, and sustainable use of the nation's diverse historic resources, and advises the President and Congress on national historic preservation policy.

CONTACT: <https://www.achp.gov>

US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FARM SERVICE AGENCY (FSA)

FSA programs include conservation, disaster assistance, and farm loans for working farms.

CONTACT: <https://www.fsa.usda.gov/state-offices/Nevada/index>

US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE (FS)

-HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE NATIONAL FOREST

The Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest offers a setting of classic Western beauty and is known for its many recreational opportunities, scenic vistas, and wild places where visitors can still find solitude. The Forest does not resemble most other National Forests in that it has numerous fairly large but non-contiguous sections scattered across most of the state of Nevada and a portion of eastern California.

The Forest's landscapes range from towering snow-capped peaks to wide-open sage steppe. Elevation varies from a low of 4,100 feet to a high of 12,374 feet, providing a great diversity of habitats. The vegetation changes from wide open desert with cactus and scrub to ponderosa pine forests, which in turn give way to spruce and aspen then lodgepole pine and alpine tundra. Wet and dry meadows, as well as numerous water bodies including streams, rivers, lakes, and wetlands add to the variety of habitats that support the over 350 wildlife species found on the Forest.

CONTACT: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/htnf>

-LAKE TAHOE BASIN MANAGEMENT UNIT

Approximately 78 percent of the area around the Lake Tahoe is public land managed by the United States

Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service. Totaling over 154,851 acres, this land includes beaches, hiking and biking trails, wilderness, historic estates and developed recreation areas such as campgrounds and riding stables. The forest is managed to provide access for the public and to protect the natural resources of the area.

CONTACT: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/lbmu>

-US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION SERVICE (USDA NRCS)

NRCS programs include agricultural management assistance, conservation innovation grants, conservation stewardship, environmental quality incentives, emergency watershed protection, healthy forests reserve program, and agricultural conservation easements.

CONTACT: <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/nv/home/>

-US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL DEVELOPMENT (USDA RD)

RD has three program areas (the utilities programs, business-cooperative programs, and housing and community facilities) that work closely with other federal, state, and local groups to enhance the quality of life in Nevada.

CONTACT: <https://www.rd.usda.gov/nv>

US DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

FALLON NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON

NAS Fallon and the Fallon Range Training Complex are the Navy's premier integrated strike warfare training facilities supporting present and emerging National Defense requirements. The mission is to support carrier air wings preparing to deploy; and other units participating in training events, including joint and multinational training and exercises. To achieve this goal they utilize innovative and efficient resource management in partnership with the people of Northern Nevada and in harmony with our natural environment to continually maintain and upgrade the Fallon Range Training Complex; Van Voorhis Airfield; our aviation support facilities; and base living and recreation accommodations. Through these efforts, NAS Fallon will ensure quality of life and services second to none for visiting transient units and our assigned personnel.

CONTACT: https://www.cnicy.navy.mil/regions/cnrsw/installations/nas_fallon.html

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

AS, NELLIS AFB

Nellis Air Force Base, a part of the United States Air Force's Air Combat Command, is located approximately eight miles northeast of Las Vegas, Nev. The base itself covers more than 14,000 acres, while the total land area occupied by Nellis and its restricted ranges is about 5,000 square miles. An additional 7,700 miles of airspace north and east of the restricted ranges are also available for military flight operations.

Nellis is a major focal point for advanced combat aviation training. Its mission is accomplished through an array of aircraft, including fighters, bombers, refuelers, and aircraft used for transport, close-air-support, command-and-control and combat search-and-rescue. The Nellis work force of about 9,500 military and civilians makes it one of the largest single employers in Southern Nevada. The total military population numbers more than 40,000, including family members and military retirees in the area.

CONTACT: <https://www.nellis.af.mil/>

MARINE CORPS MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING CENTER

The Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center (MCMWTC) is one of the Corps most remote and isolated post. The center was established in 1951 as a Cold Weather Battalion with a mission of providing cold weather training for replacement personnel bound for Korea. After the Korea conflict the name was changed to the Marine Corps Cold Weather Training Center. As a result of its expanded role it was renamed the Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center in 1963. MCMWTC operated on a full time basis until 1967 when it was placed in a caretaker status as a result of the Vietnam War. The training center was reactivated to a full-time command on May 19, 1976.

The center occupies 46,000 acres of Toiyabe National Forrest under management of the U.S. Forrest Service. A letter of agreement between the Forrest Service and the Marine Corps permits the use of the area to train Marines in mountain and cold weather operations. The center is at 6,762 feet, with elevations in the training areas ranging to just under 12,000 feet.

CONTACT: <https://www.29palms.marines.mil/mcmwtc/>

HAWTHORNE ARMY DEPOT

The Naval Ammunition Depot Hawthorne was established in September 1930. It was redesignated Haw-

thorne Army Ammunition Plant in 1977 when it transferred to Army control as part of the Single Manager for Conventional Ammunition. In 1980 it converted to government-owned, contractor-operated (GOCO). In 1994, it ended its production mission and became Hawthorne Army Depot.

CONTACT: <https://www.jmc.army.mil/Installations.aspx?id=Hawthorne> etc.

US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CONTACT: <https://www.energy.gov>

US FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

CONTACT: <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/nvdiv/index.cfm>

US FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA)

CONTACT:

US DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD)

CONTACT:

US DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

CONTACT:

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT NEVADA STATE OFFICE

The BLM manages 67% of Nevada's land today. The BLM's Preservation Board, National Programmatic Agreement (as amended in 2012), and the Nevada Statewide Protocol (executed in 2014) provide for the protection of historic properties in Nevada.

CONTACT: <https://blm.gov/nevada>

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE (NPS)

The NPS has many bureaus that are tasked with regulatory responsibilities and provide technical assistance and/or funding for historic preservation activities. These include the National Register Program, Technical Preservation Services (including the federal historic rehabilitation tax incentives program).

CONTACT: <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/historicpreservation>

-DEATH VALLEY NATIONAL PARK

CONTACT: <https://www.nps.gov/deva>

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

-GREAT BASIN NATIONAL PARK

CONTACT: <https://www.nps.gov/grba>

-LAKE MEAD NRA

CONTACT: <https://www.nps.gov/lake>

-TULE SPRINGS FOSSIL BEDS NATIONAL MONUMENT

CONTACT: <https://www.nps.gov/tusk>

HISTORICAL SOCIETIES

TBD

LOCAL PRESERVATION ORGANIZATIONS

COMSTOCK CEMETERY FOUNDATION

CONTACT: <http://comstockcf.com/>

THE COMSTOCK FOUNDATION FOR HISTORY AND CULTURE

The Foundation was formed in 2013 and is a 501c3 nonprofit. Its mission is to encourage the preservation and promotion of the historic and cultural resource within the Virginia City National Historic Landmark District. The Foundation's purposes include acquisition, restoration, and/or preservation of historic properties; archaeological research activities; community outreach; collaboration with the CHDC; and the funding of development activities.

CONTACT: www.comstockfoundation.org

MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE

Nevada's first nationally accredited Main Street program. This nonprofit's mission is to revitalize downtown Gardnerville utilizing design, organization, promotion, and district vitality to preserve the historic nature of the community and develop its unique identity.

CONTACT: www.mainstreetgardnerville.org

HISTORIC RENO PRESERVATION SOCIETY

The Society is a 501c3 non-profit organization dedicated to preserving the Truckee Meadows' past through education, advocacy, and leadership. They offer bricks and mortar grants to homeowners.

CONTACT: www.historicreno.org

RENO MOMO

CONTACT: <https://www.facebook.com/renomodern/>

NATIONAL PRESERVATION ORGANIZATIONS

AMERICAN CULTURAL RESOURCES ORGANIZATION

ACRA is the national trade association supporting and promoting the common interests of cultural resource management (CRM) firms of all sizes, types and specialties. Today, our member firms undertake much of the legally mandated CRM studies and investigations in the United States.

CONTACT: <https://www.acra-crm.org/>

THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONSERVANCY

This is the only national, nonprofit organization that identifies, acquires, and preserves the most significant archaeological sites in the United States.

CONTACT: <https://www.archeologicalconservancy.org>

ASSOCIATION FOR PRESERVATION TECHNOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

APT's MISSION is to advance appropriate traditional and new technologies to care for, protect, and promote the longevity of the built environment and to cultivate the exchange of knowledge throughout the international community.

CONTACT: <https://www.apti.org/>

DOCOMOMO

Docomomo US is the United States chapter of Docomomo International, a non-profit organization dedicated to the documentation and conservation of buildings, sites and neighborhoods of the modern movement for nearly 25 years.

CONTACT: <https://www.docomomo-us.org>

GREAT BASIN NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA (NHA) (NEVADA AND UTAH)

Great Basin NHA is one of 49 NHAs that have designated nationwide by Congress since 1984. Natural, cultural, and historic resources combine to form a cohesive nationally important and lived-in landscape. Through public private partnerships, NHA entities support historic preservation, natural resource conservation, recreation, heritage tourism, and educational projects. The NPS acts as lead federal agency for NHAs. It provides technical assistance and matching federal funds to NHAs, but does not assume ownership or affect private property rights. The Great Basin Heritage Area Partnership can be contacted.

CONTACT: www.greatbasinheritage.org

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

NATIONAL ALLIANCE OF PRESERVATION COMMISSIONS (NAPC)

NAPC is the only organization devoted solely to representing the nation's preservation design review commissions. NAPC provides technical support and manages an information network to help local commissions accomplish their preservation objectives. NAPC serves as an advocate at federal, state, and local levels of government to promote policies and programs that support preservation commission efforts through education, advocacy, and training. NAPC has been invited by several Nevada CLGs to sponsor their customized Commission Assistance and Mentoring Program (CAMP) training workshop for local historic preservation commissions. NAPC also publishes a quarterly publication, *The Alliance Review*; sponsors a Speakers' Bureau to complement their CAMP program; and holds their well attended national conference, FORUM, every other year.

CONTACT: <https://napcommissions.org>

NATIONAL COUNCIL OF STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICERS (NCSHPO)

The National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO) is a nonprofit organization whose members are the State government officials (State Historic Preservation Officers, or SHPOs) and their staff who carry out the national historic preservation program as delegates of the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (NHPA). NCSHPO serves as a communications vehicle between SHPOs, federal agencies and other organizations. It also educates the public and elected officials about the national historic preservation program, legislation, policies and regulations.

CONTACT: <http://ncshpo.org/>

NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION (NTHP)

This well known national nonprofit advocacy organization works to save America's historic places. Their mission is to protect significant places representing our diverse cultural experience by taking direct action and inspiring broad public support. The Trust owns historic properties, provides technical assistance and grants for historic preservation activities including planning and education and some building projects.

CONTACT: www.savingplaces.org

SOCIETY FOR AMERICAN ARCHAEOLOGY

CONTACT: <https://www.saa.org>

SOCIETY FOR HISTORICAL ARCHAEOLOGY

CONTACT: <https://sha.org>

SOCIETY FOR INDUSTRIAL ARCHAEOLOGY

CONTACT: <http://www.sia-web.org>

STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND BOARDS

Several state agencies and boards offer technical support and/or some financial assistance to communities and individuals for various activities that may involve historic properties.

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Nevada Centennial Ranch & Farm Awards Program

Established in 2004, this program honors ranch and farm agricultural families who have owned and operated the same land for 100 years or more. Their website provides a list of all recipients since inception.

CONTACT: http://agri.nv.gov/Outreach/Nevada_Centennial_Awards_Program/

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR)

-COMMISSION FOR CULTURAL CENTERS AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION (CCCHP)

The CCCHP is established by state law (NRS 383) to advise the State on matters pertaining to the preservation and promotion of Nevada's cultural resources. The Commission is composed of representatives of the Nevada Humanities Committee, the Board of Museums and History, the Nevada Arts Council, the State Council on Libraries and Literacy, and the tourism industry. The Commission awards financial assistance, made possible through the State's bonding program, to government entities and nonprofit organizations conducting projects that preserve and protect historic buildings that are used as cultural centers. Private property owners are not eligible. Meetings are open to the public.

CONTACT: <http://shpo.nv.gov/ccchp>

-COMSTOCK HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION (CHDC)

The CHDC is created by state law (NRS 384) and dates to 1969. The state agency encourages the pres-

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

ervation and promotion of historic resources within the Virginia City National Landmark District, which the State refers to as the Comstock Historic District. The Commission provides permits for projects dealing with the exteriors of buildings within the district, the construction of new structures, and work affecting pavement or fencing. The Commission also takes a proactive role in encouraging archaeological investigations and cemetery restoration within the district. The CHDC is located in Virginia City.

CONTACT: <http://shpo.nv.gov/chdc>

-STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE (NVSHPO)

The NVSHPO's mission includes the encouragement of the preservation, documentation, and use of cultural resources. The NVSHPO educates the public about the importance of Nevada's cultural heritage so that Nevada's historic and archaeological properties are preserved, interpreted, and reused for their economic, education, and intrinsic values and for future generations to appreciate. The Nevada SHPO was established in --- and has been housed since 2011 within the DCNR. The NVSHPO is affiliated with three state boards /commissions: the Board of Museums & History, the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation (CCCHP), and the Comstock Historic District Commission (CHDC).

CONTACT: www.shpo.nv.gov

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

CONTACT: <http://www.doe.nv.gov/>

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (NDOT)

NDOT has professional archaeologists and architectural historians on staff.

CONTACT: <https://www.nevadadot.com/doing-business/about-ndot/ndot-divisions/engineering/environmental-services/cultural-resources>

NEVADA DIVISION OF MUSEUMS AND HISTORY (DM&H) BOARD OF MUSEUMS AND HISTORY

The Board is established by state law (NRS 381.002) to support the activities of the DM&H and the NVSHPO. With respect to the NVSHPO, the Board may develop, review, and approve policy for matters relating to the State Historic Preservation Plan, nominations to the National Register of Historic Places and the State Register of Historic Places including determinations

of eligibility for each property nominated. The Board consists of eleven members appointed by the Governor: six members of the general public who are knowledgeable about museums; one member who is qualified in history; one member who is qualified in prehistoric archaeology; one member who is qualified in architectural history; and one member who is qualified as an architect. The Board meets quarterly during the year in various locations around the state. Meetings are open to the public.

CONTACT: <http://nvculture.org/museums/about-the-board/>

-NEVADA HISTORICAL SOCIETY

CONTACT: <http://nvculture.org/historicalsociety/>

-NEVADA STATE ARCHIVES

CONTACT: <http://www.nsladigitalcollections.org/>

-NEVADA STATE MUSEUM, CARSON CITY

CONTACT: <http://nvculture.org/nevadastatemuseumcarsoncity/>

-NEVADA STATE MUSEUM, LAS VEGAS

CONTACT: <http://nvculture.org/nevadastatemuseumlasvegas/>

NEVADA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

-RURAL COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CDBG PROGRAM

This program funds acquisition of property, streetscape improvements, water and sewer facilities improvements, construction of neighborhood centers, rehabilitation of buildings, and other economic development projects.

CONTACT: www.diversifynevada.com

-NEVADA MAIN STREET

Established by the Nevada Legislature in 2017, this program provides three levels of program assistance and grants to communities to foster the revitalization of downtowns and commercial districts.

CONTACT: www.diversifynevada.com

NEVADA INDIAN COMMISSION

The Nevada Indian Commission (NIC) is a State agency created by statute in 1965 to "study matters affecting the social and economic welfare and well-being of

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

American Indians residing in Nevada, including, but not limited to, matters and problems relating to Indian affairs and to federal and state control, responsibility, policy and operations affecting such Indians.” Commission activities are aimed at developing and improving cooperation and communications between the Tribes, State, local governments, and related public agencies with the purpose of improving, education, employment, health, well-being and socio-economic status of Nevada’s American Indian citizens and enhancing tribal sovereignty, economic opportunities and community development. The Commission effectively serves as liaison between the State and the 20 federally recognized tribes comprised of 27 tribal communities. The Commission has assisted State agencies and Tribes on issues affecting Nevada’s American Indian constituency and serves as a forum in which Indian needs and issues are considered. The Commission is a conduit by which concerns involving Native American Indians or Tribal interests are channeled through the appropriate network and serves as the point of access for Tribes to find out about state government programs and policies.

CONTACT: <https://nvculture.org/indiancommission>

STEWART INDIAN SCHOOL, CARSON CITY

The State of Nevada owns this historic campus and holds a protective covenant that requires the NVSHPO to review any physical alterations that are proposed for its historic buildings. The Stewart Advisory Committee oversees all planning activities for this National Register listed property. The historic campus is significant in Nevada’s history for its architecture, education, and American Indian culture. It is a well-preserved example of a US Bureau of Indian Affairs rural boarding school and is distinguished by its unique collection of masonry buildings dating between 1910 and 1944.

CONTACT: <http://nvculture.org/indiancommission/>
<http://stewartindianschool.com/>

TRAVEL NEVADA

Travel Nevada is managed by the Nevada Division of Tourism, part of Nevada Department of Tourism and Cultural Affairs, and works to market Nevada as a premier destination for leisure and business travelers and enhance the quality of life for all who live in the state. Travel Nevada hosts Rural Roundup annually, a conference dedicated to Nevada’s rural tourism industry.

CONTACT: <https://travelnevada.com/http://www.rural-roundup.com/>

STATEWIDE NONPROFIT ADVOCACY ORGANIZATIONS

AIA NORTHERN NEVADA

American Institute of Architects Northern Nevada embraces the idea that design matters. Good design enhances our quality of life and gives us a better understanding of the community in which we live. AIA Northern Nevada achieves this by promoting the artistic, technological, and business competence of the profession through education, advocacy and practice.

CONTACT: <https://aiann.org/>

APA NEVADA CHAPTER

The American Planning Association provides leadership in the development of vital communities for all by advocating excellence in planning, promoting education and resident empowerment, and providing our members with the tools and support necessary to ethically meet the challenges of growth and change.

CONTACT: <https://www.planning.org/cm/provider/98455/details/>

NEVADA ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

NAA encourages public interest, education, and involvement in archaeological and historical preservation.

CONTACT: <https://nvarch.org>

GREAT BASIN ANTHROPOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

The association promotes the study of the peoples and cultures of the Great Basin of the western United States and its relationship to adjacent regions.

CONTACT: <https://greatbasinanthropologicalassociation.org>

NEVADANS FOR CULTURAL PRESERVATION

Preservation Through Education: Founded in 2013 after seeing rock writing vandalized, historic buildings burned, historic trucks stolen, and the theft of artifacts like stone tools, a group of Nevada Site Stewardship Program volunteers started Nevadans for Cultural Preservation. The founding board saw the need to educate the public about the importance of these resources, the laws that protect them, and share the joy of preserving them for the future generations to enjoy.

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

CONTACT: <http://www.nvfc.org>

NEVADA PRESERVATION FOUNDATION

Nevada Preservation Foundation, a 501c3 nonprofit, was founded in late 2013 by local Las Vegas preservationists. Their primary goal is to preserve and revitalize historic buildings, communities and places in Las Vegas and around the great state of Nevada. Their services include architectural salvage, archival work and research, community outreach, design, energy efficiency and sustainable guidance, historic designation assistance, and preservation-related tax credit assistance.

CONTACT: <https://nevadapreservation.org>

PRESERVE NEVADA

Preserve Nevada, a 501c3 nonprofit, began in 2001 as a partnership with the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) and is currently housed on the university's campus as part of the Department of History and the Public History Program. This organization is dedicated to the preservation of Nevada's cultural, historical, and archeological heritage. Their work includes advocacy, educational outreach and heritage education, being a central clearing-house for statewide preservation information, and naming of "Nevada's 11 Most Endangered Historic Places". The purpose of their annual list is to bring attention to historic sites in hopes of preserving them for the future.

CONTACT: <https://www.unlvpublichistory.com/preserve-nevada/>

TRIBAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICES (THPO)

Revisions to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 formalized a role for Native American tribes regarding archaeology and historic preservation. There are five tribes in Nevada with THPOs:

DUCKWATER SHOSHONE TRIBE OF THE DUCKWATER RESERVATION, NEVADA

CONTACT: Warren J. Graham, THPO

PO Box 140068

511 Duckwater Falls Road

Duckwater, Nevada 89314

(775) 835-2304/ (775) 863-0399

culturalresources@duckwatertribe.org

PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE

CONTACT: Betty Aleck, THPO

P.O. Box 256

Nixon, NV 89424

Tel: 775.574.1088

Fax: 775.574.1008

Email: thpo@plpt.nsn.us

RENO SPARKS INDIAN COLONY

CONTACT: Michel Eben, THPO

Planning Dept. – Cultural Resource Program

1995 E. Second Street

Reno, NV 89502

Tel: 775.785.1326 x 5430

Fax: 775.789.5652

Email: meben@rsic.org

TIMBISHA SHOSHONE TRIBE

CONTACT: Barbara Durham, THPO

621 W. Line Street, Ste. 109

Bishop, CA 93514

Tel: 760.872.3614

Cell: 760.258.7161

Fax: 760.786.9050

Email: thpo@timbisha.com

Website: www.timbisha.org

WASHOE TRIBE OF NEVADA AND CALIFORNIA

CONTACT: Darrel Cruz, THPO

Cultural Resources Department

919 Hwy 395 South

Gardnerville, NV 89410

Tel: 775.782.0014

Email: darrel.cruz@washoetribe.us

Website: www.washoetribe.us

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF TRIBAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICERS (NATHPO)

Founded in 1998, the Association is a national non-profit membership organization of Tribal government officials who implement federal and tribal preservation laws. NATHPO's overarching purpose is to support the preservation, maintenance, and revitalization of the culture and traditions of Native peoples of the United States. This is accomplished most importantly through the support of Tribal Historic Preservation Programs as acknowledged by the National Park

PRESERVATION PARTNERS

Service. Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) have the responsibilities of State Historic Preservation Officers on tribal lands and advise and work with federal agencies on the management of tribal historic properties. THPOs also preserve and rejuvenate the unique cultural traditions and practices of their tribal communities. NATHPO activities include monitoring the U.S. Congress, Administration, and state activities on issues that affect all Tribes and monitoring the effectiveness of federally mandated compliance reviews and identification, evaluation, and management of tribal historic properties.

CONTACT: <https://www.nathpo.org/>

TRIBES IN NEVADA

There are 20 Federally Recognized Tribes comprised of 27 tribal communities in Nevada.

CONTACT: <https://nvculture.org/indiancommission/tribal-directory/>

<https://nvculture.org/indiancommission/map-of-nevada-tribes/>

<https://nvculture.org/indiancommission/download-tribal-contact-information/>

OVERVIEW OF NEVADA'S TRIBES:

<https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/80th2019/ExhibitDocument/OpenExhibitDocument?exhibitId=36429&fileDownloadName=Pwr>.

[Pnt_2019%20Overview%20of%20Nevadas%20Tribes_State%20of%20Nevada%20Indian%20Commisssion.pdf](#)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT TRIBAL DIRECTORY ASSESSMENT TOOL

<https://egis.hud.gov/TDAT/>

Add to the list above:

Lincoln Highway Association

National Pony Express Association, Nevada Division
Old Spanish Trail Association, Nevada Chapter
Oregon-California Trail Association, California-Nevada Chapter

Tahoe Regional Planning A (TRPA)

Contact:

DCNR – list other divisions including
Nevada State Parks
Nevada State Lands
NDEP

Nevada State Museum – and list other 6 state museums ?

FEDERAL AND STATE PRESERVATION STATUTES

FEDERAL PRESERVATION LAWS

AMERICAN ANTIQUITIES ACT OF 1906, as amended (54 U.S.C. §§ 320301–320303; 18 U.S.C. § 1866) Imposes criminal penalties with a maximum fine of \$5,000 and/or 90 days in jail for disturbing archeological remains on federal lands; stipulates that only qualified individuals or institutions can excavate sites within the federal government’s jurisdiction upon obtaining a permit; provision for U.S. President to obtain land to establish national monuments.

HISTORIC SITES, BUILDINGS AND ANTIQUITIES ACT OF 1935, as amended (54 U.S.C. §§ 102303–102304; 309101; 320101– 320106) Gave NPS authority to identify and collect data for evaluation from heritage resources around the country; established Historic Sites Survey, Historic American Engineering Record (HAER), and Historic American Building Survey (HABS); stated policy of preserving heritage resources; gave Department of Interior authorization to acquire land for public benefit.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY AID ACT OF 1956, as amended (23 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.; § 305) Legislation included requirement for consideration of archeological resources and provided for the use of highway funds for “archeological and paleontological salvage,” during the massive road expansion and new public facilities construction of post-World War II; encouraged quick excavations of threatened sites.

RESERVOIR SALVAGE ACT OF 1960, as amended (54 U.S.C. §§ 312501–312508) Expanded Historic Sites Act by promoting protection of threatened heritage resources and data during construction of dams; authorized the Department of the Interior to conduct surveys and excavations at project locations; introduced process of notification between government agencies; allotted one percent of federal agency’s construction project for use in investigating endangered archeological sites.

NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT OF 1966, as amended (54 USC § 300101, et seq.) This highly significant act established the national preservation program; created the National Register of Historic Places; authorized implementation of Section 106 re-

view of federal undertakings; established the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; contained language for the identification and protection of historic sites either privately or publicly owned; created the federal Historic Preservation Fund, the CLG Program, and State Historic Preservation Offices throughout the U.S. and its territories.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C §§ 4321; 4331-4335) Declared impact of any federally funded project on heritage and environmental resources was to be assessed, requiring complete analysis of a project’s impact on natural and cultural resources within and around a proposed construction site.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11593 (1971), Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment Proclaimed that federal agencies must survey all significant historical areas situated on public lands for which they are held responsible; impact determination must be issued by Secretary of Interior if site is to be threatened; if damage determined unavoidable, measurement from HABS or HAER required.

ARCHEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL PRESERVATION ACT OF 1974, as amended (54 USC §§ 312501-312508) Expanded Reservoir Salvage Act; ensured that federal agencies became aware of possible impact of federal, federally-assisted or licensed projects on heritage resources; permitted one percent appropriation for archeological activities to be transferred to NPS from the responsible agency; dictated that NHPA, NEPA, and Ex. Order 11593 be coordinated when agencies began their compliance procedures; imposed stronger penalties for looting and/or damaging archeological sites on federal land; stipulated artifacts recovered on federal lands to go to a “suitable institution” for preservation; included list of definitions.

ABANDONED SHIPWRECK ACT OF 1987, as amended (43 U.S.C. §§ 2101–2106) Provides for permit programs to allow public reasonable access to shipwrecks by State holding title to wreck; allows appropriate recovery of shipwrecks; encourages creation of State underwater parks; states time frame for developing guidelines.

FEDERAL AND STATE PRESERVATION STATUTES

NATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION ACT of 1990 (NAGPRA), as amended (25 U.S.C. §§ 3001– 3013) Provides for protection of Native American graves; presents definitions of associated terms; defines ownership of Native American human remains recovered on federal or tribal lands (after November 1990); allows for removal of human remains and objects under certain circumstances; sets forth penalties for illegal trafficking of Native American human remains and associated artifacts; requires museums with such remains and artifacts to prepare inventory and notify affected tribes; presents guidelines for repatriation of remains and artifacts; establishes review committee to oversee implementation.

SECTION 47 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE (REHABILITATION CREDIT) (26 U.S.C. § 47) Allows application of 20% income tax credit to qualified rehabilitation expenditures on certified historic structures; sets time limitations; defines “qualified rehabilitated building” and “certified historic structure;” discusses phased rehabilitations and progress expenditures; presents language regarding straight-line depreciation.

STATE STATUTES, REGULATIONS, AND LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS

NRS Title 15, Chapter 206: Chapter designated as “Malicious Mischief” defines a “protected sites” as site, landmark, monument, building or structure of historical significance pertaining to the history of the settlement of Nevada; any site, building, structure, object or district listed in the register of historic resources of a community which is recognized as a Certified Local Government; any site, building, structure, object or district listed in the State Register of Historic Places or the National Register of Historic Places; any site, building, structure, object or district that is more than 50 years old and is located in a municipal or state park; any Indian campgrounds, shelters, petroglyphs, pictographs and burials; or any archeological or paleontological site, ruin, deposit, fossilized footprints and other impressions, petroglyphs and pictographs, habitation caves, rock shelters, natural caves, burial ground or sites of religious or cultural importance to an Indian tribe. Elevates graffiti at a protected site to a category D felony.

NRS Title 20, Chapter 244A: Defines a “historic structure” as one listed in the State Register of Historic Places and a possible “project” eligible for county municipal bond funding as the preservation of any historic structure or its restoration for its original or another use. Authorizes counties to finance, acquire, own, lease, and improve historic structures.

NRS Title 22, Chapter 277: Chapter addresses cooperative agreements and gives authority to any public entity, such as a state agency, a county, city, or town, to enter into cooperative agreement for the preservation, protection, restoration, and enhancement of unique archeological, paleontological, or historical sites.

NRS Title 22, Chapter 278: Chapter designated as “Planning and Zoning” defines “historic neighborhoods”, allows for governing bodies to develop agreements that may result in the preservation and restoration of historic structures, allows for limits on the installation of factory-built housing near some cultural resources, requires that Comprehensive Regional Plans promote strategies to preserve historic neighborhoods and defines “projects of regional significance” to include those that impact historical, archaeological, and cultural resources, and defines the nature of a preservation element in a Master Plan.

NRS Title 30, Chapter 349: Defines a “historic structure” as one listed in the State Register of Historic Places and a possible “project” eligible for state bond funding as the preservation of any historic structure or its restoration for industrial development. Authorizes the Director of the Department of Business and Industry, with the approval of the Office of Economic Development, to finance, acquire, own, lease, and improve historic structures.

NRS Title 32, Chapter 361A: Defines “open space” for tax assessment purposes to include land used to preserve sites designated as historic by the SHPO.

NRS Title 32, Chapter 376A: Defines an “open space use” for tax assessment purposes to include the preservation of sites that are designated as historic by the SHPO.

NRS Title 33, Chapter 381: Chapter designated as

FEDERAL AND STATE PRESERVATION STATUTES

“State Museums”: Includes provisions covering the creation of the Board of Museums and History, the preservation of prehistoric and historic sites, and the preservation of the Nevada State Prison.

NRS Title 33, Chapter 383: Chapter designated as “Historic Preservation and Archaeology”. Includes provisions for the creation of the SHPO and the Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation, and the protection of Indian burial sites and historic and prehistoric sites.

NRS Title 33, Chapter 384: Chapter designated as “Historic Districts”. Includes provisions for the creation of the Comstock Historic District Commission and the establishment of historic districts by a city or county.

SB63, 1987 Session, Nevada Register, Chapter 7, page 7-8: Restricts sale or lease of site of Las Vegas High School and its buildings.

SB 256, 2009 Session, Nevada Register, Chapter 196, page 718: Designates an area on the grounds of Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services in Sparks as a historic cemetery.

HISTORIC CONTEXTS FOR NEVADA

WHAT ARE HISTORIC CONTEXTS AND WHAT DO THEY ACCOMPLISH?

Preservation activities at any level depend on well-researched histories or contexts to determine what the overall trends of an area are, and within that framework, which sites are most important to preserve. However, “historic contexts” for preservation agencies like SHPOs are a proper noun, and must operate as planning tools as much as they function as scholarly works or reference materials. As a result, these unique documents are governed by federal publication standards that require they include certain planning items, and help public agencies and non-profit organizations accomplish certain objectives.

Contexts come in the form of either Historic Context reports, or Multiple Property Documentation Forms (MPDFs). While they include many similar items, they are distinct and best aligned with different preservation strategies. Historic Contexts are planning documents and must follow federal standards to ensure the final product includes actionable recommendations on the built environment, historic landscapes, and archaeological sites related to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). These reports include a statement about particular historic themes or trends, an outline of the various historic resources associated with those themes and trends, and then specific recommendations on what might be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places related to that theme or trend. While many contexts for reference have been developed for Nevada, relatively few include the planning elements that make them useful preservation tools.

Like Historic Contexts, MPDFs are larger thematic documents, but have a specific design that makes National Register nominations much easier. These MPDFs not only provide an in-depth narrative of the particular historical theme, area, or resource type, but then identify “associated property types” (types of buildings, sites, and other features commonly associated with that theme or geography) and establish requirements for how to nominate and list those property types in the National Register of Historic Places.

NEVADA’S CURRENT CONTEXTS

Nevada has a relatively small number of formal Historic Contexts and MPDFs in circulation. For state-wide or larger regional themes, the list is thin. Most products that meet the definition of Historic Contexts cover fairly small geographic areas and are created on a project-specific basis. There are a greater number of products that cover relevant topics in Nevada’s past, but are academic or educational in nature, and do not include preservation planning components. The following listed contexts are hyperlinked to the NSHPO webpage for ease of reference. This is not an exhaustive list; please feel free to send us other examples that might be included.

HISTORIC CONTEXTS

- Exploration and Early Settlement in Nevada (2002)
- A Ranching and Farming Context for Lincoln County, Nevada, c.1857 to 1934
- University of Nevada Reno, Neighborhood Context (2017)
- World War II Era Residential Housing in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, (1940-1945)

MULTIPLE PROPERTY DOCUMENTATION FORMS

- Agriculture on the Carson River in Nevada’s Douglas and Ormsby Counties
- Fire Stations of Nevada
- Historic Resources of Tonopah (Multiple Resource Assessment)
- Historic School Buildings in the Evolution of the Fifth Supervision School District, Nevada
- Historic U.S. Post Offices in Nevada, 1891-1941
- Newlands Reclamation Thematic Resources
- School Buildings in Nevada
- Thematic Nomination of the Architecture of Fred-eric(k) J. DeLongchamps
- Thematic Nomination of Properties Associated with the San Pedro, Los Angeles, and Salt Lake Railroad, Las Vegas, Nevada.

HISTORIC CONTEXTS FOR NEVADA

HELPFUL REFERENCES

Items in this list do not meet the technical requirements of Historic Contexts or MPDFs, but are nonetheless helpful resources in researching and evaluating Nevada's history. Please refer to the NSHPO webpage (shpo.nv.gov) for more information and links.

- Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, State Historic Preservation Office. Archaeological Research in Nevada, by Terri McBride. Carson City: 2005.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. A Prehistoric Context for Southern Nevada, by Heidi Roberts & Richard V.N. Ahlstrom. Las Vegas: 2012.

HISTORY OF ARCHAEOLOGY AND ETHNOLOGY IN NEVADA

Early exploration in the state of Nevada began when John C. Fremont, Jedediah Smith, Peter Skene Odgen, Joseph Walker, and John Bidwell adventured into the state in search of furs and direct overland routes.³³ These travelers and their crews made observations regarding archaeological remains, village sites, and their encounters with native inhabitants.

Archaeological investigations in Nevada began with the excavation of caves and rockshelters in the early 1910s³⁴. These expeditions were most often conducted by universities, museums, and foundations. Interest in the state grew as archaeologists came to realize that Nevada sites could answer many questions: migration,

diet and subsistence patterns, and the maintenance of cultural boundaries and regions. In conjunction with archaeological research, ethnographers would seek to understand the lifeways of living native inhabitants by researching their language, religion, gender roles, and marital customs, to name a few themes. These questions remain fundamental to the study of archaeology and ethnography to this day. Archaeological work is still done through university and foundation affiliates, however, most research today is conducted by private companies or government agencies in fulfillment of the National Historic Preservation Act, and industry also known as Cultural Resource Management (CRM).

Table 1 below outlines the history of major archaeological investigations, their dates, and notable staff. Many of these sites are the subject of ongoing archaeological research

Table 1

Name	Dates	Archaeologist(s)
Lovelock Cave	1912, 1924, 1969	L.L. Loud, M.R. Harrington, R.F. Heizer
Lost City	1925, 1933-1935	M.R. Harrington
Tule Springs	1924, 1955-1956, 2000s	M.R. Harrington, M. Lyneis, D. Duke
Wagon Jack Shelter	1958	R.F. Heizer, M.A. Baumhoff
Eastgate Cave	1960s	R. F. Heizer
Southfork Shelter	1958, 1959, 1985	R. F. Heizer, L. Spencer
Rock Imagery - Statewide (Petroglyphs/Pictographs)	1960s - present	R. F. Heizer, M.A. Baumhoff, P. Schaafsma, A. Woody, W. Cannon, S.A. Monteleone, A. Quinlan
Gatecliff Shelter	1970s	D. H. Thomas
Owens Valley	1970s - 1980s	R. L. Bettinger
Alta Toquima	1978, 1981	D. H. Thomas
Smith Creek Canyon	1970s	W.W. Wallace, R. Gruhn
Bonneville Estates	2000s	T. Goebel, K. Graf, B. Hockett
Baker Village	1990s	J. Wilde, R. Talbot
Five Mile Flat - Parman Localities	1970s	T. Layton
Hidden Cave	1930's 1970	M. R. Harrington, S. M. Wheeler, D. H. Thomas
Spirit Cave	1940s	S. & G. Wheeler
Silent Snake Springs	1967	T. Layton, D. H. Thomas
Pie Creek Shelter	2000s	K.R. McGuire, M.G. Delacorte, K. Carpenter
Sadmat	1968, 2000s	D. Tuohy, K. Graf

HISTORY OF ARCHAEOLOGY AND ETHNOLOGY IN NEVADA

Table 1


Name	Dates	Archaeologist(s)
Stillwater Marsh	1980s	R.L. Kelly
Stuart Rockshelter	1960s	D. Shulter, M.E. Shulter, J.S. Griffith
Leonard Rockshelter	1950s, 2000s	R.F. Heizer, G. Smith
Sunshine Locality	1980s, 2000s	C. Beck, G.T.Jones
Last Supper Cave	1968, 1970s	T. Layton, J.O. Davis
Humboldt Cave	1956	R.F. Heizer
Hanging Rock Shelter	1968	T. Layton
Painted Cave	1970s	J.C. Bard, C.I. Busby, L.S. Kobori

Table 2

Name	Dates
John Wesley Powell	1868-1880
Edward Palmer	1866-1877
Stephen Powers	1875
Alfred L. Kroeber	1902-1917
Robert H. Lowie	1906-1926, 1939
Julian H. Steward	1927-1940s
Isabel T. Kelly	1920s-1930s
Omar Stewart	1940s
Willard Z. Park	1930s
Sven S. Liljeblad	1940s
Ruth and Stanley Freed	1952, 1957
Warren d'Azevedo	1950s
James Downs	1960s
John A. Price	1960s
Margaret Wheat	1950s-1960s
Catherine Fowler	1960s-present
Meredith "Penny" Rucks	1970s-present

EARLY ARCHAEOLOGIST M.R. HARRINGTON WITH THE CCC

Civilian Conservation Corps. Left to right: Gerkin, CCC Leader; Bannister, Park Service Surveyor; Overton, Park Service Surveyor; Capt. Harford; Hon. J. G. Scrugham; Thomas Woodnutt Miller, Park Service Superintendent; Windsor, Forest Service Engineer; M. R. Harrington, Archeologist; Martin, Forest Service Engineer; Wittwer, Farm Extension Agent.



<https://unrspecoll.pastperfectonline.com/photo/E4FE0F4A-C95E-402F-A896-238637824730>

NEVADA'S PRE-CONTACT PERIOD

This section is intended as a brief introduction for a public audience.

The pre-contact (or prehistory) period is a term used to describe the time before written records. To understand pre-contact lifeways and how humans survived in the past, archaeologists study the material remains of past peoples. These remains may be stone tools, ground stone tools, basketry, ceramics, botanical and biological samples, and what's left of habitations. These remains, along with geographical, geological, biological, climatological clues, help researchers reconstruct the past. To assist in this understanding, archaeologists have divided the past into phases, each representing broad patterns of cultural adaptation to the varying climates of the last 14,000 years. The precise dating of these phases is debated by archaeologists and can vary by region. Generally, between 14,000 and 8,000 years ago people were highly mobile fol-

lowing large game. Between 8,000 and 700 years ago, more people inhabited the Great Basin year round while hunting and foraging for food. This era, known as the Archaic, is further subdivided into periods (Early, Middle, and Late) which correspond to changes in climate, toolkits, and diet. Approximately 1,500 years ago, in the eastern and southern part of the state, more permanent villages emerged with the arrival of horticulture. However, by 700 years ago, this lifeway disappeared and Nevada's inhabitants were back to hunting and gathering.

The following summary of Nevada's pre-contact period touches on broad highlights of known archaeological research. Every day, archaeologists are discovering and publishing new insights into the past. To learn more about Nevada's archaeology, please refer to the references listed in Appendix J.

Great Basin Cultural Chronology		
Paleo-Indian/Pre-Archaic	14,000-8,000 years ago	Highly mobile big game hunters
Early Archaic	8,000-6,000 years ago	Atlatl adopted
Middle Archaic	6,000-1,500 years ago	Smaller notched & un-notched points
Late Archaic	1,500-650 years ago	Bow and arrow adopted
Formative	1,500-700 years ago	Fremont, ancestral Puebloan-horticulture
Late Pre-Contact	700-150 years ago	Numic cultures in place

NEVADA'S PRE-CONTACT PERIOD

PALEO-TIMES: 14,000 - 8,000 YEARS AGO

The landscape that would eventually become the State of Nevada was first explored by humans almost 14,000 years ago. This coincides with the end of the Pleistocene epoch and the beginning of the Holocene or modern period and the climate was much cooler and wetter. Evidence of human activity in the Great Basin dates back to almost 14,000 years ago but the remains are rare and represent the small-scale and short-term nature of human presence. Researchers are intrigued by how humans supported themselves so long ago: Did they hunt the now-extinct mammals such as mammoths, horse, camel, mastodons or did they focus on collecting marshland resources around the evaporating Pleistocene lakes or did they follow a foraging system recognized by the later groups?

Current research suggests that by 11,000 years ago people lived in small groups and traveled long distances to follow seasonally available big game. Archaeological sites from this time period are often isolated finds of large fluted or stemmed points, such as Clovis, Folsom and Great Basin that were hafted onto spears. People would travel great distances to collect the raw materials to make these tools. National Register listed places like the Sunshine Locality have revealed an abundance of knives, stemmed and concave base projectile points, crescents, graters, punches and choppers as tools used during this time period. The absence of ground stone tools indicates that plant harvesting and processing was not part of this early lifeway. Rockshelters, such as Last Supper Cave and Bonneville Estates; both listed in the National Register, contain remnants of basketry and other textiles like sandals and cordage which greatly add to our understanding of how people survived so long ago.

EARLY ARCHAIC: 8,000 - 6,000 YEARS AGO

About 7,700 years ago, the cataclysmic eruption of Mount Mazama; in present-day Oregon, released massive amounts of ash across the west. The event created what is now Crater Lake, the deepest freshwater lake in the United States; Lake Tahoe is the second deepest. As a result of the eruption, the climate became warmer and drier and many regions suffered drought conditions. Marsh areas dried up and lake levels decreased. This era marks the beginning of the Archaic lifeway; a lifeway dependent on hunting

and gathering, that largely lasted until Euroamericans entered Nevada.

As a result of the volcanic eruption and subsequent climate changes, populations decreased and habitations centered in areas with dependable water and year-round food sources. As lake shores and marshes were drying up, people moved upland and started inhabiting higher elevation areas. Large projectile points like Clovis and Western Stemmed type projectile points fall out of use and are replaced by smaller projectile points like the Northern Side-notched type. It is believed that this shift in technology represented a switch from hunting big game with spears to hunting smaller game, like birds, squirrels, and deer, with atlatl and dart technology. Along with Northern Side-notched points, other point types like Humboldt, Martis, and Gatecliff, become common during this period in the archaeological record.

Small groups moved about the landscape, exploiting resources patches and created logistical camps along the way. The once lived-in caves and rockshelters, such as Lovelock Cave, Hidden Cave, and Pie Creek Shelter; were used as places to store goods such as baskets, nets, mats, cordage, and projectile points. Archaeological investigations at Pie Creek Shelter³⁵ has shown that small game animals, fish, and seeds are much more common than large game animals. Ground stone tools, like manos, metates and bedrock mortars, become common in the archaeological record suggesting a greater reliance on seed and plant processing. In areas where pinyon pine was abundant, pinyon nuts became an important dietary staple. The National Register listed Gatecliff Shelter was first used in this period and its deep stratigraphic sequence has greatly helped to refine the chronology of human settlement in the Great Basin.



**NORTHERN
SIDE-NOTCHED
PROJECTILE
POINT**

NEVADA'S PRE-CONTACT PERIOD

MIDDLE ARCHAIC: 6,000 - 1,500 YEARS AGO

Expanding on the lifeways of the Early Archaic the Middle Archaic period is marked by an increase in population, enhanced social and political organization, and more permanent settlements. During this time, the climate was generally cool and moist with seasonal precipitation varying across the region. Large numbers of archaeological sites appear during this period, in all manner of environments. Smaller, seasonal camps for hunting and gathering were located farther away from base settlements and in areas previously uninhabited like upland and high elevation areas, such as Alta Toquima. House pits, hearths and food caches are found in villages and long-term camps.

As a result of increased populations occupying long-term camps; more social and political aspects of life were developed. For example, the creation of rock images (petroglyphs and pictographs) increased dramatically. While these images cannot be "read" or deciphered today, they often hold significant meaning to Native populations today. Current research is focused on understanding the distribution of styles and themes as well as attempts to date rock images. National Register listed Grimes Point Petroglyphs and Black Canyon Petroglyphs sites contain rock art from this period and are interpreted for public visitation.

During the Middle Archaic, projectile point styles shift away from the larger Northern-Side notched points, to smaller Elko series and Gatecliff Split-stem points. Large, specially quarried bifaces are common in this period. Bifaces are a multi-functional tool that's transportable and easily reduced to make other tools such as scrapers. By this time, the pinyon forests have extend to their current range and the pinyon nuts become an important staple. People foraged for resources as they became seasonally available: in general, roots and plants during the spring; seeds during the summer; pinyon and large game in the fall. These seasonal rounds were based on the success or failure of a particular resource, and on the kinds of resources available in a given region.



**BLACK-ON-GREY
CERAMIC SHERD**

LATE ARCHAIC: 1,500 - 700 YEARS AGO

The Late Archaic period was warmer and drier. An increase in population pushed people into areas previously unused and uninhabited. High altitude sites, like Alta Toquima, that were once seasonal camps now supported large summer villages. It is thought that the increase in population reduced seasonal ranges and resource availability, causing a more sedentary lifeway with more intensive use of the local resources. Small game such as rabbits, marmots, fish, and insects were emphasized over larger game. Stone tool production also shifted to locally available sources and atlatls were replaced with bow and arrow technology using Rosegate and Desert series points. Ceramic vessels used for cooking and storage first appears in the southeastern part of the state during this time period and are generally associated with the Fremont and Numic groups.

FORMATIVE: 1,500 - 700 YEARS AGO

In southern Nevada, people began to practice horticulture, growing beans, corn, and squash. Related to the Western Puebloans in the Southwest, these people lived in sedentary communities, which started with semi-subterranean pit houses but later grew into connected adobe structures or pueblos as seen at Lost City or Pueblo Grande de Nevada. Western Puebloans were best known for their unique ceramics ranging from grayware and corrugated vessels to black-on-gray wares, decorated similarly to their ancestral ceramics from the Southwest.

In far eastern Nevada near the Colorado Plateau, another cultural group was practicing horticulture. The Fremont had some similarities with the Western Puebloans in that they lived in semi-subterranean pit-houses, used stone and adobe structures for storage and granaries, and also created grayware ceramics. However, the Fremont never fully gave up foraging and hunting. They used trough-like metates and had distinctive type of basketry. Most unique to the Fremont was their use of triangular anthropomorphs in rock images and on ceramics. These trapezoidal figures can be seen at White River Narrows listed on the National Register in 1976, and now a part of the Basin and Range National Monument.

NEVADA'S PRE-CONTACT PERIOD

LATE PRE-CONTACT: 700-250 YEARS AGO

This period continued to see the warming and drying trends of the Late Archaic. Many lakes and marshes that previously had abundant resources had dried up by the Terminal Pre-Contact period and no longer able to support populations. Many of the larger settlements that were around lakes and marshes were abandoned and for smaller short-term family camps were formed. Additionally, higher elevation settlements such as in the Toquima Range were occupied. The projectile point technology and use of the bow-and-arrow remained with the Desert Series and Cottonwood-type projectile points emerging at this time.

It is also during this time that the Numic-speaking Paiute and Shoshone Tribes occupied the majority of the state. The Washoe Tribe occupied the most extreme western Nevada and Yuman peoples occupied southern Nevada. Several ethnographies have been conducted spanning from the late 1800s to the present day about the native peoples of Nevada. Today, 28 organized tribes and several federally unrecognized groups live in Nevada today.

NEVADA HISTORY

When European Americans entered Nevada in 1776, they encountered Numic-speaking people – the Northern Paiute, Western Shoshone, and Southern Paiute. These populations occupied and continue to live in all of the State with the exception of extreme western Nevada, the home of the Washoe Tribe; and the southern Colorado River area, populated by Yuman peoples. Native peoples continued to hunt and gather until forced to settle on reservations or near ranches and towns where they performed day labor. Their children were forced to attend Indian schools such as the Stewart Indian School south of Carson City, listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1985. Native American workmen constructed many of the Craftsman-style buildings at Stewart in native stone following a plan developed by Frederick Snyder, an influential superintendent.

Twenty-eight organized tribes and several federally unrecognized groups live in Nevada today. They maintain close ties to the land, continuing to gather plants and harvesting pinyon despite impediments such as lack of access to private land, and agency laws restricting their collection of animals and plants. They continue to revere the land around them and are concerned about the preservation of traditional cultural places, such as the Tosawiihi Quarries in Lander County, Cave Rock at Lake Tahoe, and Spirit Mountain above Lake Mojave, all properties determined eligible or included on the National Register of Historic Places.

Euroamerican history begins with exploration of the extreme south of the state by Spanish explorers as early as 1776, and in the north, by British and American fur trappers and traders in 1826. The Old Spanish Trail

became established as a trade route between Santa Fe and southern California, crossing southern Nevada on part of the route. Parts of the trail are still visible today. Beginning in the 1840s, thousands of Americans began to emigrate in large numbers by wagons to California and Oregon, following the Humboldt River across the state, and taking various cut-offs to the end of their journey. The National Register-listed Applegate Lassen Trail is still visible in the form of wagon ruts, graves, artifact scatters, and written inscriptions that can be found along the route through northwestern Nevada.

Settlements grew along the trails. In southern Nevada, the Mormon Church established a mission in Las Vegas, and Mormons were also responsible for building the settlement of Genoa in the Carson Valley. Little physically exists from these earlier settlements other than the much-restored adobe Mormon Fort in Las Vegas and archaeological remains. Sparsely populated Nevada was part of Utah territory after the War with Mexico ended in 1848, but this status would change with the discovery of silver on the Comstock in 1859. Thousands of Americans flocked to what would become Virginia City, and by 1861, Congress established Nevada as a territory. In 1864, Nevada was admitted to the union as the thirty-sixth state.

Carson City was established as the state capital and contains a number of monumental National Register-listed state buildings and a substantial historic district with everything from mansions to modest homes, together exhibiting a number of major styles popular from the late nineteenth century through the early twentieth century.

OLD MORMON STATION, GENOA

Nevada's first permanent non-native settlement, Mormon Station was built in 1851. It first served as a trading post along the Carson Route of the California Trail. It was destroyed in a fire in 1910. The reconstructed Station is part of the Nevada State Park System and is open to visitors year round.



NEVADA HISTORY

Virginia City, now a National Historic Landmark, was founded in 1859, following the discovery of a large lode of silver ore. It was long the largest population center in Nevada, an industrial city for the extraction and milling of silver. It also served as a hub for exploration and development of other mining districts in the state including Austin, Eureka, Belmont and Hamilton. Following a fire that devastated much of the town, much of commercial Virginia City was rebuilt in brick and iron. By 1880, the high-grade ore was gone and the boom ended. Mining of low-grade ore continues off and on to the present day. Virginia City's surviving buildings are primarily vernacular expressions of Italianate architecture and many significant examples such as the Fourth Ward School and St. Mary in the Mountains Catholic Church stand intact. Other mining towns of the period followed Virginia City's path of development from tents and log cabin camps to elaborate architecture of brick and stone for public and commercial buildings.

Industries that provided support to the mines grew in neighboring valleys. Ranches and farms were established in Carson and Washoe Valleys, the Truckee Meadows and Humboldt River Valley, despite the aridity and cold. Ditches and canals were quickly dug to irrigate farms and orchards. Cattle ranches were established in remote areas consisting of compounds of a family house, bunkhouse, barns, corrals, and storehouses using whatever building material was available from adobe to railroad ties to logs. Basques from southern France and northern Spain came to herd

NORTHERN NEVADA RAILWAY, ELY

National Historic Landmark open to the public.



BOOT HILL CEMETERY, PIOCHE

View into the fertile valleys that supported mining in the area. The ranches and farms are still active today.



sheep, living in small horse-drawn wagons as sheep had to be moved frequently. Hotels catering to Basque shepherders arose in Elko, Reno, and Winnemucca.

Nevada's population grew at the same time as did the nation's infrastructure linking the two coasts with improvements in transportation and communication. The Pony Express and later the Overland Freight Road crossed the central part of the state. The remains of mail and maintenance stations parallel current U. S. 50. The transcontinental railroad was completed in 1869, resulting in the construction of numerous railroad towns and stations paralleling segments of the California emigrant trail. Many of the Chinese laborers who built the railroad remained in the state following its completion to become loggers in the Sierra Nevada or to provide other services in support of the mines. As the twentieth century began, the San Pedro-Los Angeles-Salt Lake City Railroad was constructed, leading to the platting of Las Vegas in 1905. The cities of Elko, Las Vegas, Reno, Sparks, and Winnemucca would become major hubs in Nevada, and railroad short lines would feed into the major rail line at these and other points to link mines and ranches with the rest of the country. Almost all of the short lines are gone, scrapped for metal during wartime, their depots demolished or sold, leaving only the railroad grades to mark their short history.

Following a major depression due to the devaluation of silver, Nevada entered a new period of growth from 1900 to 1910, with a second short-lived mining boom in Tonopah and Goldfield, both National Register districts, and Rhyolite, now mostly archaeological ruins. Sub-

NEVADA HISTORY

stantial brick and stone buildings such as the Mizpah Hotel and Nye County Courthouse remain in use in Tonopah but many other buildings are abandoned and not maintained. One of Goldfield's most imposing structures, the Goldfield High School, is undergoing repair and has received much needed stabilization. The mining of copper during this time period proved profitable in Ely until the 1970s. In addition to the massive brick and stone commercial and public buildings in Ely, the extensive complex of the Nevada Northern Railroad Yards is located in East Ely, a National Historic Landmark now in the hands of the White Pine County Historical Railroad Foundation and the State of Nevada Museum system.

In the early twentieth century, Nevada's congressional delegation sought to bring funding to the state through federal projects. Senator Francis Newlands was responsible for bringing the first national reclamation project to Nevada with the diversion of water from the Carson and Truckee Rivers to irrigate the desert in Lahontan Valley, leading to the founding of Fallon. Eventually the Bureau of Reclamation authorized construction from 1931-1935 of Hoover Dam (NRIS 8100382), a National Historic Landmark on the Colorado River, which would also lead to a building boom in Las Vegas and the construction of the government planned town of Boulder City, a National Register district comprised of many modest houses as well as administrative offices in the Spanish Colonial Revival style.

Nevada's second mining boom faded in the 1920s and the state government sought means of attracting more people and money to Nevada. In 1931, the Nevada State Legislature liberalized divorce laws by dropping the residency requirement to six weeks, the shortest time frame in the country. Divorce ranches and boarding houses maintained steady business during the Depression, particularly in Reno, and many locals rented out rooms to those seeking "Reno-vation." During the same legislative session, gambling was also legalized. Reno and Las Vegas downtowns were altered permanently with the establishment of casino and casino architecture.

During the Great Depression, Nevada received a greater share of federal money than it merited due the influence of its congressional delegation, and public works programs were responsible for the construction of new post offices, roads, drains for the Newlands ir-

rigation project, fences, range improvements, and U.S. Forest Service Administrative Offices that continue in use today.

An additional federal government presence was welcomed with the building of Hawthorne Naval Ammunition Depot (now Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant) beginning in 1928, between Reno and Las Vegas on land deemed suitable for the construction of an ammunition depot due to its remote location far from major population centers.

In World War II, bases were established at Wendover, a town straddling the border between Nevada and Utah, for the testing of aircraft that would drop the atomic bombs on Japan, and the Tonopah Auxiliary Airfield for the training of flight crews. Also established were a gunnery range outside of Las Vegas (now known as Nellis Air Force Base) and an auxiliary Naval Air Station at Fallon, both less known for their part in World War II than Cold War activities in the 1950s through the 1970s. The Nevada Test Site, now the Nevada National Security Site, was established in 1950 and was used to test above-ground and later, underground nuclear weapons. The Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant is eligible for inclusion on the National Register, and the Sedan Crater on the Nevada Test Site is listed on the Register. The City of Henderson was born in 1941 of a need to produce magnesium for the war effort utilizing power from the Hoover Dam power plant. Thousands of temporary small wood frame houses were built for workers in neighborhoods segregated by race. A few of those neighborhoods still survive.

The City of Reno became the state's banking and political center after the completion of the Central Pacific Railroad and establishment of the University of Nevada in the nineteenth century. Senator Newlands was responsible for developing the Newlands Neighborhood of mansions in a variety of styles including Tudor Revival, Spanish Colonial Revival, and Classical Revival.

The Art Deco-style Mapes Hotel in Reno, built in 1947, was the first high-rise hotel casino in the country. Reno continued to prosper and after World War II, enjoyed a modest building boom. Pioneer Theater, a geodesic-domed building, and Fleischmann Planetarium, with its distinctive butterfly shaped roof, are both futuristic public structures in Reno built in the 1960s and listed on the National Register.

NEVADA HISTORY

EL CORTEZ HOTEL CASINO, LAS VEGAS

Established in 1941, it is a representation of the prosperity of Freemont street during the 1940s-1950s.



The City of Las Vegas eclipsed Reno in population by 1960, its railroad past mostly a memory. A few neighborhoods from the 1920s through 1930s contain modest houses dominated by the Spanish Colonial Revival style. Housing was slow to develop until the casino industry took off after World War II, and after Nellis Air Force Base and the Nevada Test Site grew to meet national needs during the Cold War. To accommodate the influx of workers, the newly created Federal Housing Authority (FHA) backed the creation of several new neighborhoods in the Las Vegas region, the oldest being the John S. Park Historic District (NRIS#03000412). Minorities, such as African Americans were barred from owning homes in these

neighborhoods.

Racial tensions ran high in Las Vegas before and after World War II. Unofficial Jim Crow laws barred African Americans from patronizing casinos, hotels, restaurants and many white businesses. In the 1950's almost 16,000 African Americans lived in Las Vegas, almost all of them forced to live in the Westside neighborhood which had only recently received municipal services such as water and sewer. Housing was extremely limited but by 1954, a subdivision for African Americans was developed in the area. Berkley Square (NRIS #) became the first minority-built subdivision in Nevada and was designed by the prominent African-American architect Paul R. Williams. African Americans traveling through Las Vegas stayed at boarding houses like Harrison's Guest House (NRIS#) where they could meet celebrities like Nat King Cole or Pearl Bailey who were forbidden from staying in the casinos' even though they were headline performers. While most hotels and casinos began to accept black customers after 1960, some continued to bar African American patrons from their businesses until the federal Civil Rights Act of 1964 forced integration. By 1971, housing discrimination was outlawed by the Nevada Legislature; the same year Clark County schools were court ordered to integrate.

PIONEER THEATER, RENO

The largest performing arts facility in northern Nevada is currently owned and operated by the Pioneer Center for the Performing Arts. The 1,500 seat theater is located in the heart of Downtown Reno.



HISTORIC REFERENCES

Below is a partial list of references that might be helpful when researching Nevada's pre-contact period, history, and architecture. This is not an exhaustive list, but can serve as a starting point for researchers new to Nevada's history, or a helpful reference guide for those familiar with the state's past, but looking for new perspectives. The SHPO maintains a library of reports and historic contexts that can also be consulted when completing historical research on historic sites in Nevada. These reports can be accessed on the SHPO website at <http://shpo.nv.gov/contexts>.

Universities also offer a tremendous amount of literature that may be of worth, including Ph.D. dissertations and Master's theses. It is strongly advised that researchers contact the university archives below for some of the more relevant research on Nevada's history.

ARCHIVES

Nevada Historical Society
1650 N. Virginia Street
Reno, NV 89503
(775) 688-1190

Nevada State Library and Archives
100 N. Stewart Street
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-3313

University of Nevada – Las Vegas, Special Collections
Lied Library – UNLV
4505 S. Maryland Pkwy
Las Vegas, NV 89154-7010
(702) 895-2234
special.collections@unlv.edu

University of Nevada – Reno, Special Collections & University Archives
1664 N. Virginia Street
Reno, NV 89557
(775) 682-5625
specoll@unr.edu

For a full list of potential museums with archives, go to the Nevada SHPO Website at: <http://shpo.nv.gov/get-involved/other-opportunities-to-get-involved/museums>

Cronon, William, George Miles, and Jay Gitlin, eds. Un-

der an Open Sky: Rethinking America's Western Past. New York: W.W. Norton, 1992.

GENERAL NEVADA PRE-CONTACT PERIOD

D'Azevedo, Warren L. and William C, Sturtevant eds. Handbook of North American Indians Volume 11, Great Basin. Washington, D.C., Smithsonian Institution, 1986.

Grayson, Donald K. The Desert's Past: A Natural Prehistory of the Great Basin. Washington D.C., Smithsonian Institution, 1993.

Fowler, Catherine S. In the shadow of Fox Peak : an ethnography of the Cattail-eater Northern Paiute people of Stillwater Marsh, Washington, D.C., U.S. Dept. of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1, 1992

Fowler, Catherine S. & Don D. Fowler, eds. The Great Basin: People and Place in Ancient Times. Santa Fe, School for American Research, 2008.

Heizer, Robert F. and Martin A. Baumhoff. Prehistoric Rock art of Nevada and Eastern California. Berkeley, University of California Press, 1962

Hockett, Bryan, ed. Past, Present and Future Issues in Great Basin Archaeology: Essays in Honor of Don D. Fowler, Bureau of Land Management, Nevada Cultural Resource Series No. 20, Washington D.C, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2009

Hughes, Richard E. Perspectives on Prehistoric Trade and Exchange in California and the Great Basin. Salt Lake City, University of Utah Press, 2011

Kelly, Robert L. The Lifeways of Hunter-Gatherers: The Foraging Spectrum. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2013.

Rhode, David. Meetings at the Margins: Prehistoric Cultural Interactions in the Intermountain West. Salt Lake City, University of Utah Press, 2012.

Simms, Steve. Ancient Peoples of the Great Basin and Colorado Plateau. Walnut Creek, Taylor and Francis, 2016

Kelly E. Graf and Dave N. Schmitt (editors) Paleoindian or Paleoarchaic? Great Basin Human Ecology at the Pleistocene/Holocene Transition.

HISTORIC REFERENCES

GENERAL WESTERN HISTORY

JOURNALS

Pacific Historical Review

Published by the University of California Press and covers regional history, including topics specific to the western part of the state and the Sierra Nevada mountains.

Western Historical Quarterly

Published by Utah State University, and publishes articles on a number of western history topics that pertain to Nevada.

BOOKS

Cronon, William, George Miles, and Jay Gitlin, eds. *Under an Open Sky: Rethinking America's Western Past*. New York: W.W. Norton, 1992.

Farmer, Jared. *On Zion's Mount: Mormons, Indians, and the American Landscape*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2008.

Hausladen, Gary J. *Western Places, American Myths: How We Think About the West*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2003.

Hayashi, Robert T. *Haunted by Waters: A Journey through Race and Place in the American West*. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press, 2007.

Hurt, R. Douglas, ed. *The Rural West Since World War II*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 1998.

Limerick, Patricia Nelson. *The Legacy of Conquest: The Unbroken Past of the American West*. New York: W.W. Norton, 1987.

Malone, Michael P., and Richard W. Etulain. *The American West: A Twentieth Century History*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1989.

Miller, Char, ed. *Cities and Nature in the American West*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

Tchudi, Stephen. *Community in the American West*. Reno & Las Vegas: Nevada Humanities Committee, 1999.

White, Richard. *"It's Your Misfortune and None of My Own": A New History of the American West*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1991.

Worster, Donald. *An Unsettled Country: Changing Landscapes of the American West*. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1994.

---. *Rivers of Empire: Water, Aridity, and the Growth of the American West*. New York: Pantheon, 1985.

---. *Under Western Skies: Nature and History in the American West*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1992.

NEVADA HISTORY - GENERAL

JOURNALS

Nevada Historical Society Quarterly

The Nevada Historical Society has published the Nevada Historical Quarterly (NHQ) since 1957. The NHQ includes articles on a variety of topics. There is a text search engine available on the web that is linked with the articles that have been digitized by the Nevada State Library and Archive. Researchers are highly encouraged to search this journal as part of their efforts, which can be searched online here: <http://www.nsladigitalcollections.org/quarterly>

BOOKS

Bowers, Michael Wayne. *The Sagebrush State: Nevada's History, Government, and Politics*. Reno: University of Nevada Press, 2002.

Carlson, Helen S. *Nevada Places Names: A Geographical Dictionary*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1974.

Elliott, Russell R. *History of Nevada*, 2nd ed. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1987.

Green, Michael S. *Nevada: A History of the Silver State*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2015.

Hulse, James W. *The Nevada Adventure: A History* 3rd ed. Reno: University of Nevada Press, 1972.

HISTORIC REFERENCES

---. *The Silver State: Nevada's Heritage Reinterpreted*, 3rd ed. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2004.

Johnson, David Alan. *Founding the Far West: California, Oregon, and Nevada, 1840-1890*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992.

Laxalt, Robert. *Nevada: A Bicentennial History*. New York: W.W. Norton, 1977.

Pomeroy, Earl. *The Pacific Slope: A History of California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Utah, and Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2003.

Rothman, Hal K. *The Making of Modern Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

Shepperson, Wilbur S., ed. *East of Eden, West of Zion: Essays on Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1989.

---. *Mirage-Land: Images of Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1992.

Zanjani, Sally. *Devils Will Reign: How Nevada Began*. Reno: University of Nevada Press, 2006.

NEVADA HISTORY - AMERICAN INDIANS

Blackhawk, Ned. *Violence Over the Land: Indians and Empires*. Cambridge: President and Fellows of Harvard College, 2006.

Crum, Steven J. *The Road on Which We Came: A History of the Western Shoshone*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1994.

Iverson, Peter. *When Indians Became Cowboys: Native Peoples and Cattle Ranching in the American West*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1994.

Knack, Martha C. *Boundaries Between: The Southern Paiutes, 1775-1995*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 2001.

Sturtevant, William C. *Handbook of North American Indians: Great Basin*. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Institution, 1986.

Vale, Thomas. *Fire, Native Peoples, and the Natural*

Landscape. Washington, D.C.: Island Press, 2002.

NEVADA HISTORY - CONSERVATION

Kolvet, Renee Corona, and Victoria Ford. *The Civilian Conservation Corps in Nevada: From Boys to Men*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2006.

Wilds, Leah J. *Water Politics in Nevada: A Century of Struggle*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

Rowley, William D. *The Bureau of Reclamation: Origins and Growth to 1945, Vol. 1*. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2006.

---. *Reclaiming the Arid West: The Career of Francis G. Newlands*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1996.

NEVADA HISTORY - DEFENSE AND INDUSTRY

Hevly, Bruce, and John M. Findlay, eds. *The Atomic West*. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1998.

Kirk, Andrew. *Doom Towns: The People and Landscapes of Atomic Testing*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2016.

Loomis, David. *Combat Zoning: Military Land-Use Planning in Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1993.

Titus, A. Costandina. *Bombs in the Backyard: Atomic Testing and American Politics*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2001.

NEVADA HISTORY - EDUCATION

Hulse, James W. *Oases of Culture: A History of Public and Academic Libraries in Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2003.

Moehring, Eugene P. *The University of Nevada, Las Vegas: A History*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2007.

NEVADA HISTORY - ETHNIC AND CULTURAL HISTORY

Balboni, Alan Richard, and Jerome E. Edwards. *Beyond the Mafia: Italian Americans and the Development of*

HISTORIC REFERENCES

Las Vegas. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2006.

Echeverria, Jeronima. Home Away from Home: A History of Basque Boardinghouses. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1999.

Fong, Colleen, et. al. Chinese America: A History and Perspectives. San Francisco: Chinese Historical Society of America, 2003.

Mallea-Olaetxe, J. Speaking Through the Aspens; Basque Tree Carvings in California and Nevada. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2000.

Marschall, John P. Jews in Nevada. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2008.

Miranda, Malvin Lane. A History of Hispanics in Southern Nevada. Reno: University of Nevada Press, 1997.

Orleck, Annelise. Storming Caesar's Palace: How Black Mothers Fought Their Own War on Poverty. Boston: Beacon Press, 2005.

Rusco, Elmer. Good Time Coming?: Black Nevadans in the Nineteenth Century. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1975.

Simich, Jerry L., and Thomas C. Wright, eds. The Peoples of Las Vegas: One City, Many Faces. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2005.

---. More Peoples of Las Vegas: One City, Many Faces. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

Taylor, Quintard. In Search of the Racial Frontier: African Americans in the American West, 1528-1990. New York: W.W. Norton & Co., Inc., 1998.

NEVADA HISTORY - MINING

Elliott, Russell R. Nevada's Twentieth Century Mining Boom: Tonopah, Goldfield, Ely. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1988.

Franca Viglia, Richard V. Hard Places: Reading the Landscape of America's Historic Mining Districts. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press, 1991.

James, Ronald M. The Roar and the Silence: A History

of Virginia City and the Comstock Lode. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1998.

Mellinger, Philip J. Race and Labor in Western Copper: The Fight for Equality, 1896-1918. Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1995.

Smith, Grant H. The History of the Comstock Lode. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press & Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1998.

Zanjani, Sally. A Mine of Her Own: Women Prospectors in the American West, 1850-1950. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1997.

NEVADA HISTORY - RANCHING AND AGRICULTURE

Childers, Leisl Carr. The Size of the Risk: Histories of Multiple Use in the Great Basin. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 2015.

Iverson, Peter. When Indians Became Cowboys: Native Peoples and Cattle Ranching in the American West. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1994.

McCracken, Robert D., and Eva M. La Rue. The United Cattle & Packing Company: The Rise and Fall of Nevada's Largest Ranch. Tonopah: Nye County Press, 2012.

Pisani, Donald J. From the Family Farm to Agribusiness: The Irrigation Crusade in California and the West, 1850-1931. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984.

Rowley, William D. The Bureau of Reclamation: Origins and Growth to 1945, Vol. 1. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2006.

---. Reclaiming the Arid West: The Career of Francis G. Newlands. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1996.

---. U.S. Forest Service Grazing and Rangelands. College Station: Texas A&M University Press, 1985.

Starrs, Paul F. Let the Cowboy Ride: Cattle Ranching in the American West. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1998.

Townley, John M. Alfalfa Country: Nevada Land, Water & Politics in the 19th Century. University of Nevada,

HISTORIC REFERENCES

Reno: Max C. Fleischmann College of Agriculture, 1980.

Wilds, Leah J. *Water Politics in Nevada: A Century of Struggle*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

Young, James A., and B. Abbott Sparks. *Cattle in the Cold Desert*, expanded ed. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2002.

Young, James A., and Charlie D. Clements. *Cheatgrass: Fire and Forage on the Range*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2009.

NEVADA HISTORY - TOURISM, DIVORCE, AND GAMBLING

Barber, Alicia. *Reno's Big Gamble: Image and Reputation in the Biggest Little City*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 2008.

Burbank, Jeff. *License to Steal: Nevada's Gaming Control System in the Megaresort Age*. University of Nevada Press, 2005.

Dixon, Kelly J. *Boomtown Saloons: Archaeology and History in Virginia City*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2006.

Kling, Dwayne. *The Rise of the Biggest Little City: An Encyclopedic History of Reno Gaming, 1931-1981*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2000.

McCracken, Robert D. *Las Vegas: The Great American Playground*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1996.

Rothman, Hal. *Devil's Bargains: Tourism in the Twentieth-Century American West*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 1998.

Runte, Alfred. *Trains of Discovery: Western Railroads and the National Parks*. Flagstaff, AZ: Northland Press, 1990.

NEVADA HISTORY - URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Barber, Alicia. *Reno's Big Gamble: Image and Reputation in the Biggest Little City*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 2008.

Judd, Dennis R., and Stephanie L. Witt, eds. *Cities, Sagebrush, and Solitude: Urbanization and Cultural Conflict in the Great Basin*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2015.

Moehring, Eugene P. *Urbanism and Empire in the Far West, 1840-1890*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2004.

NEVADA HISTORY - WOMEN'S HISTORY

Zanjani, Sally. *A Mine of Her Own: Women Prospectors in the American West, 1850-1950*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1997.

Watson, Anita Ernst. *Into Their Own: Nevada Women Emerging into Public Life*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2000.

NEVADA HISTORY - LOCAL & REGIONAL - SOUTHERN NEVADA (Clark, Lincoln, Nye, and Esmeralda Counties)

Land, Barbara and Myrick. *A Short History of Las Vegas*, 2nd ed. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2004.

McCracken, Robert D. *A History of Amargosa Valley, Nevada*. Tonopah: Nye County Press, 1990.

---. *Las Vegas: The Great American Playground*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1996.

Miranda, Malvin Lane. *A History of Hispanics in Southern Nevada*. Reno: University of Nevada Press, 1997.

Moehring, Eugene P., and Michael S. Green. *Las Vegas: A Centennial History*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2005.

Rothman, Hal K., and Mike Davis. *The Grit Beneath the Glitter: Tales from the Real Las Vegas*. Berkeley & Los Angeles: University of California Press, 2002.

Simich, Jerry L., and Thomas C. Wright, eds. *The Peoples of Las Vegas: One City, Many Faces*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2005.

---. *More Peoples of Las Vegas: One City, Many Faces*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

NEVADA HISTORY - LOCAL & REGIONAL - NORTHERN NEVADA

HISTORIC REFERENCES

(Mineral, Lyon, Douglas, Carson, Storey, Churchill, Washoe, Pershing, Humboldt, Lander, Eureka, Elko, and White Pine Counties)

Barber, Alicia. *Reno's Big Gamble: Image and Reputation in the Biggest Little City*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 2008.

Beesley, David. *Crow's Range: An Environmental History of the Sierra Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2004.

Bennett, Dana. *All Roads Lead to Battle Mountain: A Small Town in the Heart of Nevada, 1869-1969*. 2014.

Cox, Don. *Stories from the Sagebrush: Celebrating Northern Nevada at the Millenium*. Reno: Nevada Humanities Committee, 1999.

Dangberg, Grace. *Carson Valley: Historical Sketches of Nevada's First Settlement*. Minden: Carson Valley Historical Society, 1979.

---. *Conflict on the Carson*. Minden, Nev.: Carson Valley Historical Society, 1975.

Hall, Shawn. *Old Heart of Nevada: Ghost Towns and Mining Camps of Elko County*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1998.

---. *Romancing Nevada's Past: Ghost Towns and Historic Sites of Eureka, Lander, and White Pine Counties*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1994.

Kling, Dwayne. *The Rise of the Biggest Little City: An Encyclopedic History of Reno Gaming, 1931-1981*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2000.

Marshall, Howard Wight. *Paradise Valley, Nevada: The People and Buildings of an American Place*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1995.

Maule, Wynne M. *Minden, Nevada: The Story of a Unique Town, 1906-1992*. Minden: Wynne M. Maule, 1993.

Moreno, Richard. *A Short History of Carson City*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2011.

Thompson, David, ed. *The Tennessee Letters: From Carson Valley, 1857-1860*. Reno: The Grace Dangberg Foundation, Inc., 1983.

Wilds, Leah J. *Water Politics in Nevada: A Century of Struggle*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2010.

ARCHITECTURE

NEVADA ARCHITECTURE

Hess, Alan. *Viva Las Vegas: After-Hours Architecture*. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1993.

James, Ronald M. *Temples of Justice: County Courthouses of Nevada*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 1994.

James, Ronald M., and Elizabeth Safford Harvey. *Nevada's Historic Buildings: A Cultural Legacy*. Reno & Las Vegas: University of Nevada Press, 2009.

Marshall, Howard Wight. *Paradise Valley, Nevada: The People and Buildings of an American Place*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1995.

Nicoletta, Julie. *Buildings of Nevada*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000.

Venturi, Robert. *Learning from Las Vegas: The Forgotten Symbolism of Architectural Form*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1977.

Walton-Buchanan, Holly. *Historic Houses and Buildings of Reno, Nevada: An Architectural and Historical Guide*. Reno: Black Rock Press, 2007.

AMERICAN ARCHITECTURE

JOURNALS

Journal of Architectural History
Published by the University of California Press, this journal has an international focus and publishes articles on architectural history ranging from ancient Europe and the Middle East to mid-century Modern American architecture.

Vernacular Architecture Forum
This is a non-profit group in the United States and Canada that publishes several journals that are helpful in studying "ordinary" architecture such as working

HISTORIC REFERENCES

and middle class residential areas, industrial architecture, etc. Journals are *Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture and Buildings and Landscapes*.

BOOKS

Blumenson, John J. G. *Identifying American Architecture: A Pictorial Guide to Styles and Terms, 1600-1945*. Nashville: American Association for State and Local History, 1977.

Carter, Thomas, and Elizabeth Collins Cromley. *Invitation to Vernacular Architecture: A Guide to the Study of Ordinary Buildings and Landscapes*. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 2005.

Carter, Thomas, and Peter Goss. *Utah's Historic Architecture, 1847-1940: A Guide*. Salt Lake City: Utah State Historical Society, 1988.

Carter, Thomas, and Bernard L. Herman, eds. *Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture, Vol. III*. Columbia: University of Missouri Press, 1989.

Harris, Cyril M., ed. *American Architecture: An Illustrated Encyclopedia*. New York: W.W. Norton and Company, 1998.

---. *Dictionary of Architecture and Construction, 4th ed.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 2005.

---. *Illustrated Dictionary of Historic Architecture*. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1977.

Longstreth, Richard, ed. *Cultural Landscapes: Balancing Nature and Heritage in Preservation Practice*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2008.

Noble, Allen G., ed. *To Build in a New Land: Ethnic Landscapes in North America*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, 1992.

Roth, Leland M. *A Concise History of American Architecture*. New York: Harper and Row, 1979.

Upton, Dell, ed. *America's Architectural Roots: Ethnic Groups That Built America*. National Trust for Historic Preservation, Washington, D.C., 1987.

Upton, Dell, and John Michael Vlach, eds. *Common Places: Readings in American Vernacular Architecture*. Athens: University of Georgia Press, 1986.

Wells, Camille, ed. *Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture*. Annapolis: Vernacular Architecture Forum, 1982.

---. *Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture, II*. Columbia: University of Missouri Press, 1986.

Whiffen, Marcus. *American Architecture Since 1780: A Guide to the Styles*. Cambridge: M.I.T. Press, 1989.

ROAD AND HIGHWAY ARCHITECTURE

Darnell, Victor C. *Directory of American Bridge-Building Companies, 1840-1900*. Washington, D.C.: Society for Industrial Archaeology, 1984.

Gudis, Catherine. *Buyways: Billboards, Automobiles, and the American Landscape*. New York: Routledge, 2004.

Heimann, Jim, and Rip Georges. *California Crazy: Roadside Vernacular Architecture*. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1980.

Jackson, Donald C. *Great American Bridges and Dams*. Washington, D.C.: National Trust for Historic Preservation, 1988.

Liebs, Chester H. *Main Street To Miracle Mile: American Roadside Architecture*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1985.

Vieyra, Daniel I. "Fill 'er Up." *An Architectural History of American Gas Stations*. New York: Collier Books, 1979.

COMMERCIAL AND DOWNTOWN ARCHITECTURE

Longstreth, Richard. *The Buildings of Main Street: A Guide to American Commercial Architecture*. Washington, D.C.: National Trust for Historic Preservation, 1987.

Naylor, David. *American Picture Palaces: The Architecture of Fantasy*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1981.

---. *Great American Movie Theaters*. Washington, D.C.: National Trust for Historic Preservation, 1987.

Zurier, Rebecca. *The American Firehouse: An Archi-*

HISTORIC REFERENCES

tectural and Social History. New York: Abbeville Press, 1982.

RESIDENTIAL ARCHITECTURE (HOMES AND APARTMENTS)

Carley, Rachel. *The Visual Dictionary of American Domestic Architecture*. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1994.

Clark, Clifford Edward, Jr. *The American Family Home, 1800-1960*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1986.

Gowans, Alan. *The Comfortable House: North American Suburban Architecture, 1890-1930*. Cambridge: M.I.T. Press, 1986.

Lancaster, Clay. *The American Bungalow, 1880-1930*. New York: Abbeville Press, 1985.

Light, Sally. *House Histories: A Guide to Tracing the Genealogy of Your Home*. Spencertown: Golden Hill Press, 1995.

McAlester, Virginia and Lee. *A Field Guide to American Architecture*, 2nd ed. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 2013.

Noble, Allen G. Wood, Brick and Stone, the North American Settlement Landscape: Houses. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1984.

Ore, Janet D. *The Seattle Bungalow: People and Houses, 1900-1940*. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 2006.

Phillips, Steven J. *Old-House Dictionary: An Illustrated Guide to American Domestic Architecture (1600-1940)*. Lakewood: American Source Books, 1989.

Schweitzer, Robert, and Michael W. R. Davis. *America's Favorite Homes: Mail-Order Catalogues As a Guide to Popular Early 20th-Century Houses*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1990.

Walker, Lester. *American Shelter: An Illustrated Encyclopedia of the American Home*. Woodstock: The Overlook Press, 1997.

RURAL AND FARM ARCHITECTURE AND LANDSCAPES

Arthur, Eric, and Dudley Whitney. *The Barn: A Vanishing Landmark in North America*. Greenwich, New York: Graphic Society Ltd., 1972.

Dolan, Susan A. *Fruitful Legacy: A Historic Context of Orchards in the United States, with Technical Information for Registering Orchards in the National Register of Historic Places*. National Park Service, Olmsted Center for Landscape Preservation, 2009.

Ensminger, Robert F. *The Pennsylvania Barn: Its Origins, Evolution, and Distribution in North America*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1992.

Fitchen, John. *The New World Dutch Barn: A Study of Its Characteristics, Its Structural System, and Its Probable Erectional Procedures*. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1968.

Jordan, Terry G. *American Log Buildings: An Old World Heritage*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1985.

Noble, Allen G. Wood, Brick and Stone, The North American Settlement Landscape: Barns and Farm Structures. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 1986.

ACRONYMS

ACHP – the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
APE – Area of Potential Effect
ARA – Architectural Resource Assessment
ARPA – Archeological Resource Protection Act

BC – Before Christ
BCE – Before Current Era
BIA- Bureau of Indian Affairs
BLM – Bureau of Land Management
BOR – Bureau of Reclamation
BP – Before Present

calBP – Before Present (calibrated)
CC – Carson City (City and County)
CCCHP – Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation
CFR – Code of Federal Regulations
CH – Churchill County
CHDC – Comstock Historic District Commission
CK – Clark County
CLG – Certified Local Government

DO – Douglas County

EK – Elko County
EO – Executive Order
EU – Eureka County

GOED – Nevada Governor’s Office on Economic Development

HABS – Historic American Buildings Survey
HAER – Historic American Engineering Record
HALS – Historic American Landscapes Survey
HPF – Historic Preservation Grant
HPTP – Historic Property/ies Treatment Plan
HSR – Historic Structure Report
HU – Humboldt County
HUD – Housing and Urban Development

IMACS – Intermountain Antiquities Computer System

LA – Lander County
LCAI – Lincoln County Archaeological Initiative
LN – Lincoln County
LY – Lyon County

MN – Mineral County
MOA – Memorandum of Agreement
MOU – Memorandum of Understanding

NACO – Nevada Association of Counties
NAGPRA – Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act
NARA – Nevada Architectural Resource Assessment
NATHPO – National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers
NCSHPO – National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers
NEPA – National Environmental Protection Act
NHL – National Historic Landmark
NHPA – the National Historic Preservation Act
NHS – Nevada Historical Society
NIC – Nevada Indian Commission
NPS – National Park Service
NRHP – National Register of Historic Places
NRS – Nevada Revised Statutes
NSSP – Nevada Site Stewardship Program
NV – Nevada
NVCRIS – Nevada Cultural Resource Inventory System
NSHPO – Nevada State Historic Preservation Office/ Officer
NSHE – Nevada System of Higher Education
NY – Nye County

OHV – Off-Road Vehicle

PA – Programmatic Agreement
PC – Program Comment
PE – Pershing County
PPA – Prototype Programmatic Agreement

SAT – Save America’s Treasures
SHPO – State Historic Preservation Office/ Officer
SOI – Secretary of the Department of the Interior
SRHP – Nevada State Register of Historic Places
ST – Storey County

THPO – Tribal Historic Preservation Office/ Officer
TRP – Technical Preservation Services

USGS – United State Geological Survey
USFS- United States Forest Service
USFWS – United States Fish and Wildlife Service



ACRONYMS

WA - Washoe County
WP - White Pine County

Yrs. - years

GLOSSARY

Architectural and Archaeological Survey:
The process of identifying and gathering information about architectural and archaeological resources. This requires field examination in a community or project area.

CCCHP Program:
The Commission for Cultural Centers and Historic Preservation, a state entity responsible for granting money to local governments and non-profits to rehabilitate historic buildings for use as cultural centers:
<http://shpo.nv.gov/ccchp>

Certified Local Government (CLG) Program:
A partnership program between the National Park Service, the SHPO, and local governments to support and strengthen local historic preservation programs:
<http://shpo.nv.gov/clg>

Cultural Resource:
Any objects, sites, or information of historic, pre-contact (prehistoric), archeological, architectural, or paleontological significance (NRS 383.011)

Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives:
Federal tax program that provides a financial incentive for owners of income-producing historic resources to rehabilitate their properties: <http://shpo.nv.gov/tax-credits>

Heritage Tourism:
Traveling to experience the places, artifacts, and activities that authentically represent the stories and people of the past and present. It includes cultural, historic, and natural resources.

Historic:
Period from the middle of the 18th century until 50 years before the current year.

Historic Cemetery:
A burial place that contains the remains of one or more persons who died in the mid-twentieth century or earlier.

Historic Preservation Professional:
Individual who meets the Secretary of Interior's Qualification Standards for an Historian, Archaeologist,

Architectural Historian, Architect, or Historical Architect.

Historic Preservation Trades:
Building trades that actively practice in the respect of historic preservation, historic preservation or the conserving and maintenance of the built environment. These include masonry, framing, log building, traditional roofing, joinery, plasterwork, painting, blacksmithing, and metal metalworking.

Historic Rehabilitation:
The act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features, which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.

Historic Rural Landscape:
A geographical area that historically has been used by people, or shaped or modified by human activity, occupancy, or intervention, and that possesses a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of areas of land use, vegetation, buildings and structures, roads and waterways, and natural features.

Micro-grants:
A small sum of money distributed to an individual or organization that are non-repayable or forgiven over time to be used for preserving or rehabilitating cultural resources.

Micro-loans:
A small sum of money distributed to an individual or organization that must be repaid to be used for preserving or rehabilitating cultural resources.

Mitigate (cultural resource mitigation):
Efforts to avoid or minimize effects to cultural resources during a proposed project, repairing or restoring cultural resources affected by a project, or documenting the cultural resource through such activities as archaeological excavation, photo-documentation, or interpretation.

National Register:
The federal government's official list of districts, sites,



GLOSSARY

buildings, structures, and objects deemed worthy of preservation for their historical significance: <http://shpo.nv.gov/nrhp>

Nevada Site Stewardship Program:
State program coordinating volunteers who are sponsored by federal, state, and local government land managing agencies to assist in monitoring at-risk archaeological and paleontological sites for vandalism, theft, excessive visitation and natural deterioration: <http://shpo.nv.gov/stewards>

Non-Preservation Professional:
Professional whose expertise in such fields as architecture, structural engineering, or other related fields does not include significant experience in preserving cultural resources.

Non-Traditional Partners:
Organizations not traditionally viewed as partners in the preservation of cultural resources or whose mission does not explicitly identify historic preservation as a goal, but who are invaluable for supporting such efforts as stakeholders.

Paleontological Materials:
Fossils of plants, animals, and other organisms usually found in rocks. In Nevada state statutes (NRS 383.011), these materials are considered cultural resources.

Petroglyph:
A drawing or carving on rock. While most date to the pre-contact period, there are also historic examples in Nevada.

Pictograph:
A drawing or painting on a rock wall. Paints created from natural pigments (such as the mineral hematite

or ochre) mixed with a binder and fluid (such animal blood, egg white, or milk) serve as some of the materials used along with charcoal and axle grease in more modern times.

Pre-Contact (Prehistoric) period:
The period before contact of Native American tribes in Nevada with non-Native cultures. The end of this period varies around the state but is generally identified as before the middle of the 18th century.

Secretary's Standards for Rehabilitation:
National Standards that address rehabilitation. Originally published in 1977, they pertain to historic buildings of all materials, construction types, sizes, and occupancy and encompass the exterior and the interior of historic buildings. The Standards also encompass related landscape features and the building's site and environment as well as attached, adjacent or related new construction: <https://www.nps.gov/tps/standards/four-treatments/treatment-rehabilitation.htm>

Teaching with Historic Places Program:
A national program that uses historic places in National Register of Historic Places to enliven history, social studies, geography, civics, and other subjects through a variety of products and activities that help teachers bring historic places into the classroom: <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/teachingwithhistoricplaces/index.htm>

Travel Nevada:
Website devoted to travelling, exploring, and experiencing Nevada has varied resources, people, and places: <https://travelnevada.com>

IMAGES (SOME IMAGES ARE WAITING FOR PERMISSION FOR INCLUSION)

Morning in Virginia City.....	Cover
By Liz Huntington (https://www.lizhuntington.com/)	
Gold Butte National Monument Petroglyphs.....	4
By Bob Wick (https://www.flickr.com/photos/blmnevada/32015761740/in/album-72157679319061155/)	
Basin and Range National Monument Petroglyphs.....	4
By Bob Wick (https://www.flickr.com/photos/blmnevada/21501416579/in/album-72157653553044694/)	
Ward Charcoal Kilns.....	8
By Nevada State Parks (http://parks.nv.gov/galleries/ward-charcoal-ovens)	
Berlin-One of The First.....	10
By Nevada State Parks (http://parks.nv.gov/galleries/berlin-ichthyosaur-state-park)	
Sunset with Blue.....	11
By Ernest, K. (https://www.flickr.com/photos/blmnevada/45568842601/in/album-72157702847527855/)	
Annual Lovelock Cave Days.....	12
By BLM Nevada (https://www.flickr.com/photos/blmnevada/28726427168/in/album-72157691896588790/)	
Shooting Gallery Petroglyphs.....	14
By BLM Nevada (https://www.flickr.com/photos/blmnevada/22470832616/in/album-72157653553044694/)	
Cave Rock Marker.....	15
By NSHPO	
Las Vegas Valley.....	16
By Adobe	
Stewart Indian School.....	17
Courtesy of the Nevada Indian Commission’s website (http://stewartindianschool.com/museum/)	
SHPO Website.....	19
By NSHPO	
Reno Public Meeting.....	21
By NSHPO	
Elko Public Meeting.....	21
By NSHPO	
Example Objective.....	21
By NSHPO	
Hotel Nevada, Ely.....	23
By NSHPO	
Wildland Fire.....	24
By National Park Service (https://www.nps.gov/grba/learn/management/firemanagement.htm)	
Intern at Work.....	25
By NSHPO	
Vandalism Over Petroglyphs.....	26
By NSHPO	
Harrison’s Guest House.....	27
By NSHPO	
The Pink House.....	33
By http://www.thepinkhousegenoa.com/	
Black Canyon Meeting.....	34
By U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	
Kennecott Caboose.....	35
By Nevada Northern Railway (https://nnry.com/pages/lodging.php)	

IMAGES

Reno Public Meeting.....	56
By NSHPO	
Example Objective.....	57
By NSHPO	
Early Archaeologist M.R. Harrington with the CCC	77
By UNR (https://unrspecoll.pastperfectonline.com/photo/E4FE0F4A-C95E-402F-A896-238637824730) Early Archaeologist	
Northern Side-Notched Projectile Point	79
By NSHPO	
Black-on-Grey Ceramic Sherd.....	80
By NSHPO	
Mormon Station Old.....	82
By Nevada State Parks (http://parks.nv.gov/learn/park-histories/mormon-station-history)	
Mormon Station New	82
By Nevada State Parks (http://parks.nv.gov/galleries/mormon-station)	
Boot Hill Cemetery, Pioche.....	83
By NSHPO	
Northern Nevada Railway, Ely.....	83
By NSHPO	
El Cortez Hotel Casino, Las Vegas	85
By (https://elcortezhotelcasino.com/about-us/timeline/)	
Pioneer Theater, RENO	85
By NSHPO	

- 1 See Rypkema, Donovan. *The Economics of Historic Preservation: A Community Leader's Guide*. Washington, D.C.: The National Trust for Historic Preservation, 1994 (131 pp.)
- 2 See Robert E. Stipe, ed., *A Richer Heritage: Historic Preservation in the Twenty-First Century*, (Raleigh: Historic Preservation Foundation of North Carolina, 2003).
- 3 See Alfred Runte, *National Parks: The American Experience*, (Lanham, Maryland: Taylor Trade Publishing, 2010).
- 4 <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/NV,US/PST045218>.
- 5 <http://nvculture.org/travelnevadabiz/wp-content/uploads/sites/14/2018/11/Nevada-Visitor-Economic-Impact-2017-FINAL.pdf>
- 6 <https://data.bls.gov/timeseries/LASST320000000000003>, also see
- 7 <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2018/estimates-national-state.html>
- 8 <https://www.reviewjournal.com/news/politics-and-government/clark-county/las-vegas-is-changing-as-it-grows-census-report-finds-1545809/>
- 9 <https://www.census.gov/population/www/censusdata/cencounts/files/nv190090.txt>, <https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/2018/comm/population-change-2017-2018.html>,
- 10 <https://www.census.gov/population/www/censusdata/cencounts/files/nv190090.txt>, <https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/2018/comm/population-change-2017-2018.html>,
- 11 <https://www.nps.gov/preservation-planning/>
- 12 <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Publications/PandPReport/35-PLGNR.pdf>
- 13 While amendments to NHPA enacted in 2014 changed how NHPA is codified in United States Code, practitioners still refer to the federal review process as Section 106.
- 14 <https://www.nps.gov/CRMjournal/Winter2011/article3.html>
- 15 http://www.fedbar.org/Resources_1/Federal-Lawyer-Magazine/2018/April/Features/NHPA-Section-106-Consultation-A-Primer-for-Tribal-Advocates.aspx?FT=.pdf
- 16 <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/NRS/NRS-278.html>
- 17 https://www.washoecounty.us/mgrsoff/files/Gerlach-Economic-Development-Plan_FINAL_compressed.pdf
- 18 https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B7_YLDv5WHKfeGo0ZTB3X29SRWVJS1FHZFJiby1vQQ/view
- 19 https://www.douglascountynv.gov/UserFiles/Servers/Server_12493019/File/Visitors/Dept/Community%20Development/Planning%20Division/Master%20Plan/Master%20Plan%20Update%202016/Historic_Preservation_Second%20DraftNov6.pdf
- 20 https://www.unr.edu/Documents/business/NLI/previous-workshops/Nevada_Planning_Guide_Final_2017.pdf
- 21 https://www.doi.gov/sites/doi.gov/files/uploads/fy2018_blm_budget_justification.pdf
- 22 <https://www.hcn.org/articles/wildfire-fire-funding-fix-includes-environmental-rollback>
- 23 <https://www.reviewjournal.com/local/clark-county-saw-second-largest-population-increase-in-nation-1645169/>
- 24 https://tax.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/taxnv.gov/Content/TaxLibrary/March_2017_Five_Year_Projections.pdf.pdf
- 25 <https://www.reimagereno.us/>
- 26 <http://nvculture.org/travelnevadabiz/wp-content/uploads/sites/14/2018/11/Nevada-Visitor-Economic-Impact-2017-FINAL.pdf>
- 27 https://outdoorindustry.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/OIA_RecEcoState_NV.pdf
- 28 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-08/documents/climate_indicators_2016.pdf
- 29 <https://www.unr.edu/nevada-today/news/2019/snow-pack-research>
- 30 <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/environment/2019/08/snow-droughts-coming-to-winters-western-us-california-water/>
- 31 <https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-09/documents/climate-change-nv.pdf>
- 32 <https://digital.library.unlv.edu/aae>
- 33 D'Azevedo 1986
- 34 Fowler 1986
- 35 McGuire et al. 2004